arXiv:1609.09132v1 [math.SG] 28 Sep 2016

A SIMPLICIAL CONSTRUCTION OF G-EQUIVARIANT FLOER HOMOLOGY

KRISTEN HENDRICKS, ROBERT LIPSHITZ, AND SUCHARIT SARKAR

Abstract. For G a Lie group acting on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) preserving a pair of Lagrangians L_0, L_1, under certain hypotheses not including equivariant transversality we construct a G-equivariant Floer cohomology $HF_G(L_0, L_1)$.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Background and conventions 3
2.1. Simplicial sets 4
2.2. Infinity categories and functors 4
2.3. The category of chain complexes 4
2.4. The homotopy coherent nerve 5
2.5. Homotopy colimits 7
2.6. Smooth simplices 12
3. The construction 12
3.1. Hypotheses and statement of result 12
3.2. Spaces of Hamiltonian perturbations and almost complex structures 13
3.3. Twisted homotopy classes 15
3.4. Equivariant coherent orientations 16
3.5. Simplices and moduli spaces 24
3.6. Constructing the diagram of complexes 29
3.7. Equivariant Floer cohomology 35
3.8. An analogous construction in Morse theory 37
4. Computations 39
4.1. The discrete case 39
4.2. The case of Morse theory 45
4.3. A spectral sequence 53
4.4. The Seidel-Smith example 53
References 55

Date: September 30, 2016.
KH was supported by NSF grant DMS-1506358.
RL was supported by NSF grant DMS-1149800.
SS was supported by NSF grant DMS-1350037.

1. Introduction

In the 1980s, Floer introduced a collection of semi-infinite dimensional Morse-like homologies [Flo88c, Flo88b, Flo88a]. These and later Floer-type invariants are often enriched by various kinds of symmetries. For example, Seiberg-Witten Floer homology and cylindrical contact homology are intrinsically S^1-equivariant theories [KM07, Man03, BO12], and Fukaya categories often carry actions of mapping class groups (e.g., [KS02, SS06]) and Lie algebras (e.g., [Sei14, EL15]).

In this paper, we will focus on Lagrangian intersection Floer cohomology in the presence of a simpler kind of symmetry: an action of a Lie group G on a symplectic manifold M preserving Lagrangians L_0 and L_1. Our goal is to construct an equivariant Floer cohomlogy, modeled on Borel equivariant (singular) cohomology, and show that it enjoys good properties. The naive approach to doing so is to consider G-equivariant almost complex structures and Hamiltonian perturbations. The difficulty is that for G a discrete group it is often impossible to achieve transversality for moduli spaces of holomorphic curves via equivariant choices, and for G a positive-dimensional Lie group G-equivariant transversality seems to occur rarely indeed.

One way to construct equivariant Floer (co)homology without equivariant transversality is to consider the the space of (non-equivariant) Lagrangians, and build a kind of G-equivariant local system over this space. There are at least two approaches to making this rigorous. One is in terms of Floer theory coupled to Morse theory on EG; this approach is sketched by Seidel-Smith [SS10, Sei15a] (who focus on the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$). The other is to use notions of homotopy coherence and a simplicial model for BG; in the case that G is a finite group and we consider Floer complexes with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_2$ this approach was explained in our previous paper [HLS15]. The goal of this paper is to extend the homotopy coherence approach to G an arbitrary compact Lie group (or, under additional hypotheses, any Lie group) and, under appropriate hypotheses, coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ (or any other ring). Our main construction / theorem is:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose a Lie group G acts on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) by symplectomorphisms preserving Lagrangians L_0 and L_1, satisfying the following hypotheses:*

1. *For any loop of paths in M from L_0 to L_1, both the symplectic area and the Maslov index vanish. (See Point (J-1) in Hypothesis 3.1.)*
2. *The manifold M is either compact or is convex at infinity, and in the latter case L_0 and L_1 are compact or conical at infinity. (See Point (J-2) in Hypothesis 3.1.)*
3. *The group G is either compact or else every G-twisted loop of paths has Maslov index 0. (See Section 3.3.)*

Then:

1. *There is an associated G-equivariant Floer cohomology group $HF_G(L_0, L_1)$ over the ground ring $\mathrm{R} = \mathbb{F}_2$.*
2. *If the configuration spaces of disks in (M, L_0, L_1) admit a G-orientation system (Definition 3.8) then there is an associated G-equivariant Floer cohomology group $HF_G(L_0, L_1)$ over any ground ring R. In particular, this holds whenever L_0 and L_1 admit G-equivariant brane data (see Proposition 3.14).*
3. *The equivariant Floer cohomology $HF_G(L_0, L_1)$ is a module over the group cohomology $H^*(BG; \mathrm{R})$ of G with coefficients in R.*

(4) *If* $\mathrm{R} = \mathbb{F}$ *is a field then there is a spectral sequence* $H^*(BG;\mathbb{F}) \otimes HF^*(L_0, L_1;\mathbb{F}) \Rightarrow HF_G(L_0, L_1)$.
(5) *In the case that* G *is a finite group and* $\mathrm{R} = \mathbb{F}_2$, $HF_G(L_0, L_1)$ *is isomorphic to the equivariant Floer cohomology as defined in our previous paper [HLS15].*

This is a combination of Definition 3.40, Theorems 3.41 and 4.1, and Proposition 4.23, below.

Versions of equivariant Lagrangian intersection Floer homology have appeared elsewhere in the literature. One of the earliest instances of which we are aware is Khovanov-Seidel's use of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant almost complex structures to simplify computations of Floer homology with $\mathbb{F}_2$-coefficients in certain cases [KS02, Lemma 5.14]. There, strong hypotheses on the group action guaranteed that one could achieve equivariant transversality. Seidel later constructed and exploited an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on the Fukaya category, again under strong hypotheses on the group action [Sei08, Sei15b]. The case of free actions were further exploited by Wu [Wu15]. These constructions were generalized to fairly general finite group actions by Cho-Hong [CH13], for coefficient rings containing $\mathbb{Q}$. The hypothesis on the coefficients is essential for their construction, as they average the differential over a G's worth of complex structures. Consequently, their construction does not carry an interesting action by $H^*(BG)$.

The constructions in this paper can be seen as a more homotopy theoretic kind of averaging over the group action, allowing us to work with coefficients in arbitrary rings (and, in particular, $\mathbb{F}_2$). While we will not pursue the analogy with Cho-Hong's work further, and in particular will not attempt to construct an equivariant Fukaya category, we will make use of some of their ideas when orienting moduli spaces in Section 3.4.

The paper is organized as follows. The language that we use to formulate the coherent Floer data in the construction of $HF_G(L_0, L_1)$ is that of infinity (or $(\infty, 1)$) categories. We review the aspects of this subject that are needed for the construction in Section 2. (The exposition is intended to be a convex combination of efficient and explicit, so while we omit some details it does not assume any familiarity with higher categories or algebra.) Section 3 gives the construction of G-equivariant Floer cohomology, culminating in Section 3.7 which gives both the definition of $HF_G(L_0, L_1)$ and a proof of its invariance. We also outline the analogous construction in Morse theory, in Section 3.8. Section 4 gives further properties and computations of these invariants: an identification of this construction in the discrete case with our earlier construction [HLS15] (Section 4.1); the proof that the Morse-theory analogue gives the usual Borel equivariant cohomology (Section 4.2); the spectral sequence $H^*(BG; HF(L_0^H, L_1;\mathbb{F})) \Rightarrow HF_G(L_0, L_1;\mathbb{F})$ (Section 4.3); and a brief discussion of S^1- and $O(2)$-equivariant symplectic Khovanov homology (Section 4.4).

Acknowledgments. We thank Mohammed Abouzaid, Dan Dugger, Tyler Lawson, Tim Perutz, and Dev Sinha for helpful conversations. In particular, this paper is partly inspired by Abouzaid's work on family Floer homology [Abo14]. We also thank the contributors to nLab, the articles in which helped us parse the infinity-categorical literature.

2. Background and conventions

We collect some background about infinity categories which we will need later. Throughout this section, we provide references to treatments with further details, but have not attempted to cite the original sources for this material.

Convention 2.1. *Fix a base ring* R*. Unless otherwise mentioned, all algebraic constructions in this paper will be over* R*. Usually* R *will be* $\mathbb{Z}$ *or some field* $\mathbb{F}$*.*

2.1. **Simplicial sets.** To fix notation, recall that a simplicial set $S_\bullet$ consists of a sequence of sets S_n, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and maps

$$d_j \colon S_n \to S_{n-1} \qquad\qquad s_j \colon S_n \to S_{n+1}$$

for $0 \le j \le n$, satisfying the simplicial relations. (See, e.g., [GJ09, Section I.1].) The maps d_j are the *face maps* and the maps s_j are the *degeneracy maps*. Equivalently, a simplicial set is a functor from Δ^{op} to Sets, where Δ is the category with one object $[n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$ for each non-negative integer n and with $\mathrm{Hom}([m],[n])$ the set of monotone functions $\alpha \colon [m] \to [n]$. The face map $d_j \colon S_n \to S_{n-1}$ comes from the monotone injective map $[n-1] \to [n]$ that misses j, and the degeneracy map $s_j \colon S_n \to S_{n+1}$ comes from the monotone surjective map $[n+1] \to [n]$ with $s_j^{-1}(j) = \{j, j+1\}$.

We will use the following notation. For $\sigma \in S_n$, and for $0 \le i_0 < \dots < i_k \le n$, we will let $\sigma_{i_0,\dots,i_k}$ denote the element $\alpha^*(\sigma) \in S_k$, where $\alpha \colon [k] \to [n]$ is the map $j \mapsto i_j$.

2.2. **Infinity categories and functors.** Recall [Lur09, Definition 1.1.2.4] that an *infinity category* (or $(\infty,1)$-category) is a simplicial set $S_\bullet$ which satisfies the *weak Kan condition*: if Λ^n_i denotes the i^{th} horn of the n-simplex (i.e., the n-simplex with its usual simplicial decomposition, but with the n-dimensional cell and the i^{th} $(n-1)$-dimensional cell deleted), $0 < i < n$, and $f_0 \colon \Lambda^n_i \to S_\bullet$ is a map of simplicial sets then f_0 can be extended to a map $f \colon \Delta^n \to S_\bullet$. The elements of S_0 are the *objects* of $S_\bullet$, sometimes denoted $\mathrm{Ob}(S_\bullet)$. The elements of S_n (for $n > 0$) are the *n-morphisms* of $S_\bullet$.

Given any topological space X, the *singular set* $X_\bullet$ of X, which has X_n the set of continuous maps $\Delta^n \to X$, is a simplicial set satisfying the weak Kan condition (because the n-simplex retracts to any of its horns). So, we can view $X_\bullet$ as an infinity category.

A *functor* of infinity categories is simply a map of simplicial sets [Lur09, Section 1.2.7].

2.3. **The category of chain complexes.** Another infinity category of importance to us is Kom, the infinity category of bounded-below chain complexes of free abelian groups. Following Lurie [Lur, Construction 1.3.1.6], the n-simplices in Kom are pairs $(\{C_j\}, \{f_J\})$ where $\{C_j\}$ is a sequence of $n+1$ bounded-below chain complexes $C_0, \cdots, C_n$ and $\{f_J\}$ is a collection of maps $f_J \colon C_{j_0} \to C_{j_k}$, one for each increasing sequence $J = (0 \le j_0 < \dots < j_k \le n)$ (with $k \ge 1$), of degree $k-1$, satisfying

$$\partial_{C_{j_k}} \circ f_{j_0,\dots,j_k} + (-1)^k f_{j_0,\dots,j_k} \circ \partial_{C_{j_0}} = \sum_{0<\ell<k} (-1)^{k-\ell+1} \left(f_{J\setminus\{j_\ell\}} - f_{j_\ell,\dots,j_k} \circ f_{j_0,\dots,j_\ell} \right). \tag{2.2}$$

The structure maps of the simplicial set Kom are given as follows. For each monotone function $\alpha \colon [m] \to [n]$ and n-simplex $(\{C_j\}, \{f_J\})$ of Kom there is a corresponding simplex $\alpha^*(\{C_j\}, \{f_J\}) = (\{D_\ell\}, \{g_L\})$ where $D_\ell = C_{\alpha(\ell)}$ and g_L is:

- $f_{\alpha(L)}$ if $|\alpha(L)| = |L|$,
- $\mathbb{I}_{C_j}$ if $L = \{\ell_1, \ell_2\}$ has two elements and $\alpha(\ell_1) = \alpha(\ell_2) = j$, and
- the zero map in all other cases.

In fact, the category of chain complexes is a *stable* infinity category [Lur, Proposition 1.3.2.10], i.e., Kom has a zero object, which is the zero complex; every morphism admits a fiber and a cofiber; and fiber and cofiber sequences agree. The cofiber of a morphism f

is simply the mapping cone of f, and the (induced) shift operator is just the usual shift operator on chain complexes.

Remark 2.3. Our convention for indexing the morphisms $f_{j_0,\dots,j_k}$ differs slightly from Lurie's; consequently, the sign in Formula (2.2) differs from Lurie's, as well.

Example 2.4. A 3-simplex in Kom consist of four chain complexes C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3 with maps



where the solid maps are degree-0 chain maps, the dashed maps are degree-1 chain homotopies, and the dotted map is a degree-2 homotopy of homotopies filling in the following square of maps $C_0 \to C_3$:



Convention 2.5. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an infinity category and $F\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathsf{Kom}$ a functor (map of simplicial sets). For any n-simplex σ in $\mathscr{C}$ with vertices $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n$, $F(\sigma)$ is an n-simplex in Kom, consisting of chain complexes $F(\sigma_0), \dots, F(\sigma_n)$, and maps $F(\sigma)_J$ between them, one for each sequence $J = (0 \le j_0 < \cdots < j_k \le n)$. We will let $\vec{F}(\sigma)$ denote the degree $(n-1)$ map $F(\sigma_0) \to F(\sigma_n)$ associated to the maximal sequence $(0 = j_0 < \cdots < j_n = n)$. The functor F is determined entirely by the chain complexes $F(x)$, $x \in \mathscr{C}_0$, and these maps $\vec{F}(\sigma)$ for non-degenerate n-simplices σ, $n \ge 1$.*

2.4. **The homotopy coherent nerve.** Cordier's *homotopy coherent nerve* or *simplicial nerve* is a procedure for turning categories where the morphisms form (fibrant) simplicial sets, i.e., *simplicially enriched categories*, into simplicial sets (infinity categories). Briefly, for each non-negative integer n let $S[n]$ be the simplicially enriched category in which:

- The objects are $0, 1, \dots, n$.
- $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,j)$ is the order complex associated to the set of subsets of $\{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$ which include both i and j, ordered by inclusion. (So, $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,j)$ is a simplicial set, and is in fact combinatorially equivalent to the $(j-i-1)$-dimensional cube; the face $x_\ell = 0$ (respectively $x_\ell = 1$) of the cube corresponds to the subsets of $[i,j]$ not containing (respectively containing) the element $i+\ell$.)
- Composition $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(j,k) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,j) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,k)$ is induced by the union of sets.

(We have followed the notation in *nLab*; Lurie denotes $S[n]$ by $\mathfrak{C}[\Delta^{[0,n]}]$ [Lur09, Definition 1.1.5.1].)

Explicitly, vertices of $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,j)$ correspond to subsets of the form $V = \{i < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_\ell < j\}$; we will denote the corresponding vertex by A_V. Then a non-degenerate

k-simplex in $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,j)$ corresponds to a sequence $V_0,\dots,V_k$ of such subsets where V_ℓ is a proper subset of $V_{\ell+1}$, $0\le \ell < k$; we will represent the simplex as the sequence $A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k}$ of its vertices.

There are coface and codegeneracy functors $\delta_j\colon S[n]\to S[n+1]$, $\sigma_j\colon S[n]\to S[n-1]$, where δ_j is induced by the monotone injection $\{0,\dots,n\}\to\{0,\dots,n+1\}$ which skips j and σ_j is induced by the monotone surjection $\{0,\dots,n\}\to\{0,\dots,n-1\}$ which sends both j and $j+1$ to j (i.e., by the usual maps which dualize to d_j and s_j); these maps induce maps of power sets and order complexes of power sets.

Given a simplicially enriched category $\mathscr{C}$, the simplicial nerve $\mathscr{N}\mathscr{C}$ of $\mathscr{C}$ is the simplicial set with:

- n-simplices given by $\mathrm{Fun}(S[n],\mathscr{C})$ the set of functors of simplicially enriched categories from $S[n]$ to $\mathscr{C}$.
- Face and degeneracy maps induced by the δ_j and σ_j.

If each of the Hom sets in $\mathscr{C}$ is a Kan complex (i.e., fibrant) then the simplicial nerve $\mathscr{N}\mathscr{C}$ is an infinity category (weak Kan complex) [Lur09, Proposition 1.1.5.10].

Example 2.6. A 3-simplex of the simplicial nerve consist of four objects x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3 with morphisms



where the solid morphisms are 0-simplices in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(x_i,x_j)$, the dashed morphisms are 1-simplices in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(x_i,x_j)$ whose faces are those 0-simplices or their compositions, and the dotted morphisms are 2-simplices in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(x_i,x_j)$, whose faces are either the 1-simplices or their compositions with 0-simplices (after treating the 0-simplices as 1-simplices by the degeneracy maps). For instance, the three faces of $\varphi_{03,023,0123}$ are $\varphi_{03,0123}$, $\varphi_{03,023}$, and $s_0(\varphi_{23})\circ\varphi_{02,012}$. The morphisms from x_0 to x_3 fit into the following triangulation of the square (with 0-simplices on vertices, 1-simplices on edges, and 2-simplices on triangles):



The similarity with the definition of the infinity category of chain complexes is not a coincidence [Lur, Section 1.3.1].

2.5. **Homotopy colimits.** Let I_* denote the simplicial chain complex of the 1-simplex Δ^1, i.e., $I_0 = \mathrm{R}\langle\{0\},\{1\}\rangle$, $I_1 = \mathrm{R}\langle\{0,1\}\rangle$, and $\partial\{0,1\} = \{1\} - \{0\}$. The inclusions $\{0\} \hookrightarrow [0,1]$ and $\{1\} \hookrightarrow [0,1]$ induce chain maps $\iota_0, \iota_1 \colon \mathrm{R} \hookrightarrow I_*$ and the projection $[0,1] \to \{pt\}$ induces a chain map $\pi \colon I_* \to \mathrm{R}$. The "multiplication" map $[0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$, $(x,y) \mapsto \min\{x,y\}$, induces the "multiplication" $m \colon I_* \otimes I_* \to I_*$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&m(\{0\}\otimes\{0\}) = m(\{0\}\otimes\{1\}) = m(\{1\}\otimes\{0\}) = \{0\} && m(\{1\}\otimes\{1\}) = \{1\}\\
&m(\{0,1\}\otimes\{0\}) = m(\{0\}\otimes\{0,1\}) = 0 && m(\{0,1\}\otimes\{1\}) = m(\{1\}\otimes\{0,1\}) = \{0,1\}\\
&m(\{0,1\}\otimes\{0,1\}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The following is an adaptation of Vogt's construction of homotopy colimits of diagrams in topological categories [Vog73].

Definition 2.7. *Given a functor (simplicial map) F from an infinity category $\mathscr{C}$ to* Kom*, the* homotopy colimit *of F is the chain complex*

$$\operatorname{hocolim} F = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathscr{C}_n} I_*^{\otimes n} \otimes F(\sigma_0)/\sim, \tag{2.8}$$

where the equivalence relation $\sim$ is generated by

$$\begin{aligned}
(s_0\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1\otimes x) &\sim (\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes t_2\otimes \pi(t_1)\otimes x)\\
(s_i\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1\otimes x) &\sim (\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes m(t_i,t_{i-1})\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1\otimes x) && \text{if } i\geq 1\\
(\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1\otimes x) &\sim (d_i\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes \widehat{t_i}\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1\otimes x) && \text{if } t_i = \{1\}\\
(\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1\otimes x) &\sim (\sigma_{i,\dots,n}; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes t_{i+1}\otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(x))\\
&&& \text{if } t_1 = \cdots = t_{i-1} = \{0,1\} \text{ and } t_i = \{0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The differential is induced by the tensor product differential in Formula (2.8), namely:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial(\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1\otimes x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{\mathrm{gr}(t_{i+1})+\cdots+\mathrm{gr}(t_n)} (\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes \partial(t_i)\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1\otimes x)\\
+ (-1)^{\mathrm{gr}(t_1)+\cdots+\mathrm{gr}(t_n)} (\sigma; t_n\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1\otimes \partial(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

The homotopy colimit has a filtration $\mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{F}_m \operatorname{hocolim} G$ corresponds to replacing in Equation (2.8) the first direct sum over $n \geq 0$ with the sum $0 \leq n \leq m$. (The special case $\mathcal{F}_0 \operatorname{hocolim} G$ is simply the direct sum over objects v in $\mathscr{C}$ of $F(v)$.)

It is not hard to verify that the differential is consistent with the equivalence relation. For instance, temporarily using the notation $[a|b]$ to denote $\sum_{j=a}^{b} \mathrm{gr}(t_j)$, we have


$(\sigma; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \{0\} \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes x)$
$\sim$
$(\sigma_{i,\dots,n}; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(x)$
∂
$\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{[j+1|n]}(\sigma_{i,\dots,n}; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial(t_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(x))$
$+(-1)^{[i+1|n]}(\sigma_{i,\dots,n}; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \partial \circ \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(x))$
= (by Equation (2.2))
∂
$\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{[j+1|n]}(\sigma_{i,\dots,n}; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial(t_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(x))$
$+(-1)^{[i+1|n]+i-j+1}\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(\sigma_{i,\dots,n}; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{j},\dots,i})(x))$
$+(-1)^{[i+1|n]+i-j}(\sigma_{i,\dots,n}; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{j,\dots,i}) \circ \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,j})(x))$
$+(-1)^{[i+1|n]+i-1}(\sigma_{i,\dots,n}; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i}) \circ \partial(x))$
$\sim$
$\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{[j+1|n]}(\sigma; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial(t_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \{0\} \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes x)$
$+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{[i+1|n]+i-j-1}(\sigma; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \{0\} \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{1\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes x)$
$+(-1)^{[i+1|n]+i-j}(\sigma; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \{0\} \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{0\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes x)$
$+(-1)^{[i+1|n]+i-1}(\sigma; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes \{0\} \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes \partial(x))$

For $\sigma \in \mathscr{C}_n$ and $x \in F(\sigma_0)$, write $[\sigma] \otimes x$ for $(\sigma; \{0,1\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{0,1\} \otimes x)$. Note that $\operatorname{hocolim} F$ is generated by such $[\sigma] \otimes x$ for non-degenerate σ and

$$\partial([\sigma] \otimes x) = (-1)^n[\sigma] \otimes (\partial x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\big([\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,n}] \otimes x - [\sigma_{i,\dots,n}] \otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(x)\big). \tag{2.9}$$

Let $I_{\mathrm{R}} \colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathsf{Kom}$ be the constant functor $I_{\mathrm{R}}(x) = \mathrm{R}$ (viewed as a chain complex concentrated in degree 0) for each $x \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}) = \mathscr{C}_0$, $\vec{I}_{\mathrm{R}}(f) = \mathbb{I}$, the identity map, for each 1-morphism $f \in \mathscr{C}_1$, and $\vec{I}_{\mathrm{R}}(\sigma) = 0$ for any n-morphism $\sigma \in \mathscr{C}_n$, $n > 1$. Fix also another functor $F \colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathsf{Kom}$. For any cochains $\alpha \in C^m(\operatorname{hocolim} F; \mathrm{R})$ and $\beta \in C^n(\operatorname{hocolim} I_{\mathrm{R}}; \mathrm{R})$, define their product $\beta \cdot \alpha \in C^{m+n}(\operatorname{hocolim} F; \mathrm{R})$ as

$$(\beta \cdot \alpha)([\sigma] \otimes x) = \begin{cases} \alpha([\sigma_{0,\dots,k-n}] \otimes x)\beta([\sigma_{k-n,\dots,k}] \otimes 1) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is a non-degenerate } k\text{-simplex, } k \geq n, \text{ and } x \in F(\sigma_0) \text{ of grading } (n+m-k), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Clearly, for any other $\gamma \in C^p(\operatorname{hocolim} I_{\mathrm{R}}; \mathrm{R})$,

$$(\gamma \cdot \beta) \cdot \alpha = \gamma \cdot (\beta \cdot \alpha).$$

Moreover from Formula (2.9), it is clear that

$$\delta(\beta \cdot \alpha) = \delta(\beta) \cdot \alpha + (-1)^n \beta \cdot \delta(\alpha),$$

since for any k-simplex σ and $x \in F(\sigma_0)$ of grading $(n + m + 1 - k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta(\beta \cdot \alpha)([\sigma] \otimes x) \\ &= (\beta \cdot \alpha)\big((-1)^k[\sigma] \otimes (\partial x) + \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{k-i}\big([\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,k}] \otimes x - [\sigma_{i,\dots,k}] \otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(x)\big)\big) \\ &= (-1)^k\alpha([\sigma_{0,\dots,k-n}] \otimes \partial x)\beta([\sigma_{k-n,\dots,k}] \otimes 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{k-n-1}(-1)^{k-i}\alpha([\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,k-n}]\otimes x)\beta([\sigma_{k-n,\dots,k}]\otimes 1)$$
$$+\sum_{i=k-n}^{k}(-1)^{k-i}\alpha([\sigma_{0,\dots,k-n-1}]\otimes x)\beta([\sigma_{k-n-1,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,k}]\otimes 1)$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{k-n}(-1)^{k-i}\alpha([\sigma_{i,\dots,k-n}]\otimes \vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(x))\beta([\sigma_{k-n,\dots,k}]\otimes 1)$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\delta(\beta)\cdot\alpha+(-1)^n\beta\cdot\delta(\alpha))([\sigma]\otimes x)\\
=&\,\alpha([\sigma_{0,\dots,k-n-1}]\otimes x)\delta\beta([\sigma_{k-n-1,\dots,k}]\otimes 1)+(-1)^n\delta\alpha([\sigma_{0,\dots,k-n}]\otimes x)\beta([\sigma_{k-n,\dots,k}]\otimes 1)\\
=&\,\alpha([\sigma_{0,\dots,k-n-1}]\otimes x)\sum_{i=k-n-1}^{k}(-1)^{k-i}\Big[\beta([\sigma_{k-n-1,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,k}]\otimes 1)\\
&\qquad\qquad+(-1)^k\alpha([\sigma_{0,\dots,k-n}]\otimes\partial x)\beta([\sigma_{k-n,\dots,k}]\otimes 1)\Big]\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{k-n}(-1)^{k-i}\big(\alpha([\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,k-n}]\otimes x)-\alpha([\sigma_{i,\dots,k-n}]\otimes\vec{F}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(x))\big)\beta([\sigma_{k-n,\dots,k}]\otimes 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} I_{\mathrm{R}})$ becomes a graded ring and $H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} F)$ becomes a graded left module over it.

Lemma 2.11. *Consider the functor $I_{\mathrm{R}}\colon\mathscr{C}\to\mathsf{Kom}$. Then* $\operatorname{hocolim} I_{\mathrm{R}}$ *is isomorphic to the cellular chain complex of the geometric realization of $\mathscr{C}$ and the induced isomorphism on cohomology respects the ring structures.*

Proof. This is immediate from Equation (2.9). The homotopy colimit is freely generated by $[\sigma]\otimes 1$ for non-degenerate n-simplices σ of $\mathscr{C}$ and $1\in I_{\mathrm{R}}(\sigma_0)=\mathrm{R}$, and the differential of such a generator is

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial([\sigma]\otimes 1)&=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}[\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,n}]\otimes 1-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}[\sigma_{i,\dots,n}]\otimes\vec{I}_{\mathrm{R}}(\sigma_{0,\dots,i})(1)\\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}[d_i\sigma]\otimes 1+(-1)^n[\sigma_{1,\dots,n}]\otimes\vec{I}_{\mathrm{R}}(\sigma_{0,1})(1)\\
&=(-1)^n\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i[d_i\sigma]\otimes 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the map $[\sigma]\otimes 1\mapsto(-1)^{\binom{n+1}{2}}|\sigma|$ is an isomorphism between $\operatorname{hocolim} I_{\mathrm{R}}$ and the cellular chain complex of $|\mathscr{C}|$.

For the ring structures, let $\alpha\in C^m(|\mathscr{C}|)$ and $\beta\in C^n(|\mathscr{C}|)$ be cocycles and let $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\beta}$ be the corresponding cocycles in $C^*(\operatorname{hocolim} I_{\mathrm{R}})$. Then for any $(m+n)$-simplex σ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\beta}\cdot\overline{\alpha}([\sigma]\otimes 1)&=(-1)^{\binom{m+1}{2}+\binom{n+1}{2}}\alpha(|\sigma_{0,\dots,m}|)\beta(|\sigma_{m,\dots,m+n}|)\\
&=(-1)^{\binom{m+1}{2}+\binom{n+1}{2}}(\alpha\cup\beta)(|\sigma|)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sim (-1)^{\binom{m+n+1}{2}}(\beta \cup \alpha)(|\sigma|)$$
$$= \overline{\beta \cup \alpha}([\sigma] \otimes 1). \qquad \square$$

Definition 2.12. *For any functor $F\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathsf{Kom}$, define its* hypercohomology $H^*(F)$ *to be the cohomology of* $\operatorname{hocolim} F$. *Using the product from Equation (2.10) and the isomorphism from Lemma 2.11, the hypercohomology $H^*(F)$ is a graded left module over $H^*(|\mathscr{C}|)$.*

Next, suppose we have functors $F_0, F_1\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathsf{Kom}$ and a natural transformation G from F_0 to F_1, that is, G is a functor $\Delta^1 \times \mathscr{C} \to \mathsf{Kom}$ satisfying $G|_{\{i\}\times\mathscr{C}} = F_i$, $i = 0, 1$. To this, we will associate a chain map $f_G\colon \operatorname{hocolim} F_0 \to \operatorname{hocolim} F_1$.

For $-1 \le i \le n$, let $\Delta^1_{n;i}$ denote the n-simplex in Δ^1 whose vertices are $\overbrace{0,\dots,0}^{i+1},\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{n-i}$. Then given a non-degenerate n-simplex σ in $\mathscr{C}$ there are $n+1$ associated non-degenerate $(n+1)$-simplices of $\Delta^1 \times \mathscr{C}$ given by $\Delta^1_{n+1;i} \times s_i\sigma$, for $0 \le i \le n$. The maps $\vec{G}(\Delta^1_{n+1;i} \times s_i\sigma)$ are degree n maps from $F_0(\sigma_0)$ to $F_1(\sigma_n)$.

Now construct the map f_G by defining, for non-degenerate n-simplices σ in $\mathscr{C}$ and $x \in F_0(\sigma_0)$,

$$f_G([\sigma] \otimes x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Big([\sigma_{m,\dots,n}] \otimes \big(\sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-i} \vec{G}(\Delta^1_{m+1;i} \times s_i\sigma_{0,\dots,m})(x) \big) \Big). \tag{2.13}$$

Lemma 2.14. *Given functors $F_0, F_1\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathsf{Kom}$ and a natural transformation G from F_0 to F_1, let $f_G\colon \operatorname{hocolim}(F_0) \to \operatorname{hocolim}(F_1)$ be the map from Equation (2.13). Then f_G is a chain map and the induced map $H^*(F_1) \to H^*(F_0)$ on hypercohomology respects the module structure over $H^*(|\mathscr{C}|)$.*

Proof. We will temporarily use the notation $\overline{G}(\sigma; i)$ for $\vec{G}(\Delta^1_{n+1;i} \times s_i\sigma)$ (for an n-simplex σ, $0 \le i \le n$). We represent a generator of the form $[\sigma] \otimes x$, for $x \in F_i(\sigma_0)$, as living over the barycenter of $\{i\} \times \sigma$. Furthermore, we represent the map f_G by solid straight arrows, and the three terms in the differential from Equation (2.9) by curved, dashed, and dotted arrows, respectively. For example, the case $n = 2$ is:



To see f_G is a chain map, fix a non-degenerate n-simplex σ in $\mathscr{C}$ and $x \in F_0(\sigma_0)$, and consider the terms of $(\partial \circ f_G - f_G \circ \partial)([\sigma] \otimes x)$. The terms are of the following two types:

(1) $[\sigma_{m,\dots,\hat{\ell},\dots,n}]\otimes y$, $0 \le m < \ell \le n$, $y \in F_1(\sigma_m)$. From both $\partial(f_G([\sigma]\otimes x))$ and $f_G(\partial([\sigma]\otimes x))$, we get the term

$$(-1)^{n-\ell}[\sigma_{m,\dots,\hat{\ell},\dots,n}] \otimes \big(\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-i}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,m};i)(x)\big)$$

(as compositions of solid straight–dashed arrows in either order) which therefore cancel.

(2) $[\sigma_{m,\dots,n}] \otimes y$, $0 \le m \le n$, $y \in F_1(\sigma_m)$. From $\partial(f_G([\sigma]\otimes x))$, we get the terms

$$(-1)^{n-m}[\sigma_{m,\dots,n}] \otimes \Big(\partial\big(\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-i}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,m};i)(x)\big) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1}\vec{F}_1(\sigma_{\ell,\dots,m})\big(\sum_{i=0}^{\ell}(-1)^{\ell-i}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell};i)(x)\big)\Big)$$

(as compositions of solid straight–curved arrows and solid straight–dotted arrows, respectively) while from $f_G(\partial([\sigma]\otimes x))$ we get the terms

$$\begin{aligned}[\sigma_{m,\dots,n}] \otimes \Big((-1)^n\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-i}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,m};i)(\partial x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{m-1-i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1}(-1)^{n-\ell}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{\ell},\dots,m};i)(x)\\ - \sum_{i=0}^{m-\ell}(-1)^{m-\ell-i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}(-1)^{n-\ell}\overline{G}(\sigma_{\ell,\dots,m};i)\big(\vec{F}_0(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell})(x)\big)\Big)\end{aligned}$$

(as compositions of curved–solid straight arrows, dashed–solid straight arrows, and dotted–solid straight arrows, respectively). Therefore, we need to show:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-i}\big(\partial\circ\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,m};i) + (-1)^{m+1}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,m};i)\circ\partial\big)\\ &\quad= \sum_{\substack{1\le\ell\le m-1\\0\le i\le m-1}}(-1)^{i+\ell-1}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{\ell},\dots,m};i) + \sum_{\substack{1\le\ell\le m\\0\le i\le m-\ell}}(-1)^{i+1}\overline{G}(\sigma_{\ell,\dots,m};i)\circ\vec{F}_0(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell})\\ &\qquad+ \sum_{\substack{0\le\ell\le m-1\\0\le i\le\ell}}(-1)^{i+\ell}\vec{F}_1(\sigma_{\ell,\dots,m})\circ\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell};i).\end{aligned}$$

Using Equation (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial\circ\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,m};i) + (-1)^{m+1}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,m};i)\circ\partial\\ &\quad= \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{m-\ell}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{\ell},\dots,m};i-1) + \sum_{\ell=i+2}^{m}(-1)^{m-\ell}\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\widehat{\ell-1},\dots,m};i)\\ &\qquad+ \sum_{\ell=\max(1,i)}^{\min(i+1,m)}(-1)^{m-\ell}\vec{G}(\Delta^1_{m;\ell-1}\times\sigma_{0,\dots,m})\\ &\qquad- \sum_{\ell=1}^{i}(-1)^{m-\ell}\overline{G}(\sigma_{\ell,\dots,m};i-\ell)\circ\vec{F}_0(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell})\\ &\qquad- \sum_{\ell=i+1}^{m}(-1)^{m-\ell}\vec{F}_1(\sigma_{\ell-1,\dots,m})\circ\overline{G}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell-1};i),\end{aligned}$$

and by taking the alternating sum as i varies from 0 to m, the terms of the form $\vec{G}(\Delta^1_{m;\ell-1}\times\sigma_{0,\dots,m})$ cancel and the required equation follows.

Finally, it is clear from Equations (2.10) and (2.13) that the dual of the map f_G respects products with cochains in $C^*(\operatorname{hocolim} I_{\mathrm{R}})$ on the nose. □

Going one step further:

Lemma 2.15. *Suppose we have functors $F_0, F_1, F_2 \colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathsf{Kom}$ and natural transformations G_{01} from F_0 to F_1, G_{12} from F_1 to F_2, and G_{02} from F_0 to F_2. Further suppose that there is a functor $H \colon \Delta^2 \times \mathscr{C} \to \mathsf{Kom}$ satisfying $H|_{\{i\}\times\mathscr{C}} = F_i$, and $H|_{d_i\Delta^2\times\mathscr{C}} = G_{0,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,2}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. Then there is an induced chain homotopy connecting $f_{G_{12}} \circ f_{G_{01}}$ and $f_{G_{02}}$.*

We leave the proof, which is a more elaborate version of the previous proof, to the reader.

Remark 2.16. If we were to identify the explicit description of $\operatorname{hocolim} F$ with Joyal's more abstract definition [Joy02, Definition 4.5], [Lur09, Definition 1.2.13.4] then Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15 would follow.

Remark 2.17. Infinity functors from $\mathscr{C}$ to Kom form a stable infinity category [Lur, Proposition 1.1.3.1] (see also [Lur09, Proposition 1.2.7.3]). In particular, the homotopy category $h\operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{C}, \mathsf{Kom})$ of $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{C}, \mathsf{Kom})$ is an additive (in fact, triangulated) category [Lur, Theorem 1.1.2.14 and Remark 1.1.2.15]. Using this, the hypercohomology of a functor F can also be defined as $H^n(F) = \operatorname{Hom}_{h\operatorname{Fun}(\mathscr{C},\mathsf{Kom})}(F, I_{\mathrm{R}}[n])$.

2.6. **Smooth simplices.** Typically we will consider the singular sets of (finite- and infinite-dimensional) smooth manifolds. In this case, we will want to consider smooth simplices, i.e., maps $f \colon \Delta^n \to X$ so that there is a smooth map $F \colon U \to X$ for some open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ containing Δ^n with $F|_U = f$. For the moment, let $X^{sm}_\bullet$ denote the simplicial set with n-simplices the smooth maps $\Delta^n \to X$. Since both the coface and codegeneracy maps of the simplex are smooth maps, $X^{sm}_\bullet$ is, indeed, a simplicial set.

Proposition 2.18. *The simplicial set $X^{sm}_\bullet$ is fibrant, and the inclusion $X^{sm}_\bullet \hookrightarrow X_\bullet$ is a weak equivalence.*

See, for instance, Lee [Lee13, Chapter 18] (especially [Lee13, Theorem 18.7 and Lemma 18.9]) for a nice exposition of the proof (in more elementary language).

From now on, when X is a smooth manifold we will let $X_\bullet$ denote $X^{sm}_\bullet$, the singular set of smooth simplices.

3. The construction

3.1. **Hypotheses and statement of result.** Fix a Lie group G acting on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) preserving Lagrangians L_0 and L_1 setwise.

Hypothesis 3.1. *We assume:*

(J-1) For any loop of paths

$$v \colon \big([0,1] \times S^1, \{1\} \times S^1, \{0\} \times S^1\big) \to (M, L_0, L_1)$$

with $v|_{[0,1]\times\{1\}}$ a constant path, the ω-area of v and the Maslov index of v both vanish. (Compare [WW12, Theorem 1.0.1].)

(J-2) The manifold M is either compact or is convex at infinity [EG91, Section 1.7.1]. Further, in the latter case, the Lagrangians L_0 and L_1 are either compact or else M has finite type and L_0 and L_1 are conical at infinity and disjoint outside a compact set (see, e.g., [KS02, Section 5] or [Hen16, Section 2.1] and the references there).

(J-3) Every G-twisted loop of paths (Definition 3.6) has Maslov index 0.

That is, we make enough assumptions that the Lagrangian intersection Floer complexes of a generic perturbation of L_0 and L_1 are defined with respect to a generic almost complex structure without resorting to Novikov coefficients or virtual techniques. Hypothesis (J-3) guarantees that we have $\mathbb{Z}$ gradings on our equivariant complexes defined below. If G is compact then Hypothesis (J-3) is automatically satisfied; see Lemma 3.7.

In what follows, either:

- Fix a choice of coherent G-orientations for the moduli spaces, as discussed in Section 3.4. Or
- Throughout the following discussion take Floer cohomology with coefficients in a field of characteristic 2.

3.2. **Spaces of Hamiltonian perturbations and almost complex structures.** By a *cylindrical almost complex structure* on M we mean a smooth one-parameter family of smooth almost complex structures $J = J(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ on M each of which is compatible with ω. Similarly, by a *cylindrical Hamiltonian* we mean a compactly supported, smooth function $H\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{cyl}}$ denote the space of pairs (J,H) of a cylindrical almost complex structure and a cylindrical Hamiltonian (with the product of C^∞ topologies). We call points $(J,H) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{cyl}}$ *cylindrical pairs*.

The left action by G on M induces the following left action by G on $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{cyl}}$: for $g \in G$ and $(J,H) \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{cyl}}$,

$$g(J,H) \coloneqq (g_* \circ J \circ g_*^{-1}, H \circ g^{-1}).$$

Given a cylindrical Hamiltonian H, let Φ_H denote the time-one flow of H and let $L_0^H = \Phi_H(L_0)$ denote the image of L_0 under Φ_H.

For $n \geq 1$, by an *n-interval, 1-story marked line* we mean a sequence $p_1 \leq q_1 \leq p_2 \leq q_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_n \leq q_n$ of points in $\mathbb{R}$, modulo overall translation. Let ML_n denote the space of 1-story n-interval marked lines, topologized as $\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{R}$. The *difference coordinates* $d_1, \ldots, d_{2n-1}$ on a 1-story marked line $(p_1, \ldots, q_n)$ are $d_1 = q_1 - p_1$, $d_2 = p_2 - q_1$, $\ldots$, $d_{2n-1} = q_n - p_n$. By a *(multistory) n-interval marked line* $\mathbb{L}$ we mean a finite sequence of 1-story marked lines $\mathbb{L}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{L}_k$, where $\mathbb{L}_i$ has n_i intervals and $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$. We define difference coordinates on $\mathbb{L}$, lying in $[0,\infty]$, by setting $d_1 = d_1(\mathbb{L}_1)$, $d_2 = d_2(\mathbb{L}_1)$, $\ldots$, $d_{2n_1} = \infty$, $d_{2n_1+1} = d_1(\mathbb{L}_2)$, $\ldots$. Giving $[0,\infty]$ the topology of a closed interval, these difference coordinates induce a topology on $\overline{ML}_n$, the space of n-interval marked lines.

Define the marked lines with difference coordinates $(d_1, \ldots, d_{i-1}, 0, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_{2n-1})$ in $\overline{ML}_n$ and $(d_1, \ldots, d_{i-1} + d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_{2n-1})$ in $\overline{ML}_{n-1}$ to be equivalent, provided that at least one of d_{i-1} and d_{i+1} is finite. By $\overline{ML}$ we mean the set of equivalence classes of marked lines, with the quotient topology.

We say that $\mathrm{gl}(\mathbb{L}) \in ML_n$ is *a gluing* of $\mathbb{L} \in \overline{ML}_n$ if the difference coordinates for $\mathrm{gl}(\mathbb{L})$ are obtained from the difference coordinates for $\mathbb{L}$ by replacing the ∞s by positive real numbers. We call these new positive real numbers *gluing parameters*.

Given a 1-story marked line $\mathbb{L} = (p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_n, q_n) \in ML$, by a *1-story semicylindrical pair of shape* $\mathbb{L}$ we mean a smooth, one-parameter family of cylindrical pairs $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{cyl}}$ so that $\widetilde{J}$ and $\widetilde{H}$ are constant (translation-invariant) on each of the intervals $(-\infty, p_1]$, $[q_i, p_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $[q_n, \infty)$. By a *1-story semicylindrical pair* we mean a 1-story semicylindrical pair of some shape. Endow the set of 1-story semicylindrical pairs with the quotient topology of the C^∞-topology on fiberwise almost complex structures on

$[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}\times M$ by the action of $\mathbb{R}$ by translation. If $\mathbb{L}$ consists of a single interval and that interval has length 0, then a semicylindrical pair of shape $\mathbb{L}$ is a cylindrical pair.

More generally, given a marked line $\mathbb{L}=(\mathbb{L}_1,\dots,\mathbb{L}_k)\in\overline{ML}$, by a *(multistory) semicylindrical pair of shape* $\mathbb{L}$ we mean a sequence $(\widetilde{J}_1,\widetilde{H}_1),\dots,(\widetilde{J}_k,\widetilde{H}_k)$ of 1-story semicylindrical pairs of shapes $\mathbb{L}_1,\dots,\mathbb{L}_k$ so that the value of $(\widetilde{J}_i,\widetilde{H}_i)$ near $+\infty$ agrees with the value of $(\widetilde{J}_{i+1},\widetilde{H}_{i+1})$ near $-\infty$ for $i=1,\dots,k-1$. Given a semicylindrical pair $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ of shape $\mathbb{L}$ and a gluing $\mathrm{gl}(\mathbb{L})$ of $\mathbb{L}$ there is an induced semicylindrical pair $\mathrm{gl}(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ of shape $\mathrm{gl}(\mathbb{L})$. Define a subbasis for the topology on space of semicylindrical pairs to consist of the sets V_U where U is an open set of 1-story semicylindrical pairs and

$$V_U=\{(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})\mid\exists\text{ gluing gl such that }\mathrm{gl}(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})\in U\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the set of pairs $(\mathbb{L},(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H}))$ where $\mathbb{L}$ is a marked line and $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ is a semicylindrical pair of shape $\mathbb{L}$. Give $\mathcal{J}$ the product of the topology on the space of semicylindrical pairs and the topology on $\overline{ML}$.

Given a semicylindrical pair $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})=\big((\widetilde{J}_1,\widetilde{H}_1),\dots,(\widetilde{J}_k,\widetilde{H}_k)\big)$ let $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})^{-\infty}=(J^{-\infty},H^{-\infty})$ denote the value of $(\widetilde{J}_1,\widetilde{H}_1)$ for $t\ll 0\in\mathbb{R}$ and let $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})^{+\infty}=(J^{+\infty},H^{+\infty})$ denote the value of $(\widetilde{J}_k,\widetilde{H}_k)$ for $t\gg 0\in\mathbb{R}$, so $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})^{-\infty}$ and $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})^{+\infty}$ are cylindrical pairs. There is a partially-defined composition $\circ$ on semicylindrical pairs: given semicylindrical pairs $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})=\big((\widetilde{J}_1,\widetilde{H}_1),\dots,(\widetilde{J}_k,\widetilde{H}_k)\big)$ and $(\widetilde{J}',\widetilde{H}')=\big((\widetilde{J}'_1,\widetilde{H}'_1),\dots,(\widetilde{J}'_{k'},\widetilde{H}'_{k'})\big)$ with $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})^{+\infty}=(\widetilde{J}',\widetilde{H}')^{-\infty}$ we can form a new semicylindrical pair $(\widetilde{J}',\widetilde{H}')\circ(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ by concatenation of sequences,

$$(\widetilde{J}',\widetilde{H}')\circ(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})=\big((\widetilde{J}_1,\widetilde{H}_1),\dots,(\widetilde{J}_k,\widetilde{H}_k),(\widetilde{J}'_1,\widetilde{H}'_1),\dots,(\widetilde{J}'_{k'},\widetilde{H}'_{k'})\big).$$

Definition 3.2. *A cylindrical pair (J,H) is* generic *if:*

(G-1) L_0^H is transverse to L_1 and

(G-2) for any $x,y\in L_0^H\cap L_1$ and $\phi\in\pi_2(x,y)$ a homotopy class of Whitney disks connecting x to y with Maslov index $\mu(\phi)\le 1$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\phi;J,H)$ of J-holomorphic disks in the homotopy class ϕ is transversely cut out by the $\bar\partial$ equation.

We call (J,H) very generic *if (J,H) is generic and the second condition also holds for homotopy classes ϕ with $\mu(\phi)=2$.*

Given a 1-story semicylindrical pair $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ we have a family of Lagrangian submanifolds $L_0^{H_t}$, parameterized by $t\in\mathbb{R}$, agreeing with $L_0^{H^{-\infty}}$ for $t\ll 0$ and $L_0^{H^{+\infty}}$ for $t\gg 0$. Given $x\in L_0^{H^{-\infty}}\cap L_1$ and $y\in L_0^{H^{+\infty}}\cap L_1$ we can consider the set of Whitney disks with dynamic boundary on $L_0^{H_t}$ and L_1. We denote this set $\pi_2(x,y)$. Given $\phi\in\pi_2(x,y)$ there is a moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\phi;\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ of $\widetilde{J}$-holomorphic strips with dynamic Lagrangian boundary conditions $L_0^{H_t}$ and L_1 in the homotopy class ϕ.

More generally, given a semicylindrical pair $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})=\big((\widetilde{J}_1,\widetilde{H}_1),\dots,(\widetilde{J}_k,\widetilde{H}_k)\big)$ and points $x_1\in L_0^{H^{-\infty}}\cap L_1$, $x_{k+1}\in L_0^{H^{+\infty}}\cap L_1$ we can consider the set of homotopy classes of Whitney disks $\pi_2(x_1,x_{k+1})$ with boundary specified by $\widetilde{H}$. The easiest way to define this space is to choose a gluing $\mathrm{gl}(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ of $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ so that $\mathrm{gl}(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ is a 1-story semicylindrical pair, and define $\pi_2(x_1,x_{k+1})$ to be the set of homotopy classes of Whitney disks in this gluing; there are canonical bijections between these sets for different choices of gluings. Given a sequence of points $x_i\in L_0^{H_i^{-\infty}}\cap L_1$, $i=1,\dots,k+1$, and homotopy classes $\phi_i\in\pi_2(x_i,x_{i+1})$, $i=1,\dots,k$, (pre)gluing the homotopy classes ϕ_i gives a homotopy class $\phi=\phi_1*\dots*\phi_k\in\pi_2(x_1,x_{k+1})$.

Given $\phi \in \pi_2(x_1, x_{k+1})$ there is a corresponding moduli space

$$\mathcal{M}(\phi; \widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H}) = \coprod_{\phi_1 * \cdots * \phi_k = \phi} \mathcal{M}(\phi_1; \widetilde{J}_1, \widetilde{H}_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}(\phi_k; \widetilde{J}_k, \widetilde{H}_k).$$

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(\phi; \widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ have compactifications by allowing disks at intermediate, cylindrical levels between $(\widetilde{J}_i, \widetilde{H}_i)$ and $(\widetilde{J}_{i+1}, \widetilde{H}_{i+1})$, as well as below $(\widetilde{J}_1, \widetilde{H}_1)$ and above $(\widetilde{J}_k, \widetilde{H}_k)$. To make this precise, given a semicylindrical pair $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H}) = ((\widetilde{J}_1, \widetilde{H}_1), \ldots, (\widetilde{J}_k, \widetilde{H}_k))$ we say that the semicylindrical pair

$$(\widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}') = ((\widetilde{J}_1, \widetilde{H}_1), \ldots, (\widetilde{J}_i, \widetilde{H}_i), (J, H), (\widetilde{J}_{i+1}, \widetilde{H}_{i+1}), \ldots, (\widetilde{J}_k, \widetilde{H}_k))$$

is an *elementary expansion* of $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ if (J, H) is a cylindrical pair (a pair with shape a 1-story marked line with a single interval with length 0). We call $(\widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}')$ an *expansion* of $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ if $(\widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}')$ is obtained from $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ by some sequence of elementary expansions. (In other words, $(\widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}')$ is an expansion of $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ if $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ is obtained from $(\widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}')$ by forgetting some $\mathbb{R}$-invariant levels in $(\widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}')$.) Note that if $(\widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}')$ is an expansion of $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ and $x \in L_0^{H^{-\infty}} \cap L_1$, $y \in L_0^{H^{+\infty}} \cap L_1$ then there is an identification between the homotopy classes of Whitney disks $\pi_2(x, y)$ connecting x and y with respect to $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ and $(\widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}')$. Define

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi; \widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H}) = \coprod_{(\widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}') \text{ an expansion of } (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})} \mathcal{M}(\phi; \widetilde{J}', \widetilde{H}').$$

(Here, we do not allow $\mathbb{R}$-invariant (trivial) disks in the moduli spaces on the right, or equivalently we consider only stable curves.) There is a natural topology on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi; \widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ which we leave to the reader to spell out.

3.3. **Twisted homotopy classes.** Fix, for the rest of this section, a generic cylindrical pair (J, H).

Fix $g \in G$ and $x, y \in L_0^H \cap L_1$. We define a set $\pi_2^g(x, y)$ of *g-twisted homotopy classes of Whitney disks* as follows. Choose a semi-cylindrical Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H}$ so that $\widetilde{H}^{-\infty} = H$ and $\widetilde{H}^{+\infty} = gH$. There is a corresponding 1-parameter family $L_0^{\widetilde{H}} \subset \mathbb{R} \times M$, where $L_0^{\widetilde{H}} \cap (\{t\} \times M)$ is $L_0^{\widetilde{H}(t)}$. Let $\pi_2^g(x, y)$ be the set of homotopy classes of sections

$$([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}) \to \left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times M, \{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \times L_1, \{1\} \times L_0^{\widetilde{H}}\right) \tag{3.3}$$

which are asymptotic to x (or rather, $[0,1] \times x$) at $-\infty$ and gy at $+\infty$. (Note that $y \in L_0^H$ implies $gy \in L_0^{gH}$ since $gH = H \circ g^{-1}$.)

We verify that the dependence of $\pi_2^g(x, y)$ on the choice of $\widetilde{H}$ is superficial. Provisionally, denote $\pi_2^g(x, y)$ defined using $\widetilde{H}$ by $\pi_2^g(x, y; \widetilde{H})$. Given another semi-cylindrical $\widetilde{K}$ with $\widetilde{K}^{-\infty} = H$ and $\widetilde{K}^{+\infty} = gH$ consider the 1-parameter family of dynamic Hamiltonians $(1-s)\widetilde{H} + s\widetilde{K}$. Given $\phi \in \pi_2^g(x, y)$ as in Formula (3.3) (with respect to $\widetilde{H}$), applying the Hamiltonian flow of $(1-s)\widetilde{H} + s\widetilde{K}$ to $\phi(1, t)$ gives a section

$$([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}) \to \left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times M, \{0\} \times L_0^{\widetilde{H}}, \{1\} \times L_0^{\widetilde{K}}\right).$$

Concatenating ϕ with this new section gives a section

$$([0,2] \times \mathbb{R}, \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \{2\} \times \mathbb{R}) \to \left([0,2] \times \mathbb{R} \times M, \{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \times L_1, \{2\} \times L_0^{\widetilde{K}}\right),$$

and rescaling $[0,2]$ to $[0,1]$ gives a section as in Formula (3.3) except with respect to $\widetilde{K}$ instead of $\widetilde{H}$. This gives us a map

$$\psi_{\widetilde{H},\widetilde{K}}\colon \pi_2(x,y;\widetilde{H}) \to \pi_2(x,y;\widetilde{K}).$$

Lemma 3.4. *The maps $\psi_{\widetilde{H},\widetilde{K}}$ satisfy $\psi_{\widetilde{H},\widetilde{H}} = \mathbb{I}$ and $\psi_{\widetilde{H}_2,\widetilde{H}_3} \circ \psi_{\widetilde{H}_1,\widetilde{H}_2} = \psi_{\widetilde{H}_1,\widetilde{H}_3}$. So, the maps $\psi_{\widetilde{H},\widetilde{K}}$ induce canonical bijections $\pi_2^g(x,y;\widetilde{H}) \to \pi_2^g(x,y;\widetilde{K})$.*

Proof. This is left as an exercise to the reader. □

Thus, we are justified in dropping $\widetilde{H}$ from the notation, and simply writing $\pi_2^g(x,y)$.

Concatenation (in the $\mathbb{R}$-direction) gives an operations

$$*\colon \pi_2^g(x,y) \times \pi_2^h(y,z) \to \pi_2^{gh}(x,z).$$

Next, consider two points $g_0, g_1 \in G$ and a path g_t in G from g_0 to g_1. For $y \in L_0^H \cap L_1$ there is a corresponding element $\phi_y \in \pi_2^{g_0^{-1}g_1}(y,y)$ defined as follows. View g_t as a map $\mathbb{R} \to G$ with $g_t = g_0$ for $t < 0$ and $g_t = g_1$ for $t > 1$. Then there is a corresponding semi-cylindrical Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H} = g_0^{-1}g_t H$ and we define $\phi_y(s,t) = g_0^{-1}g_t y \in \pi_2^{g_0^{-1}g_1}(y,y)$.

Splicing with the ϕ_y defines a bijection

$$\xi_{g_t}\colon \pi_2^{g_0}(x,y) \to \pi_2^{g_1}(x,y)$$
$$\xi(\phi) = \phi * \phi_y.$$

Lemma 3.5. *If g_t and g_t' are homotopic rel endpoints then $\xi_{g_t} = \xi_{g_t'}$.*

Proof. Again, this is straightforward from the definitions, and is left as an exercise. □

Given a twisted homotopy class $\phi \in \pi_2^g(x,y)$ there is a corresponding Maslov index $\mu(\phi)$. Further, μ is additive in the sense that $\mu(\phi_1 * \phi_2) = \mu(\phi_1) + \mu(\phi_2)$.

Definition 3.6. *A* G-twisted loop *is a homotopy class $\phi \in \pi_2^g(x,x)$ for some $x \in L_0^H \cap L_1$ and $g \in G$.*

Lemma 3.7. *If G is compact and Hypothesis 3.1 (J-1) is satisfied then any G-twisted loop $\phi \in \pi_2^g(x,x)$ has Maslov index 0.*

Proof. For any neighborhood $U \ni 0$ in G, there is some n so that $g^{-n} \in U$. For U a small enough neighborhood, there is a (nearly constant) Maslov index 0 disk ϕ_0 in $\pi_2^{g^{-n}}(x,x)$. Now, given $\phi \in \pi_2^g(x,x)$ we have

$$\mu(\overbrace{\phi * \phi * \cdots * \phi}^{n} * \phi_0) = n\mu(\phi),$$

but the left hand side is an element of $\pi_2^1(x,x)$ and so, by Hypothesis 3.1 (J-1), has Maslov index 0. □

3.4. **Equivariant coherent orientations.** We continue to fix a generic cylindrical pair (J,H). In this section, we adapt the notions of coherent orientations [FH93,EES05,FOOO09, Sei08] to the G-equivariant setting. We start by briefly reviewing the non-equivariant situation.

Fix a generic, cylindrical pair (J,H). Given points $x, y \in L_0^H \cap L_1$, let $\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,y)$ denote an appropriate Sobolev space of maps as in Formula (3.3). (See also the proof of Theorem 3.25, below.) The $\bar{\partial}$-operator is a section of a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_{(J,H)}$ over $\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}$. There is a virtual

vector bundle $\mathrm{ind}(D\bar\partial)$ over $\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,y)$, the *index bundle* of the family of Fredholm maps $D\bar\partial$. If $\bar\partial$ is transverse to the 0-section then the restriction of $\mathrm{ind}(D\bar\partial)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{M}_{(J,H)}(x,y)$ of holomorphic disks is identified with the tangent space $T\mathcal{M}_{(J,H)}(x,y)$. So, orienting the determinant line bundle $\det(D\bar\partial)$ (which is an honest line bundle) orients the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{(J,H)}(x,y)$, when they are transversely cut out.

Given $u \in \mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,y)$ and $v \in \mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(y,z)$ and a parameter $R \gg 0$ large enough that $u([0,1]\times[R,\infty))$ and $v([0,1]\times(-\infty,-R])$ are contained in a ball neighborhood of y we can use bump functions to *pre-glue* u and v to get a curve $(u\natural_R v) \in \mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,z)$. We view pre-gluing as a map

$$\gamma\colon \mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(y,z)\times\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,y)\to\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,z);$$

note the ordering of the factors, which we have chosen to be consistent with composition in a category, and not with the concatenation operator $*$. Pre-gluing is covered by bundle maps

$$\begin{aligned} T_v\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(y,z)\oplus T_u\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,y) &\to T_{u\natural_R v}\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,z)\\ \mathcal{E}_{(J,H),v}\oplus\mathcal{E}_{(J,H),u} &\to \mathcal{E}_{(J,H),u\natural_R v}. \end{aligned}$$

The two paths around the following diagram agree modulo terms of lower order:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_v\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(y,z)\oplus T_u\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,y) & \xrightarrow{\text{pre-glue}} & T_{u\natural_R v}\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,z)\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle D\bar\partial} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle D\bar\partial}\\ \mathcal{E}_{(J,H),v}\oplus\mathcal{E}_{(J,H),u} & \xrightarrow{\text{pre-glue}} & \mathcal{E}_{(J,H),u\natural_R v}. \end{array}$$

This gives an identification of the determinant lines of the Fredholm maps $D_{u\natural_R v}\bar\partial|_{(J,H)}$ and $(D_v\bar\partial|_{(J,H)})\oplus(D_u\bar\partial|_{(J,H)})$.

By *coherent orientations* of the moduli spaces we mean trivializations of the determinant line bundles

$$\phi_{x,y}\colon \det(D\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,y)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{B}_{(J,H)}(x,y) = \underline{\mathbb{R}}$$

so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \det(D\bar\partial)_{\mathcal{B}(y,z)}\otimes\det(D\bar\partial)_{\mathcal{B}(x,y)} & \xrightarrow{\text{pre-glue}} & \gamma^*\det(D\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}(x,z)}\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{y,z}\otimes\phi_{x,y}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{x,z}}\\ \underline{\mathbb{R}}\otimes\underline{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{\mathbb{R}} \end{array}$$

commutes up to positive scaling. (Here, the bottom horizontal arrow is the standard isomorphism $1\otimes 1\mapsto 1$.)

The G-equivariant analogue of coherent orientations is as follows.

Given $x,y\in L_0^H\cap L_1$ and $g\in G$ we can consider the space $\mathcal{B}_g(x,y)$ of smooth Whitney disks connecting x and gy, with respect to the Hamiltonian isotopy from L_0^H to L_0^{gH} coming from the inverse of the Hamiltonian isotopy induced by H followed by the Hamiltonian isotopy corresponding to gH, $L_0^H \sim L_0 \sim L_0^{gH}$ (cf. Section 3.3). The spaces $\mathcal{B}_g(x,y)$ fit



FIGURE 1. **Lagrangians in a punctured torus.** The two regions supporting holomorphic bigons are labeled F and B, for front and back.

together into a fiber bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}_g(x,y) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_G(x,y) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & G. \end{array}$$

At each $g \in G$ and each point $u \in \mathcal{B}_g(x,y)$ we have a linearized $\bar\partial$ operator $D_u\bar\partial$, the index bundles of which fit together to give a virtual index bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{ind}(D_u\bar\partial) = [\ker(D_u\bar\partial) - \operatorname{coker}(D_u\bar\partial)] & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{ind}(D\bar\partial) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{B}_G(x,y). \end{array}$$

The determinant line bundle of this virtual index bundle is $\det(D\bar\partial) \coloneqq \det(\operatorname{ind}(D\bar\partial))$.

As in the non-equivariant case, pre-gluing $\natural$ gives a map $\gamma\colon \mathcal{B}_h(y,z)\times\mathcal{B}_g(x,y) \to \mathcal{B}_{gh}(x,z)$, $(v,u) \mapsto u\natural(gv)$, which is covered by an identification of bundles $\psi_{x,y,z}\colon \operatorname{ind}(\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}_h(y,z)} \otimes \operatorname{ind}(\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}_g(x,y)} \to \gamma^*\operatorname{ind}(\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}_{gh}(x,z)}$.

Definition 3.8. *By a* G-orientation system *we mean a trivialization* $\phi_{x,y}\colon \det(D\bar\partial) \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}$ *over each* $\mathcal{B}_G(x,y)$ *so that for each* $[g],[h] \in \pi_0(G)$ *and* $x,y,z \in L_0^H \cap L_1$*, the trivializations*

$$\det(\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}_h(y,z)} \otimes \det(\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}_g(x,y)} \xrightarrow{\phi_{y,z}\otimes\phi_{x,y}} \underline{\mathbb{R}}\otimes\underline{\mathbb{R}} \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}$$

and

$$\det(\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}_h(y,z)} \otimes \det(\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}_g(x,y)} \xrightarrow{\psi_{x,y,z}} \gamma^*\det(\bar\partial)|_{\mathcal{B}_{gh}(x,z)} \xrightarrow{\phi_{x,z}} \underline{\mathbb{R}}$$

agree up to positive scaling.

Example 3.9. (Compare [Sei08, Example 13.5].) Consider the Lagrangians shown in Figure 1. Here, the symplectic manifold (M,ω) is a punctured torus, with an action of an involution τ induced by the elliptic involution of T^2, with the puncture as one of the fixed points. The Lagrangians L_0, L_1 are homologically nontrivial circles which pass through two of the fixed points, and so that $L_0 \pitchfork L_1$ at exactly these fixed points. Call the points in $L_0 \pitchfork L_1$ x and y, labeled so that the moduli space of holomorphic disks from x to y has exactly two elements. Call the two regions supporting these bigons F and B, for front and back.

Each of the spaces $\mathcal{B}(x,x)$, $\mathcal{B}(x,y)$, $\mathcal{B}(y,x)$, and $\mathcal{B}(y,y)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}$; the homology classes in $H_2(M, L_0 \cup L_1)$ corresponding to these elements are:

$$\mathcal{B}(x,x):\ \{nF - nB \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \qquad \mathcal{B}(x,y):\ \{(n+1)F - nB \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$
$$\mathcal{B}(y,x):\ \{(n-1)F - nB \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \qquad \mathcal{B}(y,y):\ \{nF - nB \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

A choice of coherent orientation is uniquely determined by the trivialization over the component of $\mathrm{ind}(D\bar{\partial})|_{\mathcal{B}(x,x)}$ corresponding to $F - B$, say, and a trivialization of $\mathrm{ind}(D\bar{\partial})$ over the component of $\mathcal{B}(x,y)$ corresponding to F. As is well known, there are two different Floer homologies that can result, coming from whether the holomorphic disks from x to y are given the same or opposite signs.

For $G = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \{1, \tau\}$, since the Lagrangians already intersect transversely we may choose the Hamiltonian $H = 0$. Choosing a G-orientation involves choosing compatible orientations over $\mathcal{B}_e(x,x)$, $\mathcal{B}_\tau(x,x)$, $\mathcal{B}_e(x,y)$, $\mathcal{B}_\tau(x,y)$, and so on. Writing $B_g(x,y,R)$ for the component of the configuration space $\mathcal{B}_g(x,y)$ with homology class R, pre-gluing gives maps

$$B_e(x,x,mF-mB) \times B_e(x,x,nF-nB) \to B_e(x,x,(m+n)F-(m+n)B)$$
$$B_\tau(x,x,mF-mB) \times B_e(x,x,nF-nB) \to B_\tau(x,x,(m+n)F-(m+n)B)$$
$$B_e(x,x,mF-mB) \times B_\tau(x,x,nF-nB) \to B_\tau(x,x,(n-m)F-(n-m)B)$$
$$B_\tau(x,x,mF-mB) \times B_\tau(x,x,nF-nB) \to B_e(x,x,(n-m)F-(n-m)B)$$

and so on. So, to choose a G-orientation, it suffices to choose an orientation over $B_e(x,x,F-B)$, over $B_\tau(x,x,0)$, and over $B_e(x,y,F)$.

There are conceivable obstructions to the existence of a G-orientation. For instance, the concatenation map

$$B_e(x,x,F-B) \times B_\tau(x,x,0) \times B_e(x,x,F-B) \times B_\tau(x,x,0) \to B_e(x,x,0)$$

induces an orientation over $B_e(x,x,0)$, which is required to agree with the canonical orientation. Since each of $B_e(x,x,F-B)$ and $B_\tau(x,x,0)$ appears twice in each formula, either these coherence conditions are satisfied for every choice of orientation over $B_e(x,x,F-B)$ and $B_\tau(x,x,0)$, or they are satisfied for no choice. In fact, it will follow from Example 3.22 that the compatibility holds for any choice.

Next we recall that brane data for the Lagrangians induce coherent orientations, and then give a G-equivariant analogue. Assume that twice the first Chern class of (M,ω) vanishes, and fix a trivialization η^2 of $(\Lambda^n_{\mathbb{C}} TM)^{\otimes 2}$, the square of the top exterior power of TM viewed as a complex bundle with respect to any almost complex structure compatible with ω. There is an induced *squared phase map* $\alpha\colon\ Gr_{Lag}(TM) \to S^1$ on the space of Lagrangian subspaces of TM, representing the Maslov class. For each point $p \in L_i$ we can evaluate α on T_pL_i; we abuse notation and denote the result $\alpha(p)$. *Brane data* for L_i consists of a pin-structure P_i on TL_i, i.e., a principal $Pin(n)$ bundle P_i and bundle map $P_i \to \mathrm{Fr}(TL_i)$ so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_i \times Pin(n) & \longrightarrow & P_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Fr}(TL_i) \times O(n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Fr}(TL_i) \end{array}$$

commutes; and a *grading* of L_i, i.e., a lift $\alpha^{\#}_{L_i}\colon L_i \to \mathbb{R}$ of the squared phase map $\alpha|_{L_i}\colon L_i \to S^1$ [Sei08, Section (11j)]. (Here, we will always use $Pin(n)$ for $Pin^+(n)$, as we have no use for $Pin^-(n)$.) We will denote brane data $(P_i, \alpha^{\#}_{L_i})$ on L_i by br_i.

For the moment, suppose $L_0 \pitchfork L_1$. Fix brane data br_i on each L_i. For $x \in L_0 \cap L_1$, Seidel defines a 1-dimensional vector space $o(x)$ with the property that

$$\det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}(x,y)}) \cong (o(y) \otimes o(x)^*) \times \mathcal{B}(x,y),$$

as vector bundles [Sei08, Equation (12.2)].

The space $o(x)$ is defined as follows. By a *phased symplectic vector space* we mean a symplectic vector space (V,ω) together with an identification $\eta^2\colon (\Lambda^n_{\mathbb{C}}V)^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$. Given a Lagrangian subspace L of a phased symplectic vector space (V,ω,η^2) there is a well defined element

$$\alpha(L) = \frac{\eta^2\big((v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n)^{\otimes 2}\big)}{|\eta^2\big((v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n)^{\otimes 2}\big)|} \in S^1,$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_n$ is a basis for L. By a *graded Lagrangian subspace* of a phased symplectic vector space (V,ω,η^2) we mean a pair $(W,\alpha^{\#})$ where W is a Lagrangian subspace of V and $\alpha^{\#} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $e^{2\pi i\alpha^{\#}} = \alpha(W)$ (i.e., is a preimage of $\alpha(W)$). Note that $(T_xL_i, \alpha^{\#}_{L_i}(T_xL_i))$ is a graded Lagrangian subspace of (T_xM,ω,η^2). Choose a one-parameter family $(W_t,\alpha^{\#}_t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, of graded Lagrangian subspaces of (T_xM,ω,η^2) so that:

- $(W_i,\alpha^{\#}_i) = (T_xL_i, \alpha^{\#}_{L_i}(T_xL_i))$ for $i = 0, 1$,
- viewed as a path in the Lagrangian Grassmannian, W_t is transverse to the Maslov cycle $\mathrm{Mas} = \{V \in Gr_{Lag}(T_xM) \mid V \cap T_xL_1 \neq \{0\}\}$, and
- at $t = 1$ the path W_i approaches T_xL_1 in the positive direction in the Lagrangian Grassmanian.

Choose also a pin-structure P on the bundle $\cup_t W_t$ over $[0,1]$ and isomorphisms $P|_{W_i} \cong P_i$ (where P_i is the pin-structure on T_xL_i). Then

$$o(x) = \bigotimes_{\substack{t\in[0,1)\\ W_t\cap T_xL_1\neq\{0\}}} (W_t \cap T_xL_1)^{\mathrm{sign}(W_t\cdot \mathrm{Mas})},$$

where $\mathrm{sign}(W_t \cdot \mathrm{Mas})$ denotes the sign of the intersection of the path W_t and the Maslov cycle at t. Given a different choice of path W'_t and pin-structure P', there is a canonical isomorphisms between the vector spaces, $o_{W_t,P}(x) \cong o_{W'_t,P'}(x)$ (forming a transitive system).

Remark 3.10. While the pin-structure is not used in the definition of $o(x)$, it is involved in determining these canonical isomorphisms. Seidel notes that, given W_t, there are essentially two choices P, P' of pin-structure, and that the map

$$\bigotimes_{\substack{t\in[0,1)\\ W_t\cap T_xL_1\neq\{0\}}} (W_t \cap T_xL_1)^{\mathrm{sign}(W_t\cdot \mathrm{Mas})} = o_{W_t,P} \to o_{W_t,P'} = \bigotimes_{\substack{t\in[0,1)\\ W_t\cap T_xL_1\neq\{0\}}} (W_t \cap T_xL_1)^{\mathrm{sign}(W_t\cdot \mathrm{Mas})}$$

is an orientation-reversing map from a vector space to itself [Sei08, Remark 11.19]. Nonetheless, the colimit

$$o(x) = \varinjlim_{W_t,P} o_{W_t,P}(x)$$

is a single, well-defined, one-dimensional vector space.

It is immediate from their definitions that gluing respects these identifications, in the sense that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}(y,z)}) \otimes \det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}(x,y)}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{x,y,z}} & \gamma^* \det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}(x,z)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ o(z) \otimes o(y)^* \otimes o(y) \otimes o(x)^* & \longrightarrow & o(z) \otimes o(x)^* \end{array} \tag{3.11}$$

commutes. The bottom horizontal map is induced by the canonical identification of vector spaces $o(y)^* \otimes o(y) \cong \mathbb{R}$ [Sei08, Formula (12.4)]. Thus, if we choose a trivialization $\phi_x \colon o(x) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R}$ for each $x \in L_0 \cap L_1$ there is an induced trivialization

$$\phi_{x,y} \colon \det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}(x,y)}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B}(x,y).$$

It is immediate from Formula (3.11) that these identifications $\phi_{x,y}$ give coherent orientations.

Sometimes it will be convenient to write $o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)$ instead of $o(x)$, to indicate the brane data used to define the space $o(x)$.

If L_0^H is Hamiltonian isotopic to L_0 then brane data br_0 on L_0 together with the map $L_0 \to L_0^H$ induced by the Hamiltonian isotopy induces brane data on L_0^H. In particular, choosing brane data on the L_i induces brane data on any pair of Lagrangians L_i' which are related to the L_i by (chosen) Hamiltonian isotopies, and hence orientations of the moduli spaces of holomorphic disks between such L_i'.

To adapt brane data to the equivariant case, we will use an extension of Cho-Hong's notion of spin *profiles* for finite group actions [CH13, Section 5.1] to the case of compact Lie groups.

Assume that the Lagrangians L_i are connected. Assume also that we are given pin-structures P_i on L_i which are weakly G-equivariant, in the sense that for any $g \in G$, the induced pin-structure $g \cdot P_i := (g^{-1})^* P_i$ is isomorphic to P_i. In this case, for any $g \in G$ the set of isomorphisms $\mathrm{Iso}(g \cdot P_i, P_i)$ of pin-structures from P_i to $g^* P_i$ has two elements.

Recall that isomorphism classes of central extensions of G by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ correspond to elements of $H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ (see, e.g., [Seg70]).

Definition 3.12. *The* pin profile *associated to (L_i, G, P_i) is the class in $H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ associated to the central extension*

$$\mathbb{Z}/2 \to \widetilde{G}_i \to G$$

defined as follows. The fiber of $\widetilde{G}_i$ over $g \in G$ is $\mathrm{Iso}(g \cdot P_i, P_i)$. Given $\alpha \in \mathrm{Iso}(g \cdot P_i, P_i)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Iso}(h \cdot P_i, P_i)$ the product $\alpha\beta$ is the induced isomorphism

$$(gh) \cdot P_i = (h^{-1}g^{-1})^* P_i = (g^{-1})^*(h^{-1})^* P_i \xrightarrow{g^{-1}\beta} (g^{-1})^* P_i \xrightarrow{\alpha} P_i$$

or, more succinctly, $\alpha \circ ((g^{-1})^* \beta)$.

If L_i is spin *and the* spin*-structure Q_i satisfies $g^* Q_i \cong Q_i$ for all $g \in G$ then the* spin profile *associated to (L_i, G, Q_i) is defined in the same way as the* pin *profile.*

Note that the pin profile associated to (L_i, G, P_i) is local to L_i, in the sense that it depends only on the action of G on L_i and the pin-structure P_i on TL_i.

Lemma 3.13. *If G is discrete then the* spin *profile of (L_i, G, Q_i) as in Definition 3.12 agrees with the* spin *profile as defined by Cho-Hong [CH13, Proposition 5.6].*

Proof. This is immediate from Cho-Hong's reformulation of their definition [CH13, Proof of Proposition 5.6]. □

Turning to the gradings, we will need the following assumptions:

(Gr-1) The squared phase map η^2 on M is G-invariant.

(Gr-2) The grading $\alpha^{\#}_{L_i}$ on L_i is G-invariant, in the sense that $\alpha^{\#}_{L_i}(gx) = \alpha^{\#}_{L_i}(x)$ for all $x \in L_i$ and $g \in G$.

Note that if the action of G on L_i has at least one fixed point then Hypothesis (Gr-2) is automatically satisfied.

Next, we relate brane data to G-orientations. Under Hypothesis (Gr-1), given $g \in G$ and brane data br_i on L_i there are induced brane data $g \cdot \mathrm{br}_i$ on the L_i given by the pin structure $g \cdot P_i = (g^{-1})^* P_i$ and grading $(g \cdot \alpha^{\#}_{L_i})(x) = \alpha^{\#}_{L_i}(g^{-1}x)$. The brane data br_0 also induces brane data br_0 on L_0^H and on L_0^{gH} for any $g \in G$. This brane data induced by br_0 on L_0^{gH} are not typically the same as g of the brane data induced by br_0 on L_0^H.

Proposition 3.14. *Fix a squared phase map η^2 on (M, ω) satisfying Hypothesis (Gr-1). Let br_i be brane data on L_i, $i = 0, 1$, so that:*

(1) The gradings satisfy Hypothesis (Gr-2).

(2) The pin *profiles associated to the* pin*-structures P_i on L_i are the same, i.e., there is an isomorphism of central extensions*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{G}_{L_0} & \longrightarrow & G \\ \Big\| & & \cong \Big\downarrow \iota & & \Big\downarrow = \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{G}_{L_1} & \longrightarrow & G. \end{array} \tag{3.15}$$

Then $(\eta^2, \mathrm{br}_0, \mathrm{br}_1, \iota)$ induce a G-orientation (in the sense of Definition 3.8).

Proof. We claim that the isomorphism (3.15) induces an isomorphism

$$o_{g\mathrm{br}_0, g\mathrm{br}_1}(x) \cong o_{\mathrm{br}_0, \mathrm{br}_1}(x), \tag{3.16}$$

well-defined up to positive scaling. Let $\widetilde{g}_0, \widetilde{g}_0'$ be the preimages of g in $\widetilde{G}_{L_0}$. The element $\widetilde{g}_0$ is an identification $\mathrm{br}_0 \cong g\mathrm{br}_0$, and hence gives an identification $\mathrm{br}_0|_{T_x L_0} \cong g\mathrm{br}_0|_{T_x L_0}$. (This uses Hypothesis (Gr-2), which says that the gradings are already identified.) Similarly, $\iota(\widetilde{g}_0)$ gives an identification $\mathrm{br}_1|_{T_x L_1} \cong g\mathrm{br}_1|_{T_x L_1}$. These two identifications then give the identification (3.16), as the orientation spaces are defined purely in terms of the brane data.

The key point is that if we instead used $\widetilde{g}_0'$ and $\iota(\widetilde{g}_0')$ we would get the same identification of orientation spaces: replacing $\widetilde{g}_0$ by $\widetilde{g}_0'$ and replacing $\iota(\widetilde{g}_0)$ by $\iota(\widetilde{g}_0')$ each changes the sign of the identification (3.16). Further, continuity of ι implies that these identifications are continuous in g.

Given group elements $g, h \in G$, Formula (3.16) gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} o_{\mathrm{br}_0, \mathrm{br}_1}(x) & \xrightarrow[h]{\cong} & o_{h\mathrm{br}_0, h\mathrm{br}_1}(x) \\ & \searrow^{\cong}_{gh} & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle g}\cong \\ & & o_{gh\mathrm{br}_0, gh\mathrm{br}_1}(x). \end{array} \tag{3.17}$$

There is also an identification

$$o_{g\mathrm{br}_0, g\mathrm{br}_1}(gx) \cong o_{\mathrm{br}_0, \mathrm{br}_1}(x), \tag{3.18}$$

coming from naturality of the orientation spaces. The obvious analogue of Diagram (3.17) commutes, of course, as does the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x) & \xrightarrow{h} & o_{h\mathrm{br}_0,h\mathrm{br}_1}(x) \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow \\ o_{g\mathrm{br}_0,g\mathrm{br}_1}(gx) & \xrightarrow[ghg^{-1}]{} & o_{gh\mathrm{br}_0,gh\mathrm{br}_1}(gx), \end{array} \tag{3.19}$$

where the vertical arrows use the isomorphism (3.18) and the horizontal arrows use the isomorphism (3.16).

Fix an orientation $\phi_x \colon o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R}$ for each $x \in L_0^H \cap L_1$. These choices give an orientation of $\mathcal{B}_g(x,y)$ via the sequence of identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}_g(x,y)}) &\cong (o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(gy) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)^*) \times \mathcal{B}_g(x,y) \\ &\cong (o_{g\mathrm{br}_0,g\mathrm{br}_1}(gy) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)^*) \times \mathcal{B}_g(x,y) \\ &\cong (o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(y) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)^*) \times \mathcal{B}_g(x,y) \\ &\cong \underline{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \underline{\mathbb{R}} \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the isomorphisms use Seidel's result [Sei08, Equation (12.2)] (with respect to a one-parameter family of Hamiltonians connecting H and gH), Formula (3.16), Formula (3.18), and the orientations ϕ_x and ϕ_y, respectively. These identifications are continuous in g, so globalize to give an orientation of $\det(\bar\partial|_{B_G(x,y)})$.

Before verifying coherence of these orientations, note that given $g,h \in G$ and $y,z \in L_0^H \cap L_1$, instead of using the orientation defined above on $\det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}_h(y,z)})$ we could introduce an action of g, via

$$o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(hz) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(y) \cong o_{g\mathrm{br}_0,g\mathrm{br}_1}(ghz) \otimes o_{g\mathrm{br}_0,g\mathrm{br}_1}(gy) \cong o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(ghz) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(gy). \tag{3.20}$$

However, commutativity of Diagrams (3.17) and (3.19) implies that this gives the same orientation of $\det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}_h(y,z)})$.

To verify coherence, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}_h(y,z)}) \otimes \det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}_g(x,y)}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{x,y,z}} & \gamma^*\det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}_{gh}(x,z)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(ghz) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(gy)^* & & \\ \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(gy) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)^* & \longrightarrow & o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(ghz) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ o_{gh\mathrm{br}_0,gh\mathrm{br}_1}(ghz) \otimes o_{g\mathrm{br}_0,g\mathrm{br}_1}(gy)^* & & \\ \otimes o_{g\mathrm{br}_0,g\mathrm{br}_1}(gy) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)^* & \longrightarrow & o_{gh\mathrm{br}_0,gh\mathrm{br}_1}(ghz) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(z) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(y)^* \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(y) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)^* & \longrightarrow & o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(z) \otimes o_{\mathrm{br}_0,\mathrm{br}_1}(x)^* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_z\otimes\phi_y\otimes\phi_y\otimes\phi_x} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_z\otimes\phi_x} \\ \mathbb{R}\otimes\mathbb{R}\otimes\mathbb{R}\otimes\mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}\otimes\mathbb{R}. \end{array} \tag{3.21}$$

It follows from the same argument as in the non-invariant case [Sei08, Formula (12.4)] that the top square commutes up to positive scaling: this is simply gluing moduli spaces defined with respect to a variety of Hamiltonian perturbations of L_0. The vertical arrows in the second square come from the identification (3.16). The vertical arrows in the third square come from the identification (3.18), and the fourth square uses our chosen orientations ϕ_x. The second, third, and fourth squares all obviously commute, as we are using the same identifications on the two sides. Note that the left hand column is not the definition of the orientation on $\det(\bar\partial|_{\mathcal{B}_h(y,z)})$, but rather is that orientation twisted by g in the sense of Formula (3.20); but as discussed there this in fact gives the same orientation.

Thus, the orientations we have chosen give a G-orientation, as desired. □

Example 3.22. Continuing with Example 3.9, recall that $Pin(1) = O(1) \times O(1)$ (which is the same as the Klein four group), and the map to $O(1)$ is projection to the first factor, say. Thus, specifying a $Pin(1)$-structure on a line bundle E is the same as specifying a second line bundle E'; thinking of this as lifting the map $X \to BO(1)$ to a map $X \to BO(1) \times BO(1)$, this new line bundle is the projection to the second factor (while the map $BO(1) \times BO(1) \to BO(1)$ is projection to the first factor). In particular, we can choose equivariant Pin-structures on the Lagrangians L_i.

To specify equivariant gradings, view T^2 as a quotient of the unit square in $\mathbb{C}$. The tangent bundle inherits a complex trivialization from $\mathbb{C}$. Then for a curve γ in T^2 we can view $\gamma'(t)$ as lying in $\mathbb{C}$. The square phase map α is $\alpha(\gamma(t)) = \gamma'(t)^2/\|\gamma'(t)\|^2 \in S^1$. If we view the Lagrangians L_0 as $\{1/2 + it \mid t \in [0,1]\}/\sim$ and $L_1 = \{1/2 + \sin(2\pi t)/100 + it \mid t \in [0,1]\}$ then $\alpha_{L_0}(t)$ is the constant function -1, which has an obvious lift to $\mathbb{R}$, while $\alpha_{L_1}(t)$ is non-constant but clearly null-homotopic, and hence lifts to $\mathbb{R}$. Such lifts give gradings on the L_i. The action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on T^2 is rotation around $1/2 + i/2$, and the gradings are clearly equivariant.

Thus, the Lagrangians L_0 and L_1 admit equivariant pin-structures and gradings, and in fact any choice of pin-structures can be made equivariant.

3.5. **Simplices and moduli spaces.** We continue to fix a generic cylindrical pair (J, H). We will be interested in semi-cylindrical pairs whose asymptotics are related to (J, H) by elements of G. Precisely, let

$$\mathcal{J}_G = \{(g, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})) \in G \times \mathcal{J} \mid (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})^{-\infty} = (J, H),\ (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})^{+\infty} = g(J, H)\},$$

which we endow with the subspace topology. There is a projection $\pi_G \colon \mathcal{J}_G \to G$.

We will be interested in smooth families $\Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}_G$, where by smoothness we mean that $\widetilde{J}$ is a fiberwise almost complex structure on the M-bundle

$$M \times [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \Delta^n \to [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \Delta^n,$$

and $\widetilde{H}$ is a function $M \times [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \Delta^n \to \mathbb{R}$, and both $\widetilde{J}$ and M extend to smooth maps on $M \times [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{nbd}(\Delta^n)$ for some neighborhood $\mathrm{nbd}(\Delta^n)$ of Δ^n in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (or equivalently $\mathbb{R}^n$, depending on where one prefers to imagine the simplex).

Given such a family $\sigma \colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}_G$, let $g(\sigma) = \pi_G(\sigma(v_0)) \in G$. Given $x, y \in L_0^H \cap L_1$, by the *σ-twisted homotopy classes from x to y* we mean $\pi_2^{g(\sigma)}(x, y)$. Since Δ^n is simply connected, the map $\xi_{\pi_G \circ \sigma \circ \gamma_t}$ associated to any path γ_t in Δ^n from v_0 to $v \in \Delta^n$ gives a canonical bijection $\pi_2^{\pi_G(\sigma(v))}(x, y) \cong \pi_2^{g(\sigma)}(x, y)$.

Now, given a family σ and a σ-twisted homotopy class ϕ, we can define

$$\mathcal{M}(\phi;\sigma) = \bigcup_{v\in\mathrm{int}(\Delta^n)} \mathcal{M}(\phi;\widetilde{J}(v),\widetilde{H}(v))$$
$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi;\sigma) = \bigcup_{v\in\Delta^n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi;\widetilde{J}(v),\widetilde{H}(v)).$$

The spaces $\mathcal{M}(\phi;\sigma)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi;\sigma)$ have natural topologies which we again leave to the reader to spell out.

Definition 3.23. *We say that a smooth map $\sigma\colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}_G$ is* generic *if it satisfies the following conditions:*

($\widetilde{G}$-3) For any σ-twisted homotopy class ϕ with $\mu(\phi) \leq -n$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\phi;\sigma)$ is transversely cut out by the $\bar{\partial}$ equation.

($\widetilde{G}$-4) The restriction of σ to any face of Δ^n also satisfies Condition ($\widetilde{G}$-3).

($\widetilde{G}$-5) For any face f of Δ^n, the projection $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi;\sigma) \to \Delta^n$ is transverse to f.

We say that σ is very generic *if the above hold with $\mu(\phi) \leq -n$ replaced by $\mu(\phi) \leq -n+1$.*

(In fact, for generic almost complex structures Condition ($\widetilde{G}$-4) implies Condition ($\widetilde{G}$-5), as dimension counting implies these intersections are empty. For very generic families, the second condition is not obviously implied by the first.)

We recall some fundamental results about these moduli spaces of holomorphic curves:

Theorem 3.24. *(Compactness) Given a convergent sequence $(\widetilde{J}_i,\widetilde{H}_i) \in \mathcal{J}$ and a sequence $\{u_i\}$ of $(\widetilde{J}_i,\widetilde{H}_i)$-holomorphic disks with bounded area there is a subsequence of $\{u_i\}$ which converges to a broken holomorphic disk. In particular, the moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi;\sigma)$ are compact.*

This is a modest extension of Floer's compactness theorem [Flo88b, Proposition 2.2], and a special case of, e.g., Sullivan's extension of Floer's result [Sul02, Theorem 2.7]. Note in particular that Hypothesis 3.1 rules out bubbling disks and spheres (by Item (J-1)) and curves escaping to infinity (Item (J-2)), and gives a uniform bound on the energy of holomorphic disks in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi;\sigma)$ (again by Item (J-1)).

Theorem 3.25. *(Transversality) If*

$$\sigma_g\colon \Delta^n \to G \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma_\partial\colon \partial\Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}_G$$

are such that $\pi_G \circ \sigma_\partial = \sigma_g|_{\partial\Delta^n}$ and the restriction of σ_∂ to each boundary facet is generic (respectively very generic) then there is a generic (respectively very generic) extension $\sigma\colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}_G$ of σ_∂ so that $\sigma_g = \pi_G \circ \sigma$.

That is, we can find a dashed arrow in the following diagram (very) generically, assuming the top arrow is (very) generic:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\partial} & \mathcal{J}_G \\ \downarrow & \overset{\sigma}{\nearrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_G} \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow[\sigma_g]{} & G. \end{array}$$

Outline of proof. This is a simple adaptation of standard arguments (e.g., [FHS95] or [MS04, Chapter 3]). For definiteness, we will focus on the (slightly harder) very generic case.

We are already given $\pi_G \circ \sigma$, so the goal is to extend $\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial$ from $\partial\Delta^n$ to Δ^n compatibly with $\pi_G \circ \sigma$. In what follows, we will denote the extension of $\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial$ we are constructing by σ_J.

Note that the assumption that σ_∂ is very generic on the boundary implies that for each ϕ with $\mu(\phi) \leq -n$ the space $\bigcup_{p \in \partial\Delta^n} \mathcal{M}(\phi; \sigma_\partial)$ is empty, while for each ϕ with $\mu(\phi) = -n+1$ the space $\bigcup_{p \in \partial\Delta^n} \mathcal{M}(\phi; \sigma_\partial)$ consists of finitely many points, none of which lie over the corners of Δ^n. Further, Hypothesis 3.1 ensures that there are only finitely many homotopy classes ϕ with $\mu(\phi) \leq -n+1$ for which these moduli spaces are non-empty.

Given an open subset $U \subset \Delta^n$ and a map $\sigma_J \colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}$ it makes sense to say that σ_J is very generic on U. Since the moduli spaces lying over the corners of Δ^n are empty, by compactness given any extension of $\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial$ to a neighborhood of the corners of Δ^n there is a smaller neighborhood on which this extension is very generic (because the corresponding moduli spaces are empty). So, we may assume σ_J is given and very generic in a neighborhood of the corners. Thus, instead of choosing a very generic extension of σ_∂ from $\partial\Delta^n$ to Δ^n it suffices to find a very generic extension from $\partial\mathbb{D}^n$ to $\mathbb{D}^n$. (Upshot: no more corners.)

Our next reduction is to the case that the image of $\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial$ is contained in the subspace of 1-story semicylindrical pairs. It follows from standard gluing arguments (compare Theorem 3.26) that given a semicylindrical pair with length > 1 for any gluing $g(\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial)$ of $\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial$ with sufficiently large gluing parameters, the moduli spaces of $g(\sigma_\partial)$-holomorphic disks in the homotopy classes ϕ with $\mu(\phi) \leq -n+1$ are also transversely cut out. In particular, we can extend $\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial$ to a neighborhood of $\partial\mathbb{D}^n$ by gluing any multi-story semicylindrical pairs in $\pi_{\mathcal{J}}(\sigma_\partial(\partial\mathbb{D}^n))$ with large gluing parameters (continuously along $\mathbb{D}^n$), keeping the moduli spaces transversely cut out. These glued pairs may not have the specified asymptotics $\sigma_g(J, H)$ at $+\infty$, but the property of being very generic is an open condition and, in a small neighborhood of the boundary, by continuity the specified asymptotics are arbitrarily close to the glued asymptotics. So, there is an extension σ_J of the data $\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial$ to a neighborhood U of $\partial\mathbb{D}^n$ so that on $U \setminus \partial\mathbb{D}^n$, σ_J is a 1-story semicylindrical pair. Thus, it suffices to assume that the image of $\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial$ is contained in the space of 1-story semicylindrical pairs. We will abuse notation and denote the extension of σ_∂ to U by σ_∂.

Choose a smaller neighborhood V of $\partial\mathbb{D}^n$ with $\overline{V}$ contained in the original neighborhood. Given $\ell > 2$ consider the following spaces:

- The space of maps $\mathbb{D}^n \to \mathcal{J}$ whose restriction to V agrees with $\pi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ \sigma_\partial$ and which agree with (J, H) and $\sigma_g(J, H)$ at $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, respectively, so that the induced fiberwise almost complex structure on $\mathbb{D}^n \times [0,1] \times M$ is C^ℓ. (Here, by $\mathcal{J}$ we really mean the C^ℓ almost complex structures, whereas usually we mean smooth almost complex structures.) We will denote this space $\mathrm{Map}_{C^\ell}((\mathbb{D}^n, V), (\mathcal{J}, \sigma_\partial))$.
- The bundle $\mathcal{B}(x, y)$ over $\mathrm{Map}_{C^\ell}((\mathbb{D}^n, V), (\mathcal{J}, \sigma_\partial)) \times \mathbb{D}^n$ whose fiber over $(\sigma_J = (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H}), v)$ is the space of maps

$$([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}, \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) \to (M, L_0^{\widetilde{H}(v)}, L_1)$$

  connecting the generators x and $\sigma_g(v)y$, in the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}_\delta$, for an appropriate weight $\delta \sim 0$; see, e.g., [Sei08, Section (8h)].

- The bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{B}(x,y)$ whose fiber over u is the space of $W^{0,p}_\delta$ sections of $\Lambda^{0,1}u^*TM$ (i.e., $(0,1)$-forms on $[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}$ valued in u^*TM with exponential decay). We will also denote the pullback of $\mathcal{E}$ to $W^{1,p}_\delta(M)\times \mathrm{Map}_{C^\ell}((\mathbb{D}^n,V),(\mathcal{J},\sigma_\partial))\times\mathbb{D}^n$ by $\mathcal{E}$.

The $\bar\partial$ operator defines a section

$$\bar\partial\colon \mathcal{B}(x,y)\to\mathcal{E},$$

by $\bar\partial(u,(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H}),v)=\bar\partial_{(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})(v)}(u)$. Any particular Riemannian metric on M induces a linearization

$$\bar\partial\colon T\mathcal{B}(x,y)\to\mathcal{E}$$

of this section $\bar\partial$. Further, $\bar\partial$ is a $C^{\ell-1}$ map (cf. [MS04, Section 3.2]). A standard argument (again, cf. [MS04, Section 3.2]) shows that the linearization $D\bar\partial$ is surjective, so $\bar\partial^{-1}(0)$ is a $C^{\ell-1}$ Banach manifold. The fact that maps σ_J as desired are co-meager in $\mathrm{Map}_{C^\ell}((\mathbb{D}^n,V),(\mathcal{J},\sigma_\partial))$ then follows from an infinite-dimensional version of Sard's theorem [Sma65, Theorem 1.3], applied to the projection

$$\bar\partial^{-1}(0)\to\mathrm{Map}_{C^\ell}((\mathbb{D}^n,V),(\mathcal{J},\sigma_\partial));$$

here we need that $\ell>2$ to have enough regularity to apply the Sard-Smale theorem. Finally, to bootstrap to a result about the space of smooth (rather than C^ℓ) almost complex structures requires a small further argument (again, cf. [MS04, Section 3.2]). □

Theorem 3.26. *(Gluing) Given a very generic family $\sigma\colon\Delta^{n-1}\to\mathcal{J}_G$ and a homotopy class $\phi\in\pi_2^\sigma(x,y)$ with $\mu(\phi)=2-n$, the compactified moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi;\sigma)$ is a 1-manifold with boundary, the boundary of which is*

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+i}\mathcal{M}(\phi;\sigma|_{\partial_i\Delta^{n-1}})\ \amalg\ &\bigcup_{z\in L_0^H\cap L_1}\ \bigcup_{\substack{\psi\in\pi_2^1(x,z),\ \psi'\in\pi_2^\sigma(z,y)\\ \psi*\psi'=\phi\\ \mu(\psi)=1,\ \mu(\psi')=-n+1}}(-1)^n\mathcal{M}(\psi';\sigma)\times\mathcal{M}(\psi;J,H)\\ \amalg\ &\bigcup_{z\in L_0^H\cap L_1}\ \bigcup_{\substack{\psi\in\pi_2^\sigma(x,z),\ \psi'\in\pi_2^1(z,y)\\ \psi*\psi'=\phi\\ \mu(\psi)=-n+1,\ \mu(\psi')=1}}\mathcal{M}(\psi';J,H)\times\mathcal{M}(\psi;\sigma).\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

(That is, the boundary consists of holomorphic curves over $\partial\Delta^{n-1}$ and breakings of curves at either $-\infty$ or $+\infty$.) Here, the signs denote the orientations of the moduli spaces, when we are working in the oriented setting.

Note that the ordering of the factors in the second and third terms agrees with composition order in a category (with objects intersection points and morphisms holomorphic disks), and not with concatenation order (the operation $*$ above).

Aspects of proof. Again, this is a simple adaptation of standard arguments. Note that Condition ($\widetilde{G}$-5) easily implies the result (modulo signs) for curves lying over $\partial\Delta^{n-1}$, so the interesting case is gluing a broken disk lying over an interior point of Δ^{n-1}. The argument in this case goes back to Floer [Flo88b, Proposition 4.1] (see also [Sul02, Theorem 4.5]), and a nice explanation in a related context can be found in McDuff-Salamon [MS04, Chapter 10]. Note that in the last term we have used the action of G to identify the moduli spaces of disks from $\pi_G(\sigma(v))z$ to $\pi_G(\sigma(v))y$ with respect to $\pi_G(\sigma(v))\cdot(J,H)$ with the space of disks from z to y with respect to (J,H), i.e., $\mathcal{M}(\psi';\sigma)$; and there is a similar identification for the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\psi';\sigma)$ in the second term.

We will not say more about these gluing arguments, but will discuss the orientations. Fix a G-orientation as in Section 3.4, for some cylindrical pair (J,H). Given any other semicylindrical pair $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ there is an induced trivialization of $D\bar{\partial}|_{(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})}$. There is also an identification

$$\det(\bar{\partial}) = \det(\bar{\partial}|_{(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^{n-1}). \tag{3.28}$$

So, via the canonical orientation of Δ^{n-1} we obtain an orientation of $\det(\bar{\partial})$.

By construction, if σ is a family of semi-cylindrical pairs such that $\mathcal{M}(\phi;\sigma)$ is transversely cut out then the tangent space $T\mathcal{M}(\phi;\sigma)$ is identified with the index bundle of $D\bar{\partial}$, so the coherent orientation induces an orientation of $\mathcal{M}(\phi;\sigma)$. In the case that $\mathcal{M}(\phi;\sigma)$ is 0-dimensional, this means that $\mathcal{M}(\phi;\sigma)$ is a collection of signed points.

In the cylindrical case, there is an additional complication. We quotient by the action of $\mathbb{R}$ to get the unparameterized moduli space, so the orientation of the unparameterized moduli space depends on both the coherent orientation system and the orientation of $\mathbb{R}$. If we let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the parameterized moduli space then we define the orientation of the unparameterized moduli space by declaring that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{M} \tag{3.29}$$

as oriented manifolds (where $\mathbb{R}$ has the standard orientation and the standard action by $\mathbb{R}$).

Our goal is to compare the induced orientation of the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\phi;\sigma)$ with the orientation of the moduli spaces appearing in Formula (3.27) coming from the coherent orientations, and deduce the signs given in Formula (3.27). To this end, note that the sign in the first sum comes from the fact that the identification of $\partial_i\Delta^{n-1}$ with Δ^{n-2} is orientation-preserving or orientation-reversing depending on the parity of i. Note that there is a formally $(2-n)$-dimensional bundle to the left ot $T\Delta^{n-2}$ in Formula (3.28). Thus, the "outward normal first" convention gives the sign of the first term.

For the second term, consider a curve

$$(u_1,u_2) \in \mathcal{M}(\psi';\sigma) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\psi;J,H).$$

Gluing using a fixed, large gluing parameter R identifies a neighborhood of (u_1,u_2) in $\mathcal{M}(\psi * \psi';\sigma)$ with $[0,1)$. Since the $\mathbb{R}$-action on u_2 translates upwards, it agrees with the inward-pointing normal vector to the boundary of $[0,1)$, so switching to the usual, outward-normal boundary orientation contributes a minus sign. Further, the isomorphism

$$\det(\bar{\partial}|_{(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \otimes \det(\bar{\partial}|_{(J,H)}) \to \mathbb{R} \otimes \det(\bar{\partial}|_{(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})}) \otimes \det(\bar{\partial}|_{(J,H)}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^{n-1})$$

picks up a sign of $(-1)^{3(n-1)} = (-1)^{n-1}$. Thus, using the standard, outward-normal first convention for orienting boundaries, the orientation of (u_1,u_2) in $\mathcal{M}(\psi';\sigma) \times \mathcal{M}(\psi;J,H)$ and in $\mathcal{M}(\psi * \psi';\sigma)$ differ by $(-1)^{n-1+1} = (-1)^n$.

The argument for the third term is similar, except that now:

- The action of $\mathbb{R}$ is on the top story, so points outwards with respect to gluing.
- The tensor product of index bundles is already

  $$\mathbb{R} \otimes \det(\bar{\partial}|_{(J,H)}) \otimes \det(\bar{\partial}|_{(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^n),$$

  so does not contribute an additional sign.
- The fact that we have a G-orientation, rather than just an orientation, is important, as we are pre-gluing $(\pi_G \circ \sigma) \cdot (u_1)$ and u_2.

Finally, when one of the semi-cylindrical pairs $\sigma(p)$ has more than 1 story, it is possible to degenerate a cylindrical story in the middle, i.e., a curve (u_1, u, u_2) where u is cylindrical. These degenerations occur as the boundary of two moduli spaces, corresponding to gluing u_1 and u and to gluing u and u_2, respectively. In the first case the $\mathbb{R}$-action is outward-pointing, while in the second case the $\mathbb{R}$-action is inward-pointing. The rest of the orientation discussion is the same for the two cases, so the two cases contribute with opposite signs. □

3.6. **Constructing the diagram of complexes.** Recall that $G_\bullet$ denotes the simplicial group of smooth simplices in G (cf. Section 2.6). It will be convenient to work with a slightly unusual model for BG:

Lemma 3.30. *[Hin15, Proposition 2.6.2] Let $BG_\bullet$ denote the simplicially enriched category with a single object o and $\mathrm{Hom}(o,o) = G_\bullet$. The composition in $BG_\bullet$ is given by $g \circ h = hg$. Let $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ be the simplicial nerve of $BG_\bullet$ and $|\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet|$ the geometric realization of $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$. Then $|\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet|$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to the classifying space BG of G.*

So, to construct equivariant Floer homology, we will construct a functor $F\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$ and then take the hypercohomology.

Fix a generic cylindrical pair (J, H). Consider the simplicially enriched category $\mathcal{GJ}$ with:

- A single object (J, H).
- The n-simplices in $\mathrm{Hom}((J,H),(J,H))$ are maps $\sigma = (g_\sigma, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma)\colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}_G$ which are generic in the sense of Definition 3.23.
- The composition map from n-simplices $\times$ n-simplices to n-simplices is given by
$$(g_\tau, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\tau) \circ (g_\sigma, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma) = \big(g_\sigma g_\tau, \big(g_\sigma(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\tau\big) \circ (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma\big).$$
(Here, the $\circ$ on the right denotes composition of semicylindrical pairs, induced from concatenation of sequences.)

Lemma 3.31. *This defines a simplicially enriched category $\mathcal{GJ}$.*

Proof. We first check that $\mathrm{Hom}((J,H),(J,H))$ is a simplicial set. The face maps d_j are given by $d_j((g_\sigma, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma) = (d_j(g_\sigma), (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_{d_j(\sigma)})$, where $d_j(g_\sigma)$ is the jth face map on $G_\bullet$ applied to g_σ and $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_{d_j(\sigma)}$ is the restriction of the map $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma$ from $\Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}$ to the j^{th} face of Δ^n. In other words, $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_{d_j(\sigma)}$ is the j^{th} face map of the simplicial set $\mathcal{J}_\bullet$ of $\mathcal{J}$ applied to $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma$. This restricted map has $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_{d_j(g_\sigma)}(v)^{-\infty} = (J,H)$ and $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_{d_j(\sigma)}(v)^{+\infty} = g_{d_j(\sigma)}(v) \cdot (J,H)$ for every $v \in d_j(\Delta^n)$. Similarly, the degeneracy maps s_j are given by $s_j(g_\sigma, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma) = (s_j(g_\sigma), (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_{s_j(\sigma)})$, where $s_j(g_\sigma)$ is the j^{th} degeneracy map on $G_\bullet$ and $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_{s_j(\sigma)}$ is the j^{th} degeneracy map of the simplicial complex $\mathcal{J}_\bullet$ applied to $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma$. Given that we use the same projection map to construct $s_j(g_\sigma)$, we see that $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_{s_j(\sigma)}(v)^{-\infty} = (J,H)$ and $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_{s_j(\sigma)}(v)^{+\infty} = s_j(g_\sigma)(v) \cdot (J,H)$. It is clear that these maps satisfy the simplicial relations.

Next, consider the composition $(g_\tau, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\tau) \circ (g_\sigma, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma) = \Big(g_\sigma g_\tau, \big(g_\sigma(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\tau\big) \circ (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma\Big)$. Recall that $g_\sigma g_\tau$ is the pointwise product of the two n-simplices in G. Observe that for all $v \in \Delta^n$, $\big(g_\sigma(v) \cdot (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\tau(v)\big)^{-\infty} = g_\sigma(v) \cdot (J,H) = (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma(v)^{+\infty}$. Hence the composition $\big(g_\sigma \cdot (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\tau\big) \circ (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma$ is defined. Further, $\big[\big(g_\sigma \cdot (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\tau\big) \circ (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma\big](v)^{-\infty} = (J,H)$ and $\big[\big(g_\sigma \cdot (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\tau\big) \circ (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma\big](v)^{+\infty} = (g_\sigma g_\tau(v)) \cdot (J,H) = (g_\tau(v) \circ g_\sigma(v)) \cdot (J,H)$, so the composed map satisfies the conditions at infinity. □

Example 3.32. A 3-cell in the simplicial nerve $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{J}$ consists of:

- six elements of G and six generic elements of $\mathcal{J}$,
  g_{01}, g_{12}, g_{23}, g_{02}, g_{13}, g_{03}, $g_{01}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{12}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{23}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{02}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{13}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{03}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$,
- five smooth paths in G and five generic paths in $\mathcal{J}$,
  $g_{02,012}$, $g_{13,123}$, $g_{03,013}$, $g_{03,023}$, $g_{03,0123}$, $g_{02,012}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{13,123}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{03,013}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{03,023}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{03,0123}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$,
- two smooth singular 2-simplices in G and two generic singular 2-simplices in $\mathcal{J}$,
  $g_{03,023,0123}$, $g_{03,013,0123}$, $g_{03,023,0123}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$, $g_{03,013,0123}(J,H)_{(J,H)}$,

fitting into the following squares:



Projection $(g_\sigma, (\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\sigma) \mapsto g_\sigma$ defines a functor $\pi\colon \mathcal{G}\mathcal{J} \to BG_\bullet$. Taking simplicial nerves, we get an infinity functor (simplicial set map) $\mathcal{N}\pi\colon \mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$.

Lemma 3.33. *There is a section $\mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{J}$ of the projection $\mathcal{N}\pi$, i.e., a simplicial set map $\mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathcal{N}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{J}$ so that $(\mathcal{N}\pi)\circ\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet}$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the dimension of a simplex. There is a unique 0-simplex of $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ and a unique 0-simplex (J,H) of $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{J}$, so a unique way to define $\mathcal{S}$ on the 0-simplices. Now, assume $\mathcal{S}$ has been constructed for simplex of dimension $(n-1)$, respecting the simplicial identities among simplices of those dimension. Consider a non-degenerate n-simplex σ of $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$, i.e., a functor $\sigma\colon S[n] \to BG_\bullet$ of simplicially enriched categories. By induction, we have already defined $\mathcal{S}(d_i(\sigma))$ for each i, and the fact that $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ should respect

composition means that to define $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ we need only define

$$\mathcal{S}(\sigma)(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k})$$

where the V_i's are sequences of subsets of $\{0,\dots,n\}$ (cf. Section 2.4), V_i is a proper subset of V_{i+1}, and $V_0 = \{0,n\}$. (In Example 2.6, these are the simplices incident to the vertex labeled ϕ_{03}.) Again we define the value of $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ on these simplices inductively. In the case $k=0$, we have an element $\sigma(A_{V_0}) \in G_0$; choose a generic semicylindrical pair $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})$ connecting (J,H) and $\sigma(A_{V_0})\cdot(J,H)$ and define $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)(A_{V_0}) = \big(\sigma(A_{V_0}),(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})\big)$. Next, if $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ has been defined on all simplices $(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_j})$ as above with $j<k$, then for any simplex $(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k})$, $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ is already defined on the boundary of this simplex. By Theorem 3.25 we can find a generic map $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k})$ extending these boundary values and such that $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k})^\infty = \sigma(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k})\cdot(J,H)$. Choose such an extension and continue with the induction. (In the special case that $(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k})$ is a degenerate k-simplex, $(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k}) = s_i(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_i},A_{V_{i+2}},\dots,A_{V_k})$, choose $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k}) = s_i\mathcal{S}(\sigma)(A_{V_0},\dots,A_{V_k})$ to be degenerate as well; it follows from dimension counting that this choice is generic.)

It is immediate from the construction that the resulting map $\mathcal{S}$ is a map of simplicial sets. □

Given an n-simplex $\sigma = \big(g_\sigma,(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\sigma\big)$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}}((J,H),(J,H))$, points

$$x \in L_0 \cap L_1$$
$$y \in L_0^{H_\sigma^{+\infty}(v_0)} \cap L_1 = g_{\sigma(v_0)}(L_0^H)\cap L_1$$

where v_0 is the first vertex of the Δ^n, and a homotopy class $\phi \in \pi_2^{g_\sigma(v_0)}(x,y)$ there is a moduli space of holomorphic disks

$$\mathcal{M}(\phi;\widetilde{J}_\sigma,\widetilde{H}_\sigma) = \bigcup_{v\in\mathrm{int}(\Delta^n)} \mathcal{M}\big(\phi;\widetilde{J}_\sigma(v),\widetilde{H}_\sigma(v)\big)$$

as defined in Section 3.2. Define

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma)\colon CF(L_0^H,L_1) \to CF(L_0^H,L_1)$$
$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma)(x) = \sum_{y\in L_0^H\cap L_1} \sum_{\substack{\phi\in\pi_2^\sigma(x,y)\\ \mu(\phi)=-n}} \mathcal{M}(\phi;\widetilde{J}_\sigma,\widetilde{H}_\sigma)y.$$

That is, we count curves in the moduli spaces described in Section 3.2 and use $g_\sigma G_n$ to view these as giving an endomorphism of $CF(L_0^H,L_1)$.

Lemma 3.34. *For each $(n-1)$-simplex $\sigma\in G_{n-1}$, the map $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies*

$$\partial\circ(\mathcal{F}(\sigma)) + (-1)^n(\mathcal{F}(\sigma))\circ\partial = \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n+i+1}\mathcal{F}(d_i(\sigma)).$$

Proof. If the $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\sigma$ are all chosen to be very generic then this follows from Theorems 3.24, 3.25, and 3.26 by considering the ends of the 1-dimensional moduli spaces. In more detail, fix generators $x,z\in L_0^H\cap L_1$ and consider the coefficient of z in

$$\partial\big((\mathcal{F}(\sigma)(x)\big) + (-1)^n(\mathcal{F}(\sigma))(\partial(x)) + \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n+i}\mathcal{F}(d_i(\sigma))(x).$$

By definition, this is

$$\#\bigcup_{\substack{y\in L_0^H\cap L_1\\ \psi\in\pi_2^{g(\sigma)}(x,y)\\ \psi'\in\pi_2^1(y,z)\\ \mu(\psi)=-n,\ \mu(\psi')=1}}\mathcal{M}(\psi';J,H)\times\mathcal{M}(\psi;\sigma)+(-1)^n\#\bigcup_{\substack{y\in L_0^H\cap L_1\\ \psi\in\pi_2^1(x,y)\\ \psi'\in\pi_2^{g(\sigma)}(y,z)\\ \mu(\psi)=1,\ \mu(\psi')=-n}}\mathcal{M}(\psi';\sigma)\times\mathcal{M}(\psi;J,H)$$
$$+\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n+i}\#\bigcup_{\substack{\phi\in\pi_2^{g(\sigma)}(x,z)\\ \mu(\phi)=-n+1}}\mathcal{M}(\phi;d_i\sigma).$$

By Theorems 3.24 and 3.26 this is the number of points in the boundary of a compact, oriented 1-manifold with boundary, and hence vanishes.

If the $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\sigma$ are merely generic, the result follows by choosing very generic maps $(\widetilde{J}',\widetilde{H}')_\sigma$ (using Theorem 3.25) which are close enough to $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\sigma$ that the $\mu=-n$ moduli spaces for $(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\sigma$ and $(\widetilde{J}',\widetilde{H}')_\sigma$ are the same. □

Given simplices

$$\sigma=(g_\sigma,(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\sigma)\colon\Delta^m\to\mathcal{J}_G,$$
$$\tau=(g_\tau,(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\tau)\colon\Delta^n\to\mathcal{J}_G,$$

there is an induced map $(\tau\times\sigma)\colon\Delta^n\times\Delta^m\to\mathcal{J}_G$ defined by

$$(\tau\times\sigma)(q,p)=\Big(g_\sigma(p)g_\tau(q),\big(g_\sigma(p)\cdot(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\tau(q)\big)\circ(\widetilde{J},\widetilde{H})_\sigma(p)\Big).$$

(The composition $\tau\circ\sigma$ in the category $\mathcal{GJ}$ is merely the above operation precomposed with the diagonal embedding $\Delta^n\hookrightarrow\Delta^n\times\Delta^n$.) Recall that there is a simplicial complex structure on $\Delta^n\times\Delta^m$ with one $(m+n)$-simplex for each (n,m)-shuffle. Explicitly, view an (n,m) shuffle α as a sequence $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{m+n})$ of elements $\alpha_i\in\{s,t\}$ exactly m of which are s and n of which are t. Let $v_0,\dots,v_n$ and $u_0,\dots,u_m$ denote the vertices of Δ^n and Δ^m, respectively. Then the $(m+n)$-simplex in $\Delta^n\times\Delta^m$ corresponding to α has vertices $x_0^\alpha,\dots,x_{m+n}^\alpha$ where

$$x_i^\alpha=(v_{\#\{j\le i|\alpha_j=\mathrm{t}\}},u_{\#\{j\le i|\alpha_j=\mathrm{s}\}}).$$

Let ρ^α be the restriction of $(\tau\times\sigma)$ to the $(m+n)$-simplex corresponding to α.

The map $\Delta^{m+n}\to\Delta^n\times\Delta^m$ associated to α is orientation-preserving or orientation-reversing according to the number $\mathrm{sign}(\alpha)\in\mathbb{Z}/2$, defined as

$$\mathrm{sign}(\alpha)=\#\{(i,j)\mid i<j,\ \alpha_i=s,\ \alpha_j=t\}\pmod 2.$$

Lemma 3.35. *For any simplices σ, τ as above such that each corresponding $(m+n)$-simplex ρ^α is generic, we have*

$$\sum_\alpha(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(\alpha)+mn}\mathcal{F}(\rho^\alpha)=\mathcal{F}(\tau)\circ\mathcal{F}(\sigma).\tag{3.36}$$

Proof. Both sides of Equation (3.36) count points in the moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{M}(\psi;\widetilde{J}_\tau,\widetilde{H}_\tau)\times\mathcal{M}(\phi;\widetilde{J}_\sigma,\widetilde{H}_\sigma)$$

(for appropriate ϕ and ψ). On the left side of Equation (3.36), this is because each semi-cylindrical pair in the image of ρ^α has two stories, one coming from σ and the other from

τ. On the right side, this is the usual argument that compositions of maps correspond to products of moduli spaces.

It remains to verify the sign. The factor $(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(\alpha)}$ comes from the orientation of the map $\Delta^{m+n} \to \Delta^n \times \Delta^m$. The factor $(-1)^{mn}$ is similar to the signs in the proof of Theorem 3.26. In more detail, the orientation of the moduli space associated to ρ^α comes from a trivialization of

$$\det(\bar{\partial}|_{\rho^\alpha}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^{m+n})$$

induced by the coherent orientation system, while the orientation of the product of the moduli space associated to τ and the moduli space associated to σ comes from a trivialization of

$$\det(\bar{\partial}|_\tau) \otimes \det(T\Delta^n) \otimes \det(\bar{\partial}|_\sigma) \otimes \det(T\Delta^m).$$

In the sequence of identifications

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\bar{\partial}|_{\rho^\alpha(v,u)}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^{m+n}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \det(\bar{\partial}|_{\rho^\alpha(v,u)}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^n \oplus T\Delta^m) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \det(\bar{\partial}|_{\tau(v)}) \otimes \det(\bar{\partial}|_{\sigma(u)}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^n) \otimes \det(T\Delta^m) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \det(\bar{\partial}|_{\tau(v)}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^n) \otimes \det(\bar{\partial}|_{\sigma(u)}) \otimes \det(T\Delta^m),\end{aligned}$$

the first map introduces a sign of $(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(\alpha)}$ and the third introduces a sign of $(-1)^{mn}$. (The second isomorphism uses the definition of a G-orientation.) □

Next we digress briefly to discuss gradings. In order to apply the homotopical algebra from Section 2 we need $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings on our chain complexes. To this end, note that the Floer complex $CF(L_0^H, L_1)$ decomposes as a direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \pi_0(P(L_0,L_1))} CF(L_0^H, L_1; \mathfrak{s})$$

over homotopy classes $\mathfrak{s}$ of paths from L_0 to L_1. The group G acts on $P(L_0, L_1)$ and hence on $\pi_0(P(L_0, L_1))$. For each G-orbit $[\mathfrak{s}] \in \pi_0(P(L_0, L_1))/G$ for which there is an $x \in L_0^H \cap L_1$ so that the constant path x represents $[\mathfrak{s}]$, choose a lift $\mathfrak{s} \in P(L_0, L_1)$ and a base generator $x_\mathfrak{s} \in L_0^H \cap L_1$ representing $\mathfrak{s}$. We declare that each of these base generators has grading 0, $\mathrm{gr}(x_\mathfrak{s}) = 0$. Next, given any other generator $y \in L_0^H \cap L_1$, choose a $g \in G$ and homotopy class $\xi_y \in \pi_2^g(x_\mathfrak{s}, y)$ and define $\mathrm{gr}(y) = -\mu(\xi_y)$.

Lemma 3.37. *Given an n-simplex $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}}((J,H),(J,H))$, the map $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)$ is homogeneous of degree n.*

Proof. Suppose y occurs in $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)(x)$. Then there is a homotopy class $\phi \in \pi_2^{g_{\sigma(v_0)}}(x, y)$ with $\mu(\phi) = -n$. Then we have

$$\xi_x * \phi * \xi_y^{-1} \in \pi_2^{g_x g_{\sigma(v_0)} g_y^{-1}}(x_\mathfrak{s}, x_\mathfrak{s}),$$

so by Hypothesis (J-3)

$$-\mathrm{gr}(x) - n + \mathrm{gr}(y) = \mu(\xi_x) + \mu(\phi) - \mu(\xi_y) = \mu(\xi_x * \phi * \xi_y^{-1}) = 0,$$

so $\mathrm{gr}(y) = \mathrm{gr}(x) + n$, as desired. □

There is a functor $F_{loer}\colon \mathcal{NGJ} \to \mathsf{Kom}$ defined as follows. On the unique 0-simplex (J, H) of $\mathcal{NGJ}$, define

$$F_{loer}(J, H) = CF(L_0^H, L_1; J),$$

the Lagrangian intersection Floer complex computed with respect to the cylindrical almost complex structure J. Next, given an n-simplex τ in $\mathcal{NGJ}$, as per Convention 2.5, we need only define $\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)\colon CF(L_0^H, L_1; J) \to CF(L_0^H, L_1; J)$, associated to the maximal sequence $(0 \le j_0 < \cdots < j_n \le n)$, i.e., $j_i = i$, $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the set of maximal ($(n-1)$-dimensional) simplices of $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(0, n)$, which are sequences $(A_{V_0}, \dots, A_{V_{n-1}})$, where V_i is a subset of $\{0, \dots, n\}$, and is a proper subset of V_{i+1}, and $V_0 = \{0, n\}$ (cf. Section 2.4). The simplex τ associates to each $S \in \mathfrak{m}$ an $(n-1)$-simplex $\tau(S) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}}((J, H), (J, H))$. Define $\mathrm{sign}(S) \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ by writing

$$S = (A_{\{0,n\}}, A_{\{0,\sigma(1),n\}}, A_{\{0,\sigma(1),\sigma(2),n\}}, \dots)$$

and letting $(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)}$ be the sign of the permutation σ (compare [Lur, Construction 1.3.1.16]). Define

$$\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau) = \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{m}} (-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)} \mathcal{F}(\tau(S)). \tag{3.38}$$

Lemma 3.39. *This defines a map of simplicial sets $F_{loer}\colon \mathcal{NGJ} \to \mathsf{Kom}$.*

Proof. We need to check that the maps $\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)$ satisfy Formula (2.2). This follows from Lemma 3.34:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial \circ \big(\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)\big) + (-1)^n \big(\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)\big) \circ \partial &= \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{m}} (-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)} \Big[\partial \circ \big(\mathcal{F}(\tau(S))\big) + (-1)^n \big(\mathcal{F}(\tau(S))\big) \circ \partial\Big] \\
&= \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{m}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+i+1+\mathrm{sign}(S)} \mathcal{F}(d_i(\tau(S))) \\
&= \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{m}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+i+1+\mathrm{sign}(S)} \mathcal{F}(\tau(d_i(S)))
\end{aligned}$$

In the last sum, each term $\mathcal{F}(\tau(d_i(S))$ with $0 < i < n-1$ appears twice, with opposite signs. To see this, note that $d_i(S)$ is the sequence obtained by forgetting the i^{th} term in the sequence S. If we write

$$S = (A_{\{0,n\}}, A_{\{0,\sigma(1),n\}}, A_{\{0,\sigma(1),\sigma(2),n\}} \dots, A_{\{0,1,\dots,n\}})$$

then

$$d_i(S) = (A_{\{0,n\}}, \dots, \widehat{A}_{\{0,\sigma(1),\sigma(2),\dots,\sigma(i),n\}}, \dots, A_{\{0,1,\dots,n\}})$$

which equals $d_i(S')$ where (the permuations corresponding to) S and S' are related by the transposition $(i, i+1)$. In particular, we have $(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S')} = -(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)}$, so the two terms appear with opposite signs, and hence cancel. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial \circ \big(\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)\big) + (-1)^n \big(\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)\big) \circ \partial \\
&\qquad = \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{m}} (-1)^{n+1+\mathrm{sign}(S)} \mathcal{F}(\tau(d_0(S))) + \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{m}} (-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)} \mathcal{F}(\tau(d_{n-1}(S))).
\end{aligned}$$

Considering first term on the right hand side, we claim that for each $1 \le \ell \le n-1$,

$$\sum_{\substack{S \in \mathfrak{m} \\ \sigma(1) = \ell}} (-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)} \mathcal{F}(\tau(d_0(S))) = (-1)^{\ell - 1} \vec{F}_{loer}(\tau_{\ell,\dots,n}) \circ \vec{F}_{loer}(\tau_{0,\dots,\ell})$$

and therefore,

$$\sum_{S\in\mathfrak{m}}(-1)^{n+1+\mathrm{sign}(S)}\mathcal{F}(\tau(d_0(S))) = -\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+1-\ell}\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau_{\ell,\dots,n})\circ\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau_{0,\dots,\ell}).$$

To see this, fix maximal simplices S_1 and S_2 of $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(0,\ell)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(\ell,n)$, with corresponding permutations σ_1 and σ_2 (so that σ_1 is a permutation of $\{1,\dots,\ell-1\}$ and σ_2 is a permutation of $\{\ell+1,\dots,n-1\}$). Let $\mathfrak{m}_{S_1,S_2}$ be the set of all maximal simplices S of $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(0,n)$ so that $d_0(S)\subseteq S_2\times S_1$. Then by Lemma 3.35,

$$\sum_{S\in\mathfrak{m}_{S_1,S_2}}(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)}\mathcal{F}(\tau(d_0(S))) = (-1)^{\ell-1}(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S_1)+\mathrm{sign}(S_2)}\mathcal{F}(\tau(S_2))\circ\mathcal{F}(\tau(S_1))$$

To see the sign, note that deleting $\sigma(1)$ changes $\mathrm{sign}(S)$ by $\sigma(1)-1=\ell-1$, and the difference of $\mathrm{sign}(S)$ and $\mathrm{sign}(S_1)+\mathrm{sign}(S_2)$ is precisely the sign from Lemma 3.35.

Turning to the second term on the right hand side, we claim that for each $1\le\ell\le n-1$,

$$\sum_{\substack{S\in\mathfrak{m}\\ \sigma(n-1)=\ell}}(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)}\mathcal{F}(\tau(d_{n-1}(S))) = (-1)^{n-1-\ell}\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau_{0,\dots,\hat{\ell},\dots,n})$$

and therefore,

$$\sum_{S\in\mathfrak{m}}(-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)}\mathcal{F}(\tau(d_{n-1}(S))) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+1-\ell}\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau_{0,\dots,\hat{\ell},\dots,n}).$$

To see the sign, note that deleting $\sigma(n-1)$ changes $\mathrm{sign}(S)$ by $(n-1)-\sigma(n-1)=n-1-\ell$.

Finally, we need to check that $\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)$ behaves correctly for degenerate simplices. For most degenerate simplices τ, $\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)$ is zero since $\mathcal{F}$ of any degenerate simplex vanishes for dimension reasons. The only exception is the degenerate 1-simplex τ, which is the $\mathbb{R}$-invariant semi-cylindrical pair (J,H), for which $\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)=\mathbb{I}_{CF(L_0^H,L_1)}$ since the only index-0 holomorphic curves are constant disks. This completes the proof. □

Let

$$F\colon \mathscr{N}BG_\bullet\to\mathsf{Kom}$$

be the composition of F_{loer} and the section $\mathcal{S}$ from Lemma 3.33,

$$F = F_{loer}\circ\mathcal{S}.$$

3.7. **Equivariant Floer cohomology.**

Definition 3.40. *The* equivariant Floer cohomology *of* (M,L_0,L_1)*, denoted* $HF_G(L_0,L_1)$*, is the hypercohomology of the diagram* $F\colon\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet\to\mathsf{Kom}$.

In particular, as discussed in Section 2.5, $HF_G(L_0,L_1)$ is a module over $H^*(|\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet|)=H^*(BG)$.

Theorem 3.41. *Up to isomorphism over* $H^*(BG)$*,* $HF_G(L_0,L_1)$ *is independent of the choices made in its construction. Further, if* L_0' *and* L_1' *are isotopic to* L_0 *and* L_1 *via compactly supported,* G*-equivariant Hamiltonian isotopies then* $HF_G(L_0,L_1)\cong HF_G(L_0',L_1')$ *as modules over* $H^*(BG)$.

Proof. For the first statement, suppose that (J_0, H_0) and (J_1, H_1) are generic cylindrical pairs. Let $\mathcal{GJ}_i$ be the category $\mathcal{GJ}$ defined using (J_i, H_i) as the base cylindrical pair, and suppose that $\mathcal{S}_i \colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathcal{N}\mathcal{GJ}_i$ is a generic section of $\mathcal{N}\pi$ for $i = 0, 1$. Consider a larger category $\mathcal{GJ}'$ with:

- Objects $\{(J_0, H_0), (J_1, H_1)\}$.
- For $i = 0, 1$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}'}((J_i, H_i), (J_i, H_i)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}_i}((J_i, H_i), (J_i, H_i))$ (so $\mathcal{GJ}_i$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{GJ}'$).
- An n-simplex in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}'}((J_0, H_0), (J_1, H_1))$ is a map $\sigma_G \colon \Delta^n \to G$ and a family of semi-cylindrical pairs $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ parameterized by Δ^n so that:
  - For $p \in \Delta^n$, $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})(p)^{-\infty} = (J_0, H_0)$ and $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})(p)^{+\infty} = \sigma_G(p) \cdot (J_1, H_1)$.
  - The map $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})$ is generic, in the sense of (the obvious extension of) Definition 3.23.

  The face and degeneracy maps are defined in the obvious way.
- $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}'}((J_1, H_1), (J_0, H_0))$ is empty.
- Composition is defined by multiplying maps to G and composing (stacking) semi-cylindrical pairs.

Let $\mathscr{I}$ denote the simplicially enriched category with objects $\{0, 1\}$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}(0,0) = \mathrm{Hom}(0,1) = \mathrm{Hom}(1,1) = S_\bullet(\Delta^0)$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}(1,0) = \varnothing.$$

(So, $\mathscr{I}$ is really the discrete category associated to the ordered set $0 < 1$, which we are viewing as a simplicially enriched category.)

Consider the simplicially enriched category $\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet$. This is the category with two objects, $(0, o)$ and $(1, o)$, and $\mathrm{Hom}((i, o), (j, o)) = G_\bullet$ whenever $i \le j$. There is a projection map $\pi \colon \mathcal{GJ}' \to \mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet$ defined on objects by $\pi(J_i, H_i) = (i, o)$ and on morphisms by $\pi(\sigma_G, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})) = \sigma_G$.

Observe that $\mathcal{N}(\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet) = \Delta^1 \times \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$. To see this, suppose σ is an n-simplex in $\mathcal{N}(\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet)$. Then the vertices of σ are $n+1$ objects $x_0, \dots, x_n$ of $\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet$, each of which is a product $x_j = (t_j, o)$, where t_j is 0 or 1 and o is the unique object in $BG_\bullet$. Furthermore, for every sequence of subsets $V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_k$ of subsets of $\{\ell, \ell+1, \dots, m\}$, the simplex specifies a k-simplex in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet}(x_\ell, x_m)$, consisting of the product of the unique k-simplex in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{I}}(t_\ell, t_m)$ and a k-simplex in $\mathrm{Hom}_{BG_\bullet}(o, o) = G_\bullet$. Since there are no morphisms from 1 to 0 in $\mathscr{I}$, the t_j must form an increasing sequence $\{0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1\}$. Say that there are $i+1$ zeroes and $n-i$ ones. This means that σ decomposes as the product of the n-simplex $\Delta^1_{n;i}$ in Δ^1 and an n-simplex in $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$. As this decomposition is clearly bijective and respects the simplicial relations, $\mathcal{N}(\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet) = \Delta^1 \times \mathcal{N}(BG_\bullet)$.

The sections $\mathcal{S}_0$ and $\mathcal{S}_1$ specify part of a section $\mathcal{N}(\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet) \to \mathcal{N}\mathcal{GJ}'$. An inductive argument analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.33 shows that we can extend $\mathcal{S}_0$ and $\mathcal{S}_1$ to a section $\mathcal{S} \colon \mathcal{N}(\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet) \to \mathcal{N}\mathcal{GJ}'$. Applying Floer theory then gives a functor

$$F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S} \colon \Delta^1 \times \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet = \mathcal{N}(\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet) \to \mathsf{Kom}$$

extending the $(F_{loer})_i$ in the obvious sense. (Here, we are using extensions of Theorems 3.25 and 3.26 to $\mathcal{GJ}'$.)

Via the identification $\mathcal{N}(\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet) = \Delta^1 \times \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$, this map $F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}$ is a natural transformation from $F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}_0$ to $F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}_1$. Thus, by Lemma 2.14, there is an induced map of

modules

$$(F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S})^* \colon H^*(\mathcal{S}_1) \to H^*(\mathcal{S}_0).$$

Exchanging the roles of $\mathcal{S}_0$ and $\mathcal{S}_1$ in the construction above gives a natural transformation $(F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}')$ from $F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}_1$ to $F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}_0$. Constructing two more sections, now over $(0 \to 1 \to 2) \times BG_\bullet$, we get functors $\mathscr{N}((0 \to 1 \to 2) \times BG_\bullet) = \Delta^2 \times \mathscr{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$ and conclude from Lemma 2.15 that $(F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S})$ and $(F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}')$ are homotopy inverses to each other. It follows from Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15 that $H^*(\mathcal{S}_0) \cong H^*(\mathcal{S}_1)$ as modules over $H^*(BG)$, as desired.

The proof of invariance under G-equivariant Hamiltonian isotopies is similar, but with $\mathcal{GJ}'$ replaced by a slightly different category. Precisely, write L_i^t for the G-equivariant Hamiltonian isotopy from L_i to L_i', where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, say, and $L_i^t = L_i$ for $t \ll 0$ while $L_i^t = L_i'$ for $t \gg 0$. Fix generic cylindrical pairs (J_0, H_0) for (L_0, L_1) and (J_1, H_1) for (L_0', L_1'). Given $g \in G$, by an *interpolating Lagrangian isotopy* from $L_0^{H_0}$ to $g((L_0')^{H_1}) = (L_0')^{gH_1}$ we mean a family of compactly supported Hamiltonians H_t, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so that $H_t = H_0$ for $t \ll 0$ and $H_t = gH_1$ for $t \gg 0$, and a family of Lagrangians L_0^{t,H_t}, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which are time-one flows of L_0^t under H_t, $L_0^{t,H_t} = (L_0^t)^{H_t}$.

Now, let $\mathcal{GJ}'$ be the simplicially enriched category with:

- Two objects, (J_0, H_0) and (J_1, H_1) where (J_0, H_0) is a generic cylindrical pair for (L_0, L_1) and (J_1, H_1) is a generic cylindrical pair for (L_0', L_1').
- For $i = 0, 1$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}'}((J_i, H_i), (J_i, H_i)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}_i}((J_i, H_i), (J_i, H_i))$. (Here, $\mathcal{GJ}_0$ is the category $\mathcal{GJ}$ as defined in Section 3.6 for (L_0, L_1, J_0, H_0), while $\mathcal{GJ}_0$ is the category $\mathcal{GJ}$ as defined in Section 3.6 for (L_0', L_1', J_1, H_1)).
- $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}'}((J_1, H_1), (J_0, H_0)) = \varnothing$.
- $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{GJ}'}((J_0, H_0), (J_1, H_1))$ is the simplicial set with n-simplices given by pairs (σ_g, σ_{JH}) where $\sigma_g \in G_n$ and σ_{JH} is a family of pairs $(\widetilde{J}, L_0^{t,H_t})$ parameterized by Δ^n where $\widetilde{J}$ is a (possibly multi-story) cylindrical-at-infinity almost complex structure and L_0^{t,H_t} is an interpolating Lagrangian isotopy (for $\sigma_g(v)$), again perhaps with several stories. We require that $\widetilde{J}(v)$ agrees at $-\infty$ (of the bottom story) with J_0 and at $+\infty$ (of the top story) with $\sigma_g(v) \cdot J_1$. We further require that σ_{JH} is generic in the sense of (a simple extension of) Definition 3.23.

Similar arguments to the proof of Lemma 3.33 produce a section $\mathscr{N}(\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet) \to \mathscr{N}\mathcal{GJ}'$. Applying Floer theory with the dynamic boundary conditions L_0^{t,H_t} and L_1^t gives a functor $F_{loer} \colon \mathscr{N}\mathcal{GJ}' \to \mathsf{Kom}$. The composition

$$\Delta^1 \times (\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet) = \mathscr{N}(\mathscr{I} \times BG_\bullet) \to \mathscr{N}\mathcal{GJ}' \to \mathsf{Kom}$$

is a natural transformation between the equivariant diagrams before and after the Hamiltonian isotopy. The same argument as in the proof of independence of the pertubation data then implies that the equivariant cohomologies before and after the Hamiltonian isotopy agree. □

3.8. **An analogous construction in Morse theory.** In this section, we explain how to adapt the construction of HF_G to the setting of Morse homology. In Section 4.2 we show that the resulting equivariant Morse cohomology agrees with the usual Borel equivariant cohomology.

Fix a compact, connected, smooth manifold M and a smooth action of a Lie group G on M. Either assume that M is orientable and G acts by orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms $M \to M$ or else take coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_2$ below.

By a *cylindrical Morse pair* we mean a pair $(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, f)$ where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is a Riemannian metric on M and f is a Morse function on M. A cylindrical Morse pair is *generic* if $(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, f)$ is Morse-Smale. A *semi-cylindrical Morse pair* is a triple $(\mathbb{L}, \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})$ where $\mathbb{L}$ is a marked line, $\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ is a family of Riemannian metrics parameterized by $\mathbb{L}$, and $\widetilde{f}$ is a smooth function $\mathbb{L} \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ so that $\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ and $\widetilde{f}$ are constant outside the intervals $[p_i, q_i]$ in $\mathbb{L}$. The space of semi-cylindrical Morse pairs has a topology similar to the topology on $\mathcal{J}$, which we leave to the reader to spell out.

There is a left action of G on the space of cylindrical Morse pairs, by $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ and $(g\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)(v, w) = \langle g_*^{-1}v, g_*^{-1}w\rangle$.

Fix a cylindrical Morse pair $(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, f)$. Consider the space $\mathcal{J}_G$ of triples $(g, \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})$, where $g \in G$ and $(\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})$ is a semi-cylindrical Morse pair connecting $(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, f)$ at $-\infty$ and $g \cdot (\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, f)$ at $+\infty$. There is a projection $\mathcal{J}_G \to G$, and a composition map $\circ\colon \mathcal{J}_G \times \mathcal{J}_G \to \mathcal{J}_G$, $(g', \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}', \widetilde{f}') \circ (g, \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f}) = (gg', (g\cdot\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}') * (\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}), (g\cdot\widetilde{f}') * \widetilde{f})$ (where $*$ denotes stacking). Given $x, y \in \mathit{Crit}(f)$, and $(g, \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f}) \in \mathcal{J}_G$, where $\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ and $\widetilde{f}$ are parameterized by some marked line $\mathbb{L}$, a *flow line from x to y with respect to* $(g, \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})$ is a smooth map $\gamma = \gamma(t)\colon \mathbb{L} \to M$ so that

$$\gamma'(t) = -\nabla^{\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}(t)}_{\gamma(t)} \widetilde{f}(t) \tag{3.42}$$

and so that $\lim_{t\to-\infty} \gamma(t) = x$ and $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \gamma(t) = gy$. Let $\mathcal{M}(x, y; g, \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})$ denote the space of flow lines from x to y with respect to $(g, \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})$; we leave topologizing the space $\mathcal{M}(x, y; g, \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})$ to the reader. More generally, given a map $\sigma = (g, \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})\colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}_G$ let

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y; \sigma) = \bigcup_{v\in\Delta^n} \mathcal{M}(x, y; g(v), \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}(v), \widetilde{f}(v)).$$

The expected dimension of $\mathcal{M}(x, y; \sigma)$ is $\mathrm{ind}(x) - \mathrm{ind}(y) + n$. We call σ *generic* if the spaces $\mathcal{M}(x, y; \sigma)$ are transversely cut out whenever $\mathrm{ind}(x) - \mathrm{ind}(y) \le -n + 1$, and the restriction of σ to every boundary face is also generic. (This is the analogue of "very generic" above, but we will have no use for the analogue of the weaker "generic" notion.)

To orient the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(x, y; \sigma)$ choose an orientation of M and an orientation of the descending disk $D_d(x)$, for the flow $-\nabla^{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} f$, of each point $x \in \mathit{Crit}(f)$. (This is equivalent to choosing an orientation for the negative eigenspace of the Hessian $\mathit{Hess}_x(f)$.) There is an induced orientation of the ascending disk $D_a(x)$ for each $x \in \mathit{Crit}(f)$ by requiring that $D_a(x) \cap D_d(x)$ is x, positively. Via the action of g, there is then an induced orientation of the ascending disk $D_a(gx)$ of the point $gx \in \mathit{Crit}(g \cdot f)$. For the moduli spaces of flow lines with respect to the cylindrical pair $(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, f)$, we also have an identification

$$\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{M}(x, y; \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, f) \cong D_a(y) \cap D_d(x),$$

(cf. Equation (3.29)) so the orientations of $D_d(x)$, $D_a(y)$, M, and $\mathbb{R}$ induce an orientation of $\mathcal{M}(x, y; \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, f)$.

Now, suppose that $(\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})$ is a 1-story semi-cylindrical Morse pair. If we let $D_d(x; \widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}, \widetilde{f})$ denote the space of solutions of Equation (3.42) asymptotic to x at $-\infty$ then we can identify

$D_d(x;\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ with $D_d(x)$ as follows. Choose $T \ll 0$ small enough that $\widetilde{f}(t) = f$ for all $t \leq T$. Then $\gamma \in D_d(x;\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ if and only if γ is a solution to Equation (3.42) and $\gamma(T) \in D_d(x)$, so the map $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(T)$ identifies $D_d(x;\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ and $D_d(x)$. In particular, $D_d(x;\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ inherits an orientation from the orientation of $D_d(x)$. Similarly, the space $D_a(gx;\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ of solutions of Equation (3.42) asymptotic to gx at $+\infty$ inherits an orientation from $D_a(gx)$. Now, the map $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(0)$ maps $\mathcal{M}(x,y;g,\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$, $D_d(x;\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$, and $D_a(gy;\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ into M, and

$$\mathcal{M}(x,y;g,\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f}) = D_a(gy;\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f}) \cap D_d(x;\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f}).$$

Thus, the space $\mathcal{M}(x,y;g,\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ inherits an orientation. If $(\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ is a generic multi-story semi-cylindrical Morse pair and $\natural(\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ is a gluing of $(\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$ with large gluing parameters then there is an identification

$$\mathcal{M}(x,y;g,\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f}) \cong \mathcal{M}(x,y;g,\natural(\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})),$$

so the orientation of $\mathcal{M}(x,y;g,\natural(\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f}))$ induces an orientation of $\mathcal{M}(x,y;g,\widetilde{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle},\widetilde{f})$. Combining these orientations with the orientation of Δ^n gives an orientation of $\mathcal{M}(x,y;\sigma)$ (cf. Equation (3.28)).

We claim that these orientations are coherent in the sense that Equation (3.27) holds with signs; we leave the proof to the reader.

There is also a simplicially enriched category $\mathcal{GJ}$ with a single object $(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,f)$, endomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}((\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,f),(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,f))$ the simplicial set of generic maps $\sigma\colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}_G$, and composition given by concatenation. Projection induces a functor $\pi\colon \mathcal{GJ} \to BG_\bullet$.

Taking simplicial nerves, we have a projection $\pi\colon \mathcal{N}\mathcal{GJ} \to \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$. Like Lemma 3.33, there is a section $\mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathcal{N}\mathcal{GJ}$. Further, Morse homology gives a functor $F_{Morse}\colon \mathcal{N}\mathcal{GJ} \to \mathsf{Kom}$, defined by $F_{Morse}(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,f) = C_*^{Morse}(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,f)$, the Morse complex of the cylindrical pair $(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,f)$, and for $\tau \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{GJ}$ a simplex of dimension $n>0$, $\vec{F}_{Morse}(\tau)$ is defined by Formula (3.38) but using the Morse moduli spaces in place of the Floer moduli spaces.

The composition is a diagram $F_{Morse}\circ\mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$. Taking hypercohomology gives

$$H_G(M) \coloneqq H^*(F_{Morse}\circ\mathcal{S}). \tag{3.43}$$

We will show in Section 4.2 that this definition of $H_G(M)$ agrees with the usual Borel equivariant cohomology.

4. Computations

4.1. **The discrete case.** Let G be a discrete group acting on (M,L_0,L_1) satisfying the hypotheses in Section 3.1. In particular, if G is not finite then we require that every G-twisted loop has Maslov index 0. In this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *For $\mathrm{R} = \mathbb{F}_2$, the G-equivariant Floer cohomology of (M,L_0,L_1) constructed in Definition 3.40, agrees with the construction of G-equivariant Floer cohomology given in our previous paper [HLS15].*

In our previous paper [HLS15] the construction of G-equivariant Floer cohomology was carried out for finite G, but all of the arguments hold also for the general discrete case, which we use here. Furthemore, note that the construction of this paper lifts the construction of equivariant cohomology given in our previous paper [HLS15], described there only over $\mathbb{F}_2$-coefficients, to R-coefficients for an arbitrary ring R.

In the special case that there is a G-equivariant cylindrical pair (J, H) which is generic (that is, in the presence of equivariant transversality), one may also consider the G-equivariant cohomology of the chain complex $CF(L_0^H, L_1)$ with the induced action of G by chain maps. This equivariant cohomology is the module $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{R}[G]}(CF(L_0^H, L_1), \mathrm{R})$, where R is taken to be the trivial R[G] module. (This is the theory used in [SS10, Section 2b and 3].) In our previous paper, we showed the the new construction of G-equivariant Lagrangian Floer cohomology given there is identified with this simpler construction when a generic G-equivariant (J, H) exists [HLS15, Section 4]. It follows that the same is true of the G-equivariant Floer cohomology constructed in Definition 3.40:

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose there exists a generic cylindrical pair (J, H) which is invariant under the action of G, that is, such that $g(J, H) = (J, H)$ for all $g \in G$. Then the G-equivariant Floer cohomology constructed in Definition 3.40 agrees with the G-equivariant cohomology* $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{R}[G]}(CF(L_0^H, L_1), \mathrm{R})$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.1 and an adaptation of the proof of [HLS15, Proposition 4.5], originally proved over $\mathbb{F}_2$-coefficients, to R-coefficients. Including the signs proceeds without incident. □

Turning to the proof of Theorem 4.1, let us begin with a brief discussion about the spaces of almost complex structures appearing in this paper and the previous paper [HLS15]. In the previous paper, the main construction was carried out for Lagrangians L_0 and L_1 intersecting transversely, such that there was no need to include the data of a Hamiltonian function. (In one section we did consider the case of non-transverse intersections [HLS15, Section 3.6], but in this section we focus on the transversely intersecting case.) We considered the topological category $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ with objects consisting of cylindrical almost complex structures J. The spaces of morphisms $\overline{\mathcal{J}}(J_a, J_b)$ consisted of multi-story cylindrical almost complex structures with limits $J^{-\infty} = J_a$, $J^{\infty} = J_b$, up to an equivalence relation analogous to that in Section 3.2. We can compare this to this paper, in which we have used the space $\mathcal{J}_G$ of elements $(g, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H}))$ with $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})^{-\infty} = (J, H)$ and $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})^{\infty} = g(J, H)$. Because G is discrete, there is a continuous map $\eta\colon \mathcal{J}_G \to \overline{\mathcal{J}}$.

With this in mind, we turn our attention to the functor $\mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathcal{NGJ}$ from Section 3. Since G is discrete, the simplicial set $G_\bullet$ consists of a single (constant) n-simplex for each element $g \in G$. Then $BG_\bullet$ is the simplicially enriched category with one object o and one n-morphism for every element $g \in G$ and every n. (Recall that composition in this category is $g \circ h = hg$.) The n-simplices of the simplicial nerve $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ correspond to ordered n-tuples $(g_n, \dots, g_1)$ of elements of G. For example, a triple (g_3, g_2, g_1) corresponds to a square of maps as in Example 2.6. Consider the diagram $\mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathcal{NGJ}$ described in Lemma 3.33. The functor $\mathcal{S}$ associates to every element in G a point $\mathcal{S}(g) = (g, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H}))$ with $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})^{-\infty} = (J, H)$ and $(\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})^{\infty} = g(J, H)$. Because $\mathcal{S}$ respects the degeneracy maps in $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ and $\mathcal{NGJ}$, $\mathcal{S}(e) = (e, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H}))$ has $\widetilde{J}(v) = J$ and $\widetilde{H}(v) = H$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, to each n-simplex in $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$, or equivalently each sequence of elements $(g_n, \dots, g_1)$, $\mathcal{S}$ associates a piecewise smooth $(n-1)$-dimensional cube of semicylindrical pairs

$$\mathcal{S}(g_n, \dots, g_1)\colon [0,1]^{n-1} \to \mathcal{J}_G.$$

For example, $\mathcal{S}$ associates to the triple (g_3, g_2, g_1) a map from the square $[0,1]^2$ into $\mathcal{J}_G$; this is a special case of Example 3.32.

Observe that in the case that $L_0 \pitchfork L_1$, we may choose $\mathcal{S}$ so that $\mathcal{S}(o) = (J, H)$ has $H \equiv 0$ and for each simplex $\sigma \in \mathscr{N}BG_\bullet$, $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) = \big(g_\sigma, (\widetilde{J}, \widetilde{H})_\sigma\big) \colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{J}_G$ has $\widetilde{H} \equiv 0$. Therefore the same is true of the maps $\mathcal{S}(g_n, \dots, g_1)$ described above. Since all of our Hamiltonians are trivial, to condense notation we will let

$$\mathcal{M}(\phi; \widetilde{J}_\sigma) = \mathcal{M}(\phi; \widetilde{J}_\sigma, \widetilde{H}_\sigma).$$

Next we express the information above in the language of our previous paper [HLS15]. Our construction in that paper used the notion of a homotopy coherent functor. We will require a superficially slightly different (although equivalent) definition to the one appearing there. As in Section 2, this is an adaptation of Vogt [Vog73].

Definition 4.3. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a small category. A homotopy coherent $\mathscr{C}$-diagram in $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ consists of:*

- *For each object x of $\mathscr{C}$, a cylindrical pair $F(x)$.*
- *For each integer $n \geq 1$ and each sequence $x_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} x_n$ of composable morphisms a continuous map $F(f_n, \dots, f_1) \colon [0,1]^{n-1} \to \overline{\mathcal{J}}$*

such that for all $(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_1) \in [0,1]^{n-1}$,

$$F(f_n, \dots, f_1)(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_1)^{-\infty} = F(x_0) \qquad \text{and} \qquad F(f_n, \dots, f_1)(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_1)^{\infty} = F(x_n),$$

and such that

$$\begin{aligned}
F(f_n, \dots, f_2, \mathbb{I})(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_1) &= F(f_n, \dots, f_2)(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_2) \\
F(\mathbb{I}, f_{n-1}, \dots, f_1)(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_1) &= F(f_{n-1}, \dots, f_1)(t_{n-2}, \dots, t_1) \\
F(f_n, \dots, f_{i+1}, &\mathbb{I}, f_{i-1}, \dots, f_1)(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_1) \\
&= F(f_n, \dots, f_{i+1}, f_{i-1}, \dots, f_1)(t_{n-1}, \dots, \min\{t_i, t_{i-1}\}, \dots, t_1) \\
F(f_n, \dots, f_1)&(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_{i+1}, 1, t_{i-1}, \dots, t_1) \\
&= F(f_n, \dots, f_{i+1} \circ f_i, \dots, f_1)(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_{i+1}, t_{i-1}, \dots, t_1) \\
F(f_n, \dots, f_1)&(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_{i+1}, 0, t_{i-1}, \dots, t_1) \\
&= [F(f_n, \dots, f_{i+1})(t_{n-1}, \dots, t_{i+1})] \circ [F(f_i, \dots, f_1)(t_{i-1}, \dots, t_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

This differs from the definition given in our previous paper in two ways [HLS15, Definition 3.3]. One is in the order of the factors in $[0,1]^{n-1}$. This choice is for compatibility with the signs in Section 2. The other difference is the use of $\min\{t_i, t_{i-1}\}$ instead of $t_i t_{i-1}$ as the multiplication $[0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$. This choice is for compatibility with the degeneracy maps in $\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet$. In general this produces only piecewise smooth maps.

Now let $\mathscr{B}G$ be the category with a single object o and $\mathrm{Hom}(o,o) = G$, and let $\mathscr{E}G$ be the category with an object for every element of g and a unique morphism between any two objects. Let G act on $\mathscr{E}G$ on the left. (This is not quite standard, but necessary in order to match the composition in $\mathcal{GJ}$.) There is an identification between n-cells in $\mathscr{N}\mathcal{GJ}$ and sequences of n-composable morphisms in $\mathscr{B}G$, since both correspond to n-tuples $(g_n, \dots, g_1)$ of elements in G. Sequences of n-composable morphisms in $\mathscr{E}G$ are of the form

$$g \xrightarrow{g_1} gg_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} gg_1g_2 \to \dots \xrightarrow{g_n} gg_1 \cdots g_n.$$

Call this sequence $(g; g_n, \dots, g_1)$. We can use $\mathcal{S}$ to give a G-equivariant homotopy coherent diagram $F \colon \mathscr{E}G \to \overline{\mathcal{J}}$ as follows. For every $g \in G$, let $F(g) = g\mathcal{S}(o) = g(\eta(J, H)) = gJ$. For every sequence of morphisms $(g; g_n, \dots, g_1)$ in $\mathscr{E}G$, let $F(g; g_n, \dots, g_1) = g(\eta(\mathcal{S}(g_n, \dots, g_1)))$:

$[0,1]^{n-1} \to \overline{\mathcal{J}}$. Because $\mathcal{S}$ respects the simplicial relations in $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$, this gives a homotopy coherent diagram.

We will also need the notion of a homotopy coherent diagram of chain complexes from a small category $\mathscr{C}$. Once again, this is a slight modification of the definition in the previous paper in order to better align with the signs of Section 2.

Definition 4.4. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a small category. Then a homotopy coherent $\mathscr{C}$-diagram in chain complexes consists of:*

- *For each object x of $\mathscr{C}$, a chain complex $T(x)$.*
- *For each $n \geq 1$ and each sequence $x_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} x_n$ of composable morphisms a chain map $T(f_n, \dots, f_1)\colon I_*^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes T(x_0) \to T(x_n)$*

such that

$$T(f_n,\dots,f_1)(t_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1)$$
$$= \begin{cases} T(f_n,\dots,f_2)(t_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes t_2\otimes\pi(t_1)) & f_1=\mathbb{I}\\ T(f_n,\dots,f_{i+1},f_i,\dots,f_1)(t_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes m(t_i\otimes t_{i-1})\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1) & f_i=\mathbb{I}, 1<i<n\\ T(f_{n-1},\dots,f_1)(\pi(t_{n-1})\otimes t_{n-2}\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1) & f_n=\mathbb{I}\\ T(f_n,\dots,f_{i+1}\circ f_i,\dots,f_1)(t_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes t_{i+1}\otimes t_{i-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1) & t_i=\{1\}\\ [T(f_n,\dots,f_{i+1})(t_{n-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes t_{i+1})]\circ[T(f_i,\dots,f_1)(t_{i-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes t_1)] & t_i=\{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.5. *A homotopy coherent diagram of chain complexes is determined by the complexes $T(x)$ and the maps*

$$T_{f_n,\dots,f_1} = T(f_n,\dots,f_1)(\{0,1\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{0,1\})\colon T(x_0)\to T(x_n)$$

which satisfy compatibility conditions

(hc-1) $T_{\mathbb{I}_{x_0}} = \mathbb{I}_{T(x_0)}$
(hc-2) $T_{f_n,\dots,f_1} = 0$ *if* $n>1$ *and any* $f_i=\mathbb{I}$
(hc-3) $\partial\circ T_{f_n,\dots,f_1} + (-1)^n T_{f_n,\dots,f_1}\circ\partial = \sum_{0<i<n}(-1)^{n-i-1}[T_{f_n,\dots,f_{i+1}\circ f_i,\dots,f_1} - T_{f_n,\dots,f_{i+1}}\circ T_{f_i,\dots,f_1}]$.

Proof. The only non-obvious part of this assertion is the signs in the last equation, which we now verify. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial\circ T_{f_n,\dots,f_1}(x) &= \partial\circ T(f_n,\dots,f_1)(\{0,1\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{0,1\}\otimes x)\\
&= T(f_n,\dots,f_1)\circ\partial(\{0,1\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{0,1\}\otimes x)\\
&= (-1)^{n-1}T(f_1,\dots,f_n)(\{0,1\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{0,1\}\otimes\partial x)\\
&\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-1+i}[T(f_n,\dots,f_1)(\{0,1\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{1\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{0,1\}\otimes x)\\
&\quad-T(f_n,\dots,f_1)(\{0,1\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{0\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{0,1\}\otimes x)]\\
&= (-1)^{n-1}T_{f_n,\dots,f_1}(\partial x)\\
&\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-i+1}[T(f_n,\dots,f_{i+1}\circ f_i,\dots,f_1)(\{0,1\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{0,1\}\otimes x)\\
&\quad-T(f_n,\dots,f_{i+1})(\{0,1\}\otimes\cdots\otimes\{0,1\}\otimes T(f_i,\dots,f_1)(\{0,1\}\otimes\{0,1\}\otimes x))]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^{n-1} T_{f_n,\dots,f_1} \circ \partial(x) + \sum_{0<i<n} (-1)^{n-i-1} [T_{f_n,\dots,f_{i+1}\circ f_i,\dots,f_1} - T_{f_n,\dots,f_{i+1}} \circ T_{f_i,\dots,f_1}].$$

(In the third line, t_i is the term that may be $\{1\}$ or $\{0\}$, and all other t_j are $\{0,1\}$.) This completes the proof. □

In our previous paper [HLS15, Section 3] we obtained a G-equivariant homotopy coherent $\mathscr{E}G$-diagram T' in chain complexes by letting $T'(g) = CF(L_0, L_1; F(g)) = CF(L_0, L_1; gJ)$ and $T'(g; g_n, \dots, g_1)\colon T'(g) \to T'(gg_1 \cdots g_n)$ be

$$T'(g; g_1, \dots, g_n)(x) = \sum_{y \in L_0 \cap L_1} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in \pi_2(x,y) \\ \mu(\phi) = 1-n}} \#\mathcal{M}(\phi; F(g; g_n, \dots, g_1))y \tag{4.6}$$

on generators $x \in L_0 \cap L_1$ and extending linearly. (The formulas here are slightly different from our previous paper, as we are using a left action of G here and used a right action in the prequel.) The moduli space in Formula (4.6) is the union of the moduli spaces of $F(g; g_n, \dots, g_1)(v)$-holomorphic curves over points v in the $(n-1)$-cube. Equivalently, we can define a homotopy coherent $\mathscr{B}G$-diagram in chain complexes by setting $T(o) = CF(L_0, L_1; J)$ and

$$T(g_n, \dots, g_1) = \sum_{gy \in L_0 \cap L_1} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in \pi_2(x,gy) \\ \mu(\phi) = 1-n}} \#\mathcal{M}(\phi; F(g_n, \dots, g_1))y$$

on generators $x \in L_0 \cap L_1$ and extending to a linear map. (Here $g = g_n \cdots g_1$.)

Let us compare this to the data we get by applying the Floer functor to $\mathcal{S}$. First, notice that since the n-cells in $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ correspond to sequences of n-composable morphisms $(g_n, \dots, g_1)$ in $\mathscr{B}G$, the data of the functor $F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$ is exactly the data of a homotopy coherent diagram $\mathscr{B}G$ in chain complexes. Indeed, Condition (hc-3) corresponds to Equation (2.2) in the definition of the infinity category of chain complexes. We claim that in fact this $\mathscr{B}G$-diagram of complexes is exactly T. In order to check this, we must show that all of the maps agree.

Lemma 4.7. *The homotopy coherent $\mathscr{B}G$-diagram in chain complexes induced by $F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$ is exactly the homotopy coherent $\mathscr{B}G$-diagram T defined using the maps determined by the diagram of multi-story almost complex structures F.*

Proof. Let τ be an n-simplex in $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$, associated to the sequence of elements $(g_n, \dots, g_1)$. It suffices to check that the top-dimensional map $\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)$ associated to τ in the diagram $F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$ is equal to $T(g_n, \dots, g_1)$. As above, write $g = g_n \cdots g_1$. Recall from Section 3.6 that $\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)$ is constructed as a sum over the $(n-1)$-dimensional simplices appearing in τ, so that on $x \in L_0 \cap L_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)(x) &= \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{m}} (-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)} \mathcal{F}(\tau(S))(x) \\
&= \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{m}} (-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)} \sum_{y \in L_0 \cap L_1} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in \pi_2^{\tau(S)}(x,y) \\ \mu(\phi) = 1-n}} \mathcal{M}(\phi; \widetilde{J}_{\tau(S)})y \\
&= \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{m}} (-1)^{\mathrm{sign}(S)} \sum_{gy \in L_0 \cap L_1} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in \pi_2(x,gy) \\ \mu(\phi) = 1-n}} \mathcal{M}(\phi; \widetilde{J}_{\tau(S)})y.
\end{aligned}$$

However, the maps $\widetilde{J}_{\tau(S)}\colon \Delta^{n-1} \to \mathcal{J}_G$ can be related to the map $F(g_n,\dots,g_1)\colon [0,1]^{n-1} \to \overline{\mathcal{J}}$ as follows. There is a natural identification of the sequences

$$S = (A_{\{0,n\}}, A_{\{0,x_1,n\}}, \dots, A_{\{0,\dots,n\}})$$

to the $(n-1)$-dimensional simplices of the standard simplicial structure on $[0,1]^{n-1}$ by associating to S the simplex which has $(x_0,\dots,x_n)$ as a vertex if and only if A_V appears in S where $V = \{0,n\} \cup \{i \mid x_i = 1\}$. Applying each of the maps $\widetilde{J}_{\tau(S)}$ on the corresponding simplex of $[0,1]^{n-1}$ and projecting to $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ gives the map $F(g_n,\dots,g_1)$. Since the maps $\widetilde{J}_{\tau(S)}$ are chosen to be generic, none of the holomorphic disks in rigid moduli spaces lie over the boundary of the simplex associated to S, so there is a bijection between the moduli spaces of holomorphic disks with respect to the cube of complex structures $F(g_n,\dots,g_1)$ and the disjoint union of the moduli spaces associated to the (open) simplices of complex structures $\widetilde{J}_{\tau(S)}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{F}_{loer}(\tau)(x) &= \sum_{gy \in L_0 \cap L_1} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in \pi_2(x,gy)\\ \mu(\phi)=1-n}} \#\mathcal{M}\big(\phi; \widetilde{J}(g_n,\dots,g_1)\big) y \\ &= T(g_n,\dots,g_1)(x),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Before the proof of Theorem 4.1, we recall one final definition. Given a homotopy coherent $\mathscr{C}$-diagram of chain complexes, we recall that the homotopy colimit is the following.

Definition 4.8. *Given a homotopy coherent $\mathscr{C}$-diagram of chain complexes, the* homotopy colimit *of T is defined by*

$$\operatorname{hocolim} T = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \bigoplus_{x_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} x_n} I_*^{\otimes n} \otimes T(x_0)/\sim, \tag{4.9}$$

where the coproduct is over n-tuples of composable morphisms in $\mathscr{C}$ and the case $n = 0$ corresponds to the objects $x_0 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C})$. The equivalence relation $\sim$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&(f_n,\dots,f_1; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_1; x)\\ &\quad\sim \begin{cases} (f_n,\dots,f_2; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_2 \otimes \pi(t_1); x) & f_1 = \mathbb{I}\\ (f_n,\dots,f_{i+1},f_{i-1},\dots,f_1; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes m(t_i,t_{i-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes t_1; x) & f_i = \mathbb{I},\ i > 1\\ (f_n,\dots,f_{i+1}\circ f_i,\dots,f_1; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1} \otimes t_{i-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes t_1; x) & t_i = \{1\},\ i < n\\ (f_{n-1},\dots,f_1; t_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes t_1; x) & t_n = \{1\}\\ (f_n,\dots,f_{i+1}; t_n \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{i+1}; T(f_i,\dots,f_1)(t_{i-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes t_1 \otimes x)) & t_i = \{0\}. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The differential is induced by the tensor product differential in Formula (4.9).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The homotopy colimit of $F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}$, in the sense of Definition 2.7, is the complex

$$C_* = \operatorname{hocolim}(F_{loer} \circ \mathcal{S}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathscr{N}BG_\bullet} I_*^{\otimes n} \otimes \vec{F}_{loer}(\sigma)(x_0)/\sim$$

where $\sim$ is as in Definition 2.7. The cohomology of this complex is the equivariant cohomology of (M, L_0, L_1) in the sense of this paper. Notice that this complex is also the homotopy

colimit of the homotopy coherent $\mathscr{B}G$-diagram in the sense of Definition 4.8, since the equivalence relations in the two definitions are identical in the case that G is discrete. However, by Lemma 4.7 this homotopy coherent diagram is precisely the diagram T, so the complex C_* is also equal to

$$\operatorname{hocolim}(T) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \bigoplus_{x_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} x_n} I_*^{\otimes n} \otimes T(\sigma)(x_0)/\sim$$

where $\sim$ is as in Definition 4.8.

The G-equivariant cohomology of (M, L_0, L_1) in the sense of our previous paper is the homology of the complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}[G]}(\operatorname{hocolim} T', \mathrm{R})$. This is the same as the cohomology of $\operatorname{hocolim} T$, the homotopy colimit of the $\mathscr{B}G$-diagram T. Since the cohomology of this homotopy colimit is also the G-equivariant cohomology of (M, L_0, L_1) in the sense of this present paper, the two definitions agree. □

4.2. **The case of Morse theory.** The goal of this section is to prove:

Proposition 4.10. *For G a Lie group acting on a compact manifold M the G-equivariant cohomology $H_G(M)$ as defined in Equation (3.43) agrees with the Borel equivariant cohomology $H^*(M \times_G EG)$.*

As in Section 3.8, we either assume that M is orientable and the action of G on M is orientation-preserving or we work with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_2$ throughout.

The proof of Proposition 4.10 is in two steps. First we replace the Morse complex in the definition of $H_G(M)$ with the cubical singular chain complex of M (the analogue of the usual singular chain complex, but using maps of cubes to M instead of maps of simplices to M). Then we verify that, using the functor $F_{cube}\colon \mathscr{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$ coming from the cubical chain complex, there is a map

$$C_*^{cube}(EG \times_G M) \to \operatorname{hocolim} F_{cube},$$

where EG is the free contractible G space from the topological bar construction [Seg68, Section 3]. This map is filtered by the dimension filtration on $\operatorname{hocolim} F_{cube}$ from Section 2.5 and a corresponding filtration on $EG \times_G M$. We verify that the map induces an isomorphism on the E^2-page of the associated spectral sequence, and hence is a quasi-isomorphism.

We now turn to the details.

We start by defining the map F_{cube}. Define F_{cube} of the unique object in $\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet$ to be the cubical chain complex $C_*^{cube}(M)$. Recall that the cubical chain group $C_k^{cube}(M)$ is the free abelian group generated by the maps $[0,1]^k \to M$ modulo degenerate cubes, i.e., cubes which factor through one of the k canonical projections $[0,1]^k \to [0,1]^{k-1}$.

By Convention 2.5, to define F_{cube} on the morphism sets it suffices to define the map $\vec{F}_{cube}(\tau)$ associated to the maximal sequence $0 \leq 0 < 1 < 2 < \cdots < n \leq n$ for each n-simplex τ in $\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet$ (cf. the definition of F_{loer}). Informally, via the G-action on M, τ gives a family of maps $M \to M$ parameterized by $[0,1]^{n-1}$, and we define $\vec{F}_{cube}(\tau)$ to be the higher chain homotopy associated to (the inverse of) this family of maps, so $\vec{F}_{cube}(\tau)\colon C_k^{cube}(M) \to C_{k+n-1}^{cube}(M)$. More formally, recall that the geometric realization of the category $S[n]$ is a triangulation of the n-cube $[0,1]^{n-1}$. For each $(n-1)$-simplex S in this triangulation, $\tau(S) \in G_{n-1}$; these are compatible across faces, so we obtain a map $\tau\colon [0,1]^{n-1} \to G$. Given a k-cube $(\alpha\colon [0,1]^k \to M) \in C_k^{cube}(M)$ define $\vec{F}_{cube}(\tau)(\alpha) \in C_{k+n-1}^{cube}(M)$ to be

$$\vec{F}_{cube}(\tau)(\alpha)(x,y) = \tau(x)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(y)$$

where $(x,y) \in [0,1]^{n-1} \times [0,1]^k = [0,1]^{n-1+k}$. (The inverse is because of our convention for composition; compare, for instance, Example 3.32.) Extend $\vec{F}_{cube}(\tau)$ linearly to all of $C_k^{cube}(M)$.

Lemma 4.11. *The maps $\vec{F}_{cube}$ induce a map of simplicial sets $F_{cube}\colon \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$.*

Proof. The proof, which is similar to but easier than the proof of Lemma 3.39, is left to the reader. □

Now, fix a Morse-Smale pair, and let F_{Morse} be as in Section 3.8. Our next goal is to define a natural transformation from F_{Morse} to F_{cube}, i.e., a map $\eta\colon \Delta^1 \times \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$ the restriction of which to $\{0\} \times \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ is F_{Morse} and to $\{1\} \times \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ is F_{cube}. (See also the proof of Theorem 3.41.)

In order to the specify η, by Convention 2.5 it suffices to specify the map $\vec{\eta}$ for each non-degenerate simplex of $\Delta^1 \times \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$. Now let us remind ourselves of the notation from Section 2.5 introduced in the discussion surrounding Lemma 2.14. For $-1 \le i \le n$, $\Delta^1_{n;i}$ denotes the n-simplex in Δ^1 whose vertices consist of $(i+1)$ 0s and $(n-i)$ 1s. Then a non-degenerate n-simplex σ in $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ produces the following non-degenerate simplices of $\Delta^1 \times \mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$:

- $\Delta^1_{n;n} \times \sigma$; we denote this $\{0\} \times \sigma$.
- $\Delta^1_{n;-1} \times \sigma$; we denote this $\{1\} \times \sigma$.
- $\Delta^1_{n;i} \times \sigma$ for $0 \le i < n$.
- $\Delta^1_{n+1;i} \times s_i\sigma$ for $0 \le i \le n$.

Therefore, the data for η consists of maps

- $\vec{\eta}(\Delta^1_{n;i} \times \sigma)\colon F_{Morse}(\sigma_0) \to F_{cube}(\sigma_n)$, $0 \le i < n$, of degree $n-1$,
- $\overline{\eta}(\sigma; i) \coloneqq \vec{\eta}(\Delta^1_{n+1;i} \times s_i\sigma)\colon F_{Morse}(\sigma_0) \to F_{cube}(\sigma_n)$, $0 \le i \le n$, of degree n,

for each non-degenerate n-simplex σ of $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$. These maps are required to satisfy certain compatibility conditions coming from Equation (2.2), one of which is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial \circ \overline{\eta}(\sigma; i) + (-1)^{n+1}\overline{\eta}(\sigma; i) \circ \partial \\
&\quad = \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{n-\ell}\overline{\eta}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{\ell},\dots,n}; i-1) + \sum_{\ell=i+2}^{n}(-1)^{n-\ell}\overline{\eta}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\widehat{\ell-1},\dots,n}; i) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{\ell=\max(1,i)}^{\min(i+1,n)}(-1)^{n-\ell}\vec{\eta}(\Delta^1_{n;\ell-1} \times \sigma) \\
&\qquad - \sum_{\ell=1}^{i}(-1)^{n-\ell}\overline{\eta}(\sigma_{\ell,\dots,n}; i-\ell) \circ \vec{F}_{Morse}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell}) \\
&\qquad - \sum_{\ell=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{n-\ell}\vec{F}_{cube}(\sigma_{\ell-1,\dots,n}) \circ \overline{\eta}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell-1}; i).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the maps of the form $\vec{\eta}(\Delta^1_{n;i} \times \sigma)$ are determined by the maps of the form $\overline{\eta}(\sigma; i)$. Consequently, the data for η is entirely encapsulated by these maps $\overline{\eta}$, and one can

check that they are only required to satisfy the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\big(\partial\circ\overline{\eta}(\sigma;i)+(-1)^{n+1}\overline{\eta}(\sigma;i)\circ\partial\big)\\ =\sum_{\substack{1\le\ell\le n-1\\0\le i\le n-1}}(-1)^{i+\ell-1}\overline{\eta}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\hat{\ell},\dots,n};i)+\sum_{\substack{1\le\ell\le n\\0\le i\le n-\ell}}(-1)^{i+1}\overline{\eta}(\sigma_{\ell,\dots,n};i)\circ\vec{F}_{Morse}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell})\\ +\sum_{\substack{0\le\ell\le n-1\\0\le i\le\ell}}(-1)^{i+\ell}\vec{F}_{cube}(\sigma_{\ell,\dots,n})\circ\overline{\eta}(\sigma_{0,\dots,\ell};i).\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

We explain two low-dimensional cases of $\overline{\eta}$ before giving the general case.

The first special case is when σ is the unique 0-cell, which we denote o, of $\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet$. Then we need to define a chain map $\overline{\eta}(o;0)\colon C_*^{Morse}(\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,f)\to C_*^{cube}(M)$. Let $\mathcal{N}(x,y)$ denote the moduli space of parameterized gradient flows from x to y, so $\mathcal{M}(x,y)=\mathcal{N}(x,y)/\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{N}(x,y)$ has dimension $\mathrm{ind}(x)-\mathrm{ind}(y)$. There is an evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}\colon\mathcal{N}(x,y)\to\mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{ev}(\gamma)=\gamma(0)$. The space $\mathcal{N}(x,y)$ has a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)$ in terms of broken gradient flows in which one of the flows is parameterized; as sets,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)=\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)\setminus\mathcal{N}(x,y)=\coprod_{z\neq y}\mathcal{N}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(z,y)\ \amalg\coprod_{z\neq x}\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,z)\times\mathcal{N}(z,y)\\ \amalg\coprod_{z,w\notin\{x,y\}}\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,z)\times\mathcal{N}(z,w)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(w,y).\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Further, $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(z,y)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y)$ are subspaces of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)$, and every point in $\partial\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)$ lies in at least one such subspace.

There is an identification $\mathcal{N}(x,y)\cong D_a(x)\cap D_d(y)$, the intersection of ascending and descending disks, so $\mathcal{N}(x,y)$, and hence $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)$, inherits an orientation from the choices in Section 3.8.

Choose a cubulation $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,y)]$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,y)$ so that the inclusion $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(z,y)\hookrightarrow\partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,y)$ is a cubical map. (These choices can be made inductively on $\mathrm{ind}(x)$, say.) Then choose a cubulation $[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)]$ of each $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)$ so that the inclusions $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(z,y)\hookrightarrow\partial\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y)\hookrightarrow\partial\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)$ are cubical maps. Define

$$\overline{\eta}(o;0)(x)=\sum_{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0}\mathrm{ev}_\#[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)]$$

for each $x\in Crit(f)$.

Lemma 4.14. *As cubical chains,*

$$\partial\,\mathrm{ev}_\#\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)\big]=-\sum_{\mathrm{ind}(z)-\mathrm{ind}(y)=1}\big(\#\mathcal{M}(z,y)\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_\#\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)\big]+\sum_{\mathrm{ind}(x)-\mathrm{ind}(z)=1}\big(\#\mathcal{M}(x,z)\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_\#\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y)\big].$$

Proof sketch. Observe that on the stratum $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(z,y)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)$, the evaluation map ev factors through the projection $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(z,y)\to\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)$. So, if $\mathrm{ind}(z)-\mathrm{ind}(y)>1$ then every cube in $\mathrm{ev}_\#[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(z,y)]$ is degenerate. Similarly, if $\mathrm{ind}(x)-\mathrm{ind}(z)>1$ then every cube in $\mathrm{ev}_\#[\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y)]$ is degenerate. The remaining cubes in $\partial\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)$

lie in

$$\bigcup_{\mathrm{ind}(z)-\mathrm{ind}(y)=1} \mathcal{M}(z,y)\times\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z) \;\cup\; \bigcup_{\mathrm{ind}(x)-\mathrm{ind}(z)=1} \mathcal{M}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y),$$

and restricting to this subspace of the boundary gives the result up to sign. We leave verification of the orientations to the reader. □

Lemma 4.15. *If o is the 0-cell in $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$, then the map $\overline{\eta}(o;0)$, as defined above, is a chain map.*

Proof. Given a critical point x of index n,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\overline{\eta}(o;0)(x) &= \sum_{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0} \partial\,\mathrm{ev}_\#[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)]\\ &= -\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0\\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=1}} (\#\mathcal{M}(z,y))\,\mathrm{ev}_\#[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)] + \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0\\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=n-1}} (\#\mathcal{M}(x,z))\,\mathrm{ev}_\#[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y)]\\ &= \overline{\eta}(o;0)(\partial(x)),\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality uses Lemma 4.14 and the third uses the fact that for any critical point z with index 1, $\sum_{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0}\#\mathcal{M}(z,y)=0$. □

The second special case is when σ is a non-degenerate 1-cell g of $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ (where g is a non-identity element of G). We have the following picture:

(4.16)



where the solid arrows are degree 0 chain maps, and the dashed arrows are degree 1 chain homotopies; we need to define the three diagonal arrows.

Define the homotopy on the bottom triangle to be zero, that is, define $\overline{\eta}(g;1)=0$. This forces us to define $\vec{\eta}(\Delta^1_{1;0}\times g)=\overline{\eta}(o;0)\circ\vec{F}_{Morse}(g)$.

The homotopy on the top triangle requires more attention. In the construction of F_{Morse} we chose a non-cylindrical Morse-Smale pair $\mathcal{S}(g)$. There are corresponding parameterized moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))$ of pairs (γ,t) where γ where γ is a gradient flow from x to gy with respect to the non-cylindrical pair $\mathcal{S}(g)$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}$. There is an evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}\colon\mathcal{N}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))\to\mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{ev}(\gamma,t)=\gamma(t)$. The boundary of $\mathcal{N}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))$ is a non-disjoint union

$$\begin{aligned}&\bigcup_{z\neq y}\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z;\mathcal{S}(g))\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(z,y)\cup\bigcup_{z}\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}(z,y;\mathcal{S}(g))\\ &\qquad\cup\bigcup_{z\neq x}\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,z)\times\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y;\mathcal{S}(g))\cup\bigcup_{z}\overline{\mathcal{M}}(x,z;\mathcal{S}(g))\times\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{N}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))\cong\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{M}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))$, the orientation of $\mathcal{M}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))$ induces an orientation of $\mathcal{N}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))$ and hence of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))$.

Choose cubulations of the $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z;\mathcal{S}(g))$ so that these inclusions are cubical and define

$$\overline{\eta}(g;0)(x) = \sum_{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0} g_{\#}^{-1}\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))].$$

The space $\mathcal{N}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))$ has dimension $\mathrm{ind}(x)-\mathrm{ind}(y)+1$, so $\eta(\sigma)(x)$ is a cubical chain of dimension $\mathrm{ind}(x)+1$.

Lemma 4.17. *These chain homotopies $\overline{\eta}(g;0)$ make the diagram (4.16) homotopy commute.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4.14, the boundary of $\mathrm{ev}_{\#}[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;\mathcal{S}(g))]$ is

(4.18)

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{\mathrm{ind}(z)-\mathrm{ind}(y)=1} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(z,y)\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z;\mathcal{S}(g))\big] - \sum_{\mathrm{ind}(z)=\mathrm{ind}(y)} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(z,y;\mathcal{S}(g))\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)\big]\\
&+\sum_{\mathrm{ind}(x)-\mathrm{ind}(z)=1} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(x,z)\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y;\mathcal{S}(g))\big] + \sum_{\mathrm{ind}(z)=\mathrm{ind}(x)} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(x,z;\mathcal{S}(g))\big)g_{\#}\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y)\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $z\in \mathit{Crit}(f)$ with $\mathrm{ind}(z)=0$,

$$\sum_{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0} \#\mathcal{M}(z,y;\mathcal{S}(g)) = 1.$$

Thus, for $x\in \mathit{Crit}(f)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{\mathit{cube}}(g)(\overline{\eta}(o;0)(x)) - \overline{\eta}(o;0)(F_{\mathit{Morse}}(g)(x))\\
&\quad= g_{\#}^{-1}\sum_{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0} \mathrm{ev}_{\#}[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)] - \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0\\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=\mathrm{ind}(x)}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(x,z;\mathcal{S}(g))\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y)]\\
&\quad= g_{\#}^{-1}\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0\\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=0}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(z,y;\mathcal{S}(g))\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)] - \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0\\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=\mathrm{ind}(x)}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(x,z;\mathcal{S}(g))\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y)]\\
&\quad= -g_{\#}^{-1}\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0\\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=1}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(z,y)\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z;\mathcal{S}(g))\big]\\
&\qquad\quad + g_{\#}^{-1}\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0\\ \mathrm{ind}(x)-\mathrm{ind}(z)=1}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(x,z)\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y;\mathcal{S}(g))\big] - \partial(\overline{\eta}(g;0)(x))\\
&\quad= g_{\#}^{-1}\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0\\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=1}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(z,y)\big)\,\mathrm{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z;\mathcal{S}(g))\big] - \overline{\eta}(g;0)(\partial x) - \partial(\overline{\eta}(g;0)(x))\\
&\quad= -\overline{\eta}(g;0)(\partial(x)) - \partial(\overline{\eta}(g;0)(x)),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Finally, we explain the general case. For each n-simplex σ in $\mathcal{N}BG_{\bullet}$ we have an n-simplex $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ in $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{GJ}$, and in particular an $(n-1)$-cube $\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)$ in the space of Morse-Smale pairs. Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)) = \bigcup_{v\in[0,1]^{n-1}} \overline{\mathcal{N}}\big(x,y;\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)(v)\big)$$

denote the compactification of the corresponding parameterized moduli space. As in the definition of F_{cube}, the n-simplex σ also specifies an $(n-1)$-cube σ in G. We define

$$\overline{\eta}(\sigma;i)(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0} \sigma_{\#}^{-1} \operatorname{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma))\big] & \text{if } i=0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Here, $\sigma_{\#}^{-1}$ acts pointwise, i.e., for each $v \in [0,1]^{n-1}$ we consider $\sigma^{-1}(v) \operatorname{ev} \overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y;\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)(v))$.)

Proposition 4.19. *These definitions make η into a natural transformation.*

Proof. We need to check that these maps $\overline{\eta}$ satisfy Equation (4.12); this is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.17. The boundary of $\overline{\eta}(\sigma;0)(x)$ (which is the first term in Equation (4.12)) has the following (non-degenerate) pieces:

(1) The chain
$$\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0 \\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=\mathrm{ind}(x)-1}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(x,z)\big) \operatorname{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y;\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma))\big],$$
i.e., broken flows in which the first flow (from x) is cylindrical and unparameterized. These correspond to the second term in Equation (4.12).

(2) The chain
$$\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0 \\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=0}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(z,y;\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma))\big)\sigma_{\#}^{-1} \operatorname{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z)\big] = \sum_{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0} \sigma_{\#}^{-1} \operatorname{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)\big],$$
i.e., broken flows in which the first flow is cylindrical and parameterized. These correspond to the $\ell = 0$ case of the fifth term of Equation (4.12).

(3) The chain
$$\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0 \\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=\mathrm{ind}(x)+n-1}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(x,z;\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma))\big)\sigma_{\#}^{-1} \operatorname{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(z,y)\big],$$
i.e., broken flows in which the first flow is non-cylindrical and unparameterized. These correspond to the $\ell = n$ case of the fourth term of Equation (4.12).

(4) The chain
$$\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0 \\ \mathrm{ind}(z)=1}} \big(\#\mathcal{M}(z,y)\big) \operatorname{ev}_{\#}\big[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(x,z;\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma))\big],$$
i.e., broken flows in which the first flow is non-cylindrical and parameterized. These flows contribute 0, as in the proof of Lemma 4.15, because for any critical point z with index 1, $\sum_{\mathrm{ind}(y)=0} \#\mathcal{M}(z,y) = 0$.

(5) The boundary of $[0,1]^n$ corresponding to where the i^{th} coordinate is 0. This corresponds to the third term of Equation (4.12).

(6) The boundary of $[0,1]^n$ corresponding to where the i^{th} coordinate is 1. Over this boundary, $\vec{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)$ is a 2-story Morse-Smale pair. This part of the boundary corresponds to the rest of the fourth or fifth terms in Equation (4.12), depending on whether the first story is unparameterized or parameterized, respectively.

Taking into account signs, which are left to the reader, this proves the result. □

Recall from Lemma 2.14 that a natural transformation η from F_{Morse} to F_{cube} induces a chain map $f_\eta\colon \operatorname{hocolim} F_{Morse} \to \operatorname{hocolim} F_{cube}$.

Lemma 4.20. *The map $(f_\eta)^*\colon H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} F_{cube}) \to H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} F_{Morse})$ is an isomorphism and respects the $H^*(BG)$-module structure.*

Proof. That the map $(f_\eta)_*$ respects the module structure follows from Lemma 2.14. To see that $(f_\eta)_*$ is an isomorphism, note that f_η respects the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ from Section 2.5. On the E^1-page of the associated spectral sequence, the induced map

$$(f_\eta)_*\colon \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet\\ \sigma \text{ non-degenerate}}} H_*^{Morse}(M) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet\\ \sigma \text{ non-degenerate}}} H_*^{cube}(M)$$

is a sum of copies of the well-known isomorphism between Morse homology and cubical singular homology, induced by sending a critical point to a cubulation of its descending manifold. (See also the proof of Proposition 4.23.) Consequently, the map f_η is itself a quasi-isomorphism, as desired. □

It remains to compute $H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} F_{cube})$.

Proposition 4.21. *There is an isomorphism $H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} F_{cube}) \cong H^*(M \times_G EG)$ of modules over $H^*(BG)$.*

Proof. The equivariant cohomology $H^*(M \times_G EG)$ can be computed as the cohomology of the totalization $EG \times_G M$ of the semi-simplicial space

$$M \leftleftarrows G \times M \;\substack{\leftarrow\\ \leftarrow\\ \leftarrow}\; G \times G \times M \;\substack{\leftarrow\\ \leftarrow\\ \leftarrow\\ \leftarrow}\; \cdots . \tag{4.22}$$

There is a subcomplex $\widetilde{C}_*^{cube}(EG \times_G M)$ given by

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m_1+\cdots+m_k+p+k=*} [\Delta^k] \otimes C_{m_1}^{cube}(G) \otimes C_{m_2}^{cube}(G) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_{m_k}^{cube}(G) \otimes C_p^{cube}(M).$$

The inclusion $\widetilde{C}_*^{cube}(EG \times_G M) \hookrightarrow C_*^{cube}(EG \times_G M)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, so it suffices to define a quasi-isomorphism

$$K\colon \widetilde{C}_*^{cube}(EG \times_G M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{hocolim} F_{cube} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathcal{N}_nBG_\bullet} [\sigma] \otimes C_*^{cube}(M)/\sim .$$

First, the map K sends

$$[\Delta^0] \otimes C_p^{cube}(M) \longrightarrow \Big(\bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathcal{N}_0BG_\bullet} C_p^{cube}(M) \Big) = [o] \otimes C_p^{cube}(M)$$

by the identity map.

Next, given an m-cube $\alpha\colon [0,1]^m \to G$ in $C_m^{cube}(G)$ there is a corresponding n-simplex $L(\alpha) \in \mathcal{N}_nBG_\bullet$, where $n = m+1$, defined as follows. Recall that an n-simplex in $\mathcal{N}BG_\bullet$ is a functor $S[n] \to BG_\bullet$. If $j < n$ then send the morphism space $\operatorname{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,j)$ to the constant simplex at the identity e of G. The space $\operatorname{Hom}_{S[n]}(0,n)$ is a triangulation of the cube $[0,1]^{n-1}$, so α defines a map $\operatorname{Hom}_{S[n]}(0,n) \to G_\bullet$. There is an inclusion $\operatorname{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,n) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S[n]}(0,n)$ which sends the vertex $\{i = i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_\ell = n\}$ to the vertex $\{0, 1, \ldots, i, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell\}$. (That is, the map $\operatorname{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,n) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{S[n]}(0,n)$ is composition with the vertex $\{0,1,\ldots,i\} \in$

$\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(0,i)$.) Composing with the map α then gives a map $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,n) \to G_\bullet$. This finishes the definition of $L(\alpha)$. Now, the map K sends

$$[\Delta^1] \otimes \alpha \otimes C_p^{cube}(M) \subset [\Delta^1] \otimes C_m^{cube}(G) \otimes C_p^{cube}(M)$$

by the identity map to the summand of $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathscr{N}_n BG_\bullet} [\sigma] \otimes C_p^{cube}(M)$ corresponding to $\sigma = L(\alpha)$.

For other simplices in $\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet$ we will use the following retraction. For any $0 \le i < j \le n$ let $S[i,j]$ be the full subcategory of $S[n]$ containing the objects $i, i+1, \dots, j$. Of course, the category $S[i,j]$ is identified canonically with $S[j-i]$. Given a sequence $0 = i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k = n$ there is a subcategory $S'[i_0, \dots, i_k]$ of $S[n]$ generated by $S[i_0,i_1] \cup S[i_1,i_2] \cup \cdots \cup S[i_{k-1},i_k]$. For example, $S'[1,2,\dots,n]$ is just the discrete category $1 \to 2 \to \cdots \to n$. Concretely, a vertex of $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,j)$ corresponding to a subset $U \subset \{i, \dots, j\}$ lies in $\mathrm{Hom}_{S'[i_0,\dots,i_k]}(i,j)$ if and only if U contains every element of $\{i,\dots,j\} \cap \{i_0,\dots,i_k\}$ (i.e., every element of $\{i_0,\dots,i_k\}$ between i and j). The simplicial set $\mathrm{Hom}_{S'[i_0,\dots,i_k]}(i,j)$ is the full subcomplex of $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,j)$ containing these vertices.

The category $S'[i_0,\dots,i_k]$ is freely generated by $S[i_0,i_1], \dots, S[i_{k-1},i_k]$ in the following sense. Suppose that $i_a \le i < i_{a+1} \le i_b < j \le i_{b+1}$. Then any simplex in $\mathrm{Hom}_{S'[i_0,\dots,i_k]}(i,j)$ factors as a composition of simplices in $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[i_a,i_{a+1}]}(i,i_{a+1})$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[i_{a+1},i_{a+2}]}(i_{a+1},i_{a+2})$, $\dots$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[i_b,i_{b_1}]}(i_b,j)$, uniquely.

There is a retraction $\mathrm{Hom}_{S[n]}(i,j) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{S'[i_0,\dots,i_k]}(i,j)$ defined on vertices by $U \mapsto U \cup (\{i,\dots,j\} \cap \{i_0,\dots,i_k\})$ (i.e., which adds any missing elements of $\{i_0,\dots,i_k\}$ to U). This defines a functor $S[n] \to S'[i_0,\dots,i_k]$.

Returning to the general case of the definition of the map

$$K\colon [\Delta^k] \otimes C_{m_1}^{cube}(G) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_{m_k}^{cube}(G) \otimes C_p^{cube}(M) \to \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathscr{N}_n BG_\bullet} [\sigma] \otimes C_p^{cube}(M),$$

with $n = m_1 + \cdots + m_k + k$, fix cubes $(\alpha_j\colon [0,1]^{m_j} \to G) \in C_{m_j}^{cube}(G)$. Applying the $k=1$ case above to the maps α_1, α_2, $\dots$, defines functors

$$\begin{aligned} S[0, m_1+1] \cong S[m_1+1] &\to BG_\bullet \\ S[m_1+1, m_1+m_2+2] \cong S[m_2+1] &\to BG_\bullet \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

These functors induce a functor $S'[0, m_1+1, m_1+m_2+2, \dots, m_1+\cdots+m_k+k] \to BG_\bullet$. Composing with the retraction $S[n] \to S'[0, m_1+1, m_1+m_2+2, \dots, m_1+\cdots+m_k+k]$ gives a functor $S[n] \to BG_\bullet$, i.e., an n-simplex $L(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)$ in $\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet$. The chain map K sends $[\Delta^k] \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_k \otimes C_p^{cube}(M)$ to the summand of $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathscr{N}_n BG_\bullet} [\sigma] \otimes C_p^{cube}(M)$ corresponding to $\sigma = L(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)$ by the identity map.

We leave verification that the induced map $\widetilde{C}_*^{cube}(EG \times_G M) \to \mathrm{hocolim}\, F_{cube}$ is a chain map to the reader. The fact that the induced map on homology is an isomorphism again follows from a spectral sequence argument. Filter $\widetilde{C}_*^{cube}(EG \times_G M)$ by $k + m_1 + \cdots + m_k$ and consider the dimension filtration on $\mathrm{hocolim}\, F_{cube}$. The map $\widetilde{C}_*^{cube}(EG \times_G M) \to \mathrm{hocolim}\, F_{cube}$ respects these filtrations. The map on the E^1-page of the associated spectral sequence is the tensor product of the quasi-isomorphism from Lemma 3.30 and the identity map on $H_*^{cube}(M)$ (cf. Proposition 4.23) and, in particular, is a quasi-isomorphism. So, the map on the E^2-page is an isomorphism, and it follows from spectral sequence comparison that the map of the total complexes is a quasi-isomorphism as well.

That the induced map on cohomology respects the module structure over $H^*(BG)$ is left to the reader. □

Proof of Proposition 4.10. By Lemma 4.20, we have $H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} F_{Morse}) \cong H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} F_{cube})$. By Proposition 4.21, we have $H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} F_{cube}) \cong H^*(M \times_G EG)$. □

4.3. **A spectral sequence.** Let $\pi_0(G)$ denote the quotient of G by the connected component containing the identity. (So, $\pi_0(G)$ is a discrete group, with one element per connected component of G.) There is an action of $\pi_0(G)$ on $HF(L_0^H, L_1)$ defined as follows. Given $[g] \in \pi_0(G)$ the action of $[g]$ is the composition

$$HF(L_0^H, L_1) \to HF(L_0^{gH}, L_1) \to HF(L_0^H, L_1)$$

where the first map is the action of g on M, which sends $L_0^H \cap L_1$ to $L_0^{gH} \cap L_1$, and the second map is the continuation map associated to the Hamiltonian isotopy $L_0^{gH} \sim L_0 \sim L_0^H$ induced by the inverse of gH and then H. That this defines an action of $\pi_0(G)$ on $HF(L_0^H, L_1)$ follows from Lemma 3.39 (considering only 1- and 2-morphisms), and is also not hard to prove directly. Since $\pi_1(BG) = \pi_0(G)$, we can view the action of $\pi_0(G)$ on $HF(L_0^H, L_1)$ as a local system over BG.

Proposition 4.23. *Assume G is a compact Lie group, and that we are working with Floer complexes with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. Then there is a spectral sequence with E^2-page given by $H^*(BG; HF(L_0^H, L_1; \mathbb{F}))$ converging to $HF_G(L_0, L_1; \mathbb{F})$. In particular, if $\pi_0(G) = \pi_1(BG) = 0$, then there is a spectral sequence $H^*(BG; \mathbb{F}) \otimes HF^*(L_0, L_1; \mathbb{F}) \Rightarrow HF_G(L_0, L_1)$.*

Proof of Proposition 4.23. Let $F\colon \mathscr{N}BG_\bullet \to \mathsf{Kom}$ be the functor defined in Section 3.6. The equivariant cohomology $HF_G(L_0, L_1)$ is the cohomology of $\operatorname{hocolim} F$. Generators of $\operatorname{hocolim} F$ are of the form $[\sigma] \otimes \mathbf{x}$ where σ is a non-degenerate simplex in $\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet$ and $\mathbf{x} \in CF(L_0^H, L_1)$. Recall the (increasing) filtration on $\operatorname{hocolim} F$ defined by setting the filtration of $[\sigma] \otimes \mathbf{x}$ to be n, the dimension of σ. We claim that the E_2-term of the associated spectral sequence is $H^*(BG; HF^*(L_0, L_1; \mathbb{F}))$.

To see this, note that there is another functor, H, defined by

$$H(o) = HF(L_0^H, L_1; \mathbb{F})$$

for o the unique object of $BG_\bullet$; $\vec{H}(\sigma) = F(\sigma)_*\colon HF(L_0^H, L_1; \mathbb{F}) \to HF(L_0^H, L_1; \mathbb{F})$ for σ a 1-simplex (1-morphism) in $\mathscr{N}BG_\bullet$ (i.e., an element of G); and $\vec{H}(\sigma) = 0$ for σ an n-morphism, $n > 1$. A small extension of Lemma 2.11 shows that the hypercohomology of H is exactly the cohomology of BG with coefficients in $HF(L_0, L_1; \mathbb{F})$.

Now, the E_1-page of the spectral sequence for $H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} F; \mathbb{F})$ is $\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{hocolim}(H), \mathbb{F})$, and the d_1-differential agrees with the differential on $\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{hocolim}(H), \mathbb{F})$. It follows that the E_2-page of the spectral sequence is $H^*(\operatorname{hocolim}(H)) \cong H^*(BG; HF^*(L_0, L_1; \mathbb{F}))$, as desired. □

4.4. **The Seidel-Smith example.** In this section, we define $O(2)$-equivariant symplectic Khovanov homology. Symplectic Khovanov homology was defined by Seidel-Smith [SS06]. We will work in Manolescu's Hilbert scheme formulation [Man06]; see also our previous paper [HLS15] for a brief review. Very briefly, there is an open subspace $\mathcal{Y}_n$ of the Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(S)$ of a particular affine algebraic surface S, and Lagrangians $L_0, L_1 \subset \mathcal{Y}_n$ associated to a bridge diagram for a link K, so that the symplectic Khovanov homology

$Kh_{symp}(K) = HF(L_0, L_1)$. Topologically, each of the Lagrangians L_i is a product of n 2-spheres.

There is an action of $O(2)$ on the algebraic surface S which preserves the Lagrangians (see, e.g., [HLS15, Section 7.1]). We considered the subgroups D_{2^n} of $O(2)$ and defined a D_{2^n}-equivariant symplectic Khovanov homology over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Our goal here is to extend this to a definition of $O(2)$-equivariant symplectic Khovanov homology, over an arbitrary ring R.

The first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{Y}_n)$ vanishes [SS06, p. 501] (see also [Man06, Section 6.2]), so one can define a squared phase map; an explicit description of this map is given by Manolescu [Man06, Section 6.2]. Since the Lagrangians are simply connected, they necessarily admit gradings.

Lemma 4.24. *The squared phase map on the Lagrangians L_i can be chosen to be $O(2)$-equivariant.*

Proof. We will be concrete; a more abstract argument is left to the reader. With notation as in Manolescu's paper [Man06, Section 6.2], note that $(dv_j \wedge dz_j)/2u = -(du_j \wedge dz_j)/2v$. The complex volume form is

$$\eta = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dv_j \wedge dz_j}{2u_j}.$$

Any element A of $O(2)$ can be written as either

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}$$

depending on whether the matrix is in $SO(2)$ or not. Making the change of variables $(u_i, v_i)^T = A(x_i, y_i)^T$ gives

$$\eta = \prod \frac{(-b dx_j + a dy_j) \wedge dz_j}{2(ax_j + by_j)} = \prod \left(\frac{ax_j + by_j}{ax_j + by_j}\right)\left(\frac{dy_j \wedge dz_j}{2x_j}\right)$$

or

$$\eta = \prod \frac{(b dx_j - a dy_j) \wedge dz_j}{2(ax_j + by_j)} = \prod \left(\frac{-ax_j - by_j}{ax_j + by_j}\right)\left(\frac{dy_j \wedge dz_j}{2x_j}\right)$$

depending on whether $\det(A) = 1$ or -1. That is, either $A^*\eta = \eta$ or $A^*\eta = -\eta$, respectively. In either case, η^2 is preserved by A. □

Lemma 4.25. *Let P_i be the unique* pin*-structure on L_i. Then the* pin *profile associated to $(L_0, O(2), P_0)$ is the same as the* pin *profile associated to $(L_1, O(2), P_1)$.*

Proof. First, observe that each Lagrangian L_i consists of a product of n two-spheres S^2. The action of $O(2)$ on each $S^2 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ factor is given by rotations about the origin and reflection across the horizontal axis, and the action on L_i is the product of these actions. This implies that the pin profiles associated to $(L_0, O(2), P_0)$ and $(L_1, O(2), P_1)$ must be the same. □

Corollary 4.26. *There is a $O(2)$-orientation for $(\mathcal{Y}_n, L_0, L_1)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.24 there is a $O(2)$-invariant square phase map, and since the $O(2)$-action has a fixed point (in fact, $2^{|K|}$ fixed points) Hypothesis (Gr-2) is automatically satisfied. By Lemma 4.25 the pin profiles of the two Lagrangians are the same, so this follows from Proposition 3.14. □

Lemma 4.27. *The triple $(\mathcal{Y}_n, L_0, L_1)$ satisfies Hypotheses (J-1) and (J-2).*

Proof. With respect to the Kähler form ω on $\mathcal{Y}_n$ given by Manolescu [Man06, Section 4.1], the manifold $\mathcal{Y}_n$ is exact and convex at infinity. For notational convenience, write $\omega = d\lambda$. Each of the Lagrangians L_0 and L_1 is a product of 2-spheres, hence simply-connected, and therefore necessarily exact. This addresses Hypothesis (J-2). Turning to Hypothesis (J-1), consider a loop of paths

$$v\colon \big([0,1]\times S^1, \{1\}\times S^1, \{0\}\times S^1\big) \to (M, L_0, L_1).$$

The ω-area of v is $\int_{[0,1]\times S^1} v^*\omega = \int_{\{1\}\times S^1} v^*\lambda - \int_{\{0\}\times S^1} v^*\lambda = 0$, since $\lambda|_{L_i}$ is exact for $i = 0, 1$. For the second part of the hypothesis, consider the bundle $v^*T\mathcal{Y}_n$, which is trivializable as a complex vector bundle. Recall that the Maslov index of v is twice the relative Chern class of the bundle $(v^*T\mathcal{Y}_n, \big((v|^*_{\{0\}\times S^1}TL_0)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\big)\amalg\big((v|^*_{\{1\}\times S^1}TL_1)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\big))$ over $\big([0,1]\times S^1, (\{0\}\times S^1)\amalg(\{1\}\times S^1)\big)$. (Since L_i is Lagrangian, the bundle $v^*TL_i\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ comes with an isomorphism to $v^*T\mathcal{Y}_n$.) Since L_i is simply-connected, we may choose a nulhomotopy of each $v(\{i\}\times S^1)$ in L_i and use those maps to extend v to a map $v\colon (S^2, \mathbb{D}^2, \mathbb{D}^2)\to(M, L_0, L_1)$. By excision, we see that the relative Chern class of $\big(v^*T\mathcal{Y}_n, ((v|^*_{\{0\}\times S^1}TL_0)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})\amalg((v|^*_{\{1\}\times S^1}TL_1)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})\big)$ over $\big([0,1]\times S^1, \{0\}\times S^1\amalg\{1\}\times S^1\big)$ is identified with the relative Chern class of $\big(v^*T\mathcal{Y}_n, ((v|^*_{\mathbb{D}^2}TL_0)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})\amalg((v|^*_{\mathbb{D}^2}TL_1)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})\big)$ over $(S^2, \mathbb{D}^2\amalg\mathbb{D}^2)$. Furthermore, the long exact sequence for the pair $(S^2, \mathbb{D}^2\amalg\mathbb{D}^2)$ implies that the Chern classes of a relative bundle over $(S^2, \mathbb{D}^2\amalg\mathbb{D}^2)$ vanish if and only if the ordinary Chern classes of its restriction to S^2 vanish. But indeed $c_1(\mathcal{Y}_n)$ vanishes, so $c_1(v^*T\mathcal{Y}_n) = 0$ as well. This implies that the Maslov index of v is zero. □

Corollary 4.28. *For any coefficient ring* R*, any subgroup G of $O(2)$, and any bridge diagram K for a link, there is a G-equivariant symplectic Khovanov homology $Kh^G_{symp}(K;\mathrm{R})$ of K with coefficients in* R*. Up to isomorphism over $H^*(G;\mathrm{R})$, $Kh^G_{symp}(K;\mathrm{R})$ is an invariant of the bridge diagram for K.*

In the interest of brevity, we will not attempt to prove:

Conjecture 4.29. *For coefficient ring* R *and subgroup G of $O(2)$, the G-equivariant symplectic Khovanov homology $Kh^G_{symp}(K;\mathrm{R})$ of K is a link invariant.*

References

[Abo14] Mohammed Abouzaid, *The family Floer functor is faithful*, 2014, arXiv:1408.6794.

[BO12] Frédéric Bourgeois and Alexandru Oancea, *S^1-equivariant symplectic homology and linearized contact homology*, 2012, arXiv:1212.3731.

[CH13] Cheol-Hyun Cho and Hansol Hong, *Finite group actions on Lagrangian Floer theory*, 2013, arXiv: 1307.4573.

[EES05] Tobias Ekholm, John Etnyre, and Michael Sullivan, *Orientations in Legendrian contact homology and exact Lagrangian immersions*, Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), no. 5, 453–532.

[EG91] Yakov Eliashberg and Mikhael Gromov, *Convex symplectic manifolds*, Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 135–162.

[EL15] Jonathan David Evans and Yankı Lekili, *Generating the Fukaya categories of Hamiltonian G-manifolds*, 2015, arXiv:1507.05842.

[FH93] A. Floer and H. Hofer, *Coherent orientations for periodic orbit problems in symplectic geometry*, Math. Z. **212** (1993), no. 1, 13–38.

[FHS95] Andreas Floer, Helmut Hofer, and Dietmar Salamon, *Transversality in elliptic Morse theory for the symplectic action*, Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 1, 251–292.

[Flo88a] Andreas Floer, *An instanton-invariant for* 3*-manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **118** (1988), no. 2, 215–240.

[Flo88b] ———, *Morse theory for Lagrangian intersections*, J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), no. 3, 513–547.

[Flo88c] ———, *The unregularized gradient flow of the symplectic action*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), no. 6, 775–813.

[FOOO09] Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta, and Kaoru Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction. Part I*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 46, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.

[GJ09] Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009, Reprint of the 1999 edition [MR1711612].

[Hen16] Kristen Hendricks, *A spectral sequence of the Floer cohomology of symplectomorphisms of trivial polarization class*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2016), Art. ID rnw061.

[Hin15] V. Hinich, *Homotopy coherent nerve in deformation theory*, 2015, arXiv:0704.2503.

[HLS15] Kristen Hendricks, Robert Lipshitz, and Sucharit Sarkar, *A flexible construction of equivariant Floer homology and applications*, 2015, arXiv:1510.02449.

[Joy02] A. Joyal, *Quasi-categories and Kan complexes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **175** (2002), no. 1-3, 207–222, Special volume celebrating the 70th birthday of Professor Max Kelly.

[KM07] Peter Kronheimer and Tomasz Mrowka, *Monopoles and three-manifolds*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 10, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[KS02] Mikhail Khovanov and Paul Seidel, *Quivers, Floer cohomology, and braid group actions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 1, 203–271, arxiv:math.QA/0006056.

[Lee13] John M. Lee, *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 218, Springer, New York, 2013.

[Lur] Jacob Lurie, *Higher algebra*, http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf.

[Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.

[Man03] Ciprian Manolescu, *Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type of three-manifolds with* $b_1 = 0$, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 889–932 (electronic).

[Man06] ———, *Nilpotent slices, Hilbert schemes, and the Jones polynomial*, Duke Math. J. **132** (2006), no. 2, 311–369.

[MS04] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[Seg68] Graeme Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1968), no. 34, 105–112.

[Seg70] ———, *Cohomology of topological groups*, Symposia Mathematica, Vol. IV (INDAM, Rome, 1968/69), Academic Press, London, 1970, pp. 377–387.

[Sei08] Paul Seidel, *Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz theory*, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.

[Sei14] Paul Seidel, *Picard-Lefschetz theory and dilating* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-actions*, 2014, arXiv:1403.7571.

[Sei15a] Paul Seidel, *The equivariant pair-of-pants product in fixed point Floer cohomology*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **25** (2015), no. 3, 942–1007.

[Sei15b] ———, *Homological mirror symmetry for the quartic surface*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **236** (2015), no. 1116, vi+129.

[Sma65] S. Smale, *An infinite dimensional version of Sard's theorem*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 861–866.

[SS06] Paul Seidel and Ivan Smith, *A link invariant from the symplectic geometry of nilpotent slices*, Duke Math. J. **134** (2006), no. 3, 453–514.

[SS10] ———, *Localization for involutions in Floer cohomology*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **20** (2010), no. 6, 1464–1501.

[Sul02] M. G. Sullivan, *K-theoretic invariants for Floer homology*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **12** (2002), no. 4, 810–872.

[Vog73] Rainer M. Vogt, *Homotopy limits and colimits*, Math. Z. **134** (1973), 11–52.
[Wu15] Weiwei Wu, *Equivariant split generation and mirror symmetry of special isogenous tori*, 2015, arXiv:1501.06257.
[WW12] Katrin Wehrheim and Chris T. Woodward, *Floer cohomology and geometric composition of Lagrangian correspondences*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012), no. 1, 177–228.

Mathematics Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824
E-mail address: hendricks@math.msu.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403
E-mail address: lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095
E-mail address: sucharit@math.ucla.edu